DEENDAYAL PORT AUTHORITY

(Erstwhile: DEENDAYAL PORT TRUST)

Tel(O): (02836) 220038, : (02836) 220050

www.deendayalport.gov.in

E Mail : kptdesignsection@gmail.com Website: www.deendayalport.gov.in



Administrative Office Building

Post Box NO. 50 GANDHIDHAM (Kutch). Gujarat: 370 201.

Fax: (02836) 220050 Ph.: (02836) 220038

Date: 02/02/2025

Ref: - EG/WK/4684(EC)/Part VII/ 20

To, Shri T. C. Patel, Kutch Unit Head, Gujarat Pollution Control Board, Parvavaran Bhavan, Sector 10A, Gandhinagar- 382 010 Email-kut-uh-gpcb@gujarat.gov.in

Sub: "Creation of water front facilities (Oil Jetties 8, 9, 10 & 11) and development of land of area 554 acres for associated facilities for storage at Old Kandla, Gandhidham, Kutch, Gujarat by M/s Deendayal Port Authority (Erstwhile: Deendayal Port Trust)- Submission of Point-wise Compliance of Conditions stipulated in the NOC/CTE reg.

Ref.:

- 1) NOC No. 94118 received vide letter no. PC/CCA-Kutch-1524/GPCB ID 56985 Dated 23/07/2018.
- 2) Extension and Correction to CTE issued by GPCB vide PC/CCA-Kutch-1524/GPCB ID 56985 Dated 30/09/2023 valid upto 19/11/2030
- 3) DPT Letter No. EG/WK/4684(EC)/PartVII/29 dated 29/06/2021
- 4) DPT Letter No. EG/WK/4684(EC)/PartVII/141 dated 08/02/2022
- 5) DPA letter No. EG/WK/4684(EC)/PartVII/129 dated 30/06/2022
- 6) DPA letter No. EG/WK/4684(EC)/PartVII/297 dated 05/05/2023
- 7) DPA letter No. EG/WK/4684(EC)/PartVII/362 dated 18/09/2023
- 8) DPA letter No. EG/WK/4684(EC)/PartVII/45 dated 27/03/2024
- 9)DPA letter No. EG/WK/4684(EC)/PartVII/114 dated 12/08/2024

Sir,

It is requested to kindly refer above cited references for the said subject.

In this connection, it is to state that, vide above referred Letter No- PC/CCA-Kutch-1524/GPCB ID 56985 Dated 23/07/2018 had granted NOC/CTE with validity up to 03/04/2023. And further issued extension to the CTE vide PC/CCA-Kutch-1524/GPCB 56985 dated 30/09/2023 valid upto 19/11/2030.

DPA vide above mentioned letters had submitted the compliance report of condition stipulated in CTE/NOC to the GPCB.

Now, please find enclosed herewith, compliance report of conditions stipulated in CTE order (period June to September, 2024) along with necessary enclosures as Annexure I, for your kind perusal & record please.

Further, as per the MoEF&CC, Notification S.O.5845 (E) dated 26.11.2018, stated that "In the said notification, in paragraph 10, in sub-paragraph (ii), for the words "hard and soft copies" the words "soft copy" shall be substituted". Accordingly, we are submitting herewith soft copy of the same via e-mail ID kut-uh-gpcb@qujarat.gov.in.


This has approval of the Chief Engineer, Deendayal Port Authority.

Yours faithfully,

Dy. Chief Engineer & EMC (I/C)

Deendayal Port Authority

Copy to: Regional Officer, (Kutch East)
Gujarat Pollution Control Board,
Room No. 215 - 217, Regional Office,
2nd Floor, A.O Building,
Deendayal Port Trust,
Gandhidham (Kutch)- 370 201
Email Id. ro-gpcb-kute@gujarat.gov.in



CURRENT STATUS OF WORK PROGRESS (Up to September, 2024)

| Sr.No | Name of Project | Status |
|-------|---|--|
| | Oil Jetty No. 8 (Jetty & allied facilities) | Construction work is completed |
| 2 | Oil Jetties no. 9, 10 & 11 to be implemented on BOT/PPP Mode. | The SFC recommendation and the MoPSW, GoI approval for Oil Jetties 9, 10 & 11, under PPP mode, has been received on 19/04/2021. |
| | | The bid for OJ – 09 is invited fourth time. In the meeting with MoPSW, GoI, it was decided that project may be restructured, if bids are not received. |
| | | For Restructured project proposal for OJ 9, 10 & 11 (PPP Mode), the SFC meeting was held on 04/06/2024. Approval is awaited. |
| | | No construction activity started yet on project site. |
| 3 | 554 acres) for associated | Initially, partial development of embankment for road network along with reclamation of Land is undertaken. |

Compliance Report for period June 2024 to September 2024


Subject: Point wise compliance report of conditions stipulated in the NOC/CTE issued by GPCB for the project "Creation of water front facilities (Oil Jetties 8, 9, 10 & 11) and development of land of area 554 acres for associated facilities for storage at Old Kandla, Gandhidham, Kutch, Gujarat by M/s Deendayal Port Authority.

Reference: NOC No. 94118 received vide letter no. PC/CCA-Kutch-1524/GPCB ID 56985 dated 23/07/2018 and its extension and correction issued by GPCB vide PC/CCA-Kutch-1524/GPCB ID 56985 dated 30/09/2023 valid upto 19/11/2030

| Sr. No | Cond | itions | Compliance Status |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| 1 | Specific Conditions | 3 | |
| 1 | | II be handled of 3.5 Cargo of edible oil, ins. etc | As per Environmental Clearance granted by MoEF&CC dated 20.11.2020, "the capacity of each jetty is 3.5 MMTPA for handling all types of Liquid Cargo". (Correction in CTE order issued by GPCB vide PC/CCA-Kutch-1524/GPCB ID 56985 dated 30/09/2023) the same has already been submitted along with compliance report submitted on 27/03/2024 |
| 2. | of TOR issued by Mo 04/07/2017 & Shall | nere to all conditions oEF&CC. Delhi dated not carry out any es till obtaining EC etent authority | DPA has already received the EC and CRZ clearance from MoEF&CC vide file no. 10- 1/2017-1A-111 dated 20/11/2020 and CRZ recommendation from GCZMA vide letter no. ENV-10-2018-24-T cell dated 30/07/2020. Copy also submitted in the compliance report submitted on 05/05/2023 |
| 3. | project coming under permission of compe | | DPT is not using ground water for any of the purpose |
| 2 | Conditions Under V | | |
| 2.1 | | nce there shall be no anufacturing process | It is here by assured that Water is used only for the domestic purpose and there is no Industrial water consumption and no waste water generation from the Industrial purpose. |
| 2.2 | | nsumption shall not | Point noted for the compliance. |
| 2.3 | | mestic waste water xceed 16 KL/day | Point noted for the compliance. |
| 2.4 | | sewage shall confirm | Point noted for the compliance. |
| | Parameters | Permissible Limit | |
| | рН | 6.5-9.0 | |
| | BOD (5 days at 20 °C) | 30 mg/lit | |
| | Suspended Solid | 20 mg/lit | |
| | | 1000 MPN/100 ml | |
| 2.5 | sewage treatment sewage confirmir mentioned in 2.4 various activities sh | e shall be treated in plant and treated ag to standard shall be reused in hall not be used for ntation purpose in | Generated waste water from the oil jetty no. 8 will be treated in septic tank/soak pit. However, after completion of entire project facility (Oil Jetties 8 to 11 & associated area for storage), possibility may be explored to treat the waste water generation (about 16 KLD) through existing STP of DPA |
| 3 | Conditions under a | ir act 1981: | |
| 3.1 | there is no flue | use of fuel; hence gas emission from ivities and other | No fuel is being used; hence there is no flue gas emission from manufacturing activities and other ancillary operations. |

| | ancillary oper | ations. | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 3.2 | | | ss gas emission | No manufacturing process is involved and hence there | | | |
| | | | other ancillary | is no no process gas emission from manufacturing and | | | |
| | activities. | | | other ancillary activities. | | | |
| 3.3 | The concent | | the following | DPA appointed NABL Accredited laboratory for regular | | | |
| | | | nt air within the | Monitoring of environmental parameters since the year | | | |
| | | | shall not exceed | 2016 in continuation of this DPA appointed M/s Gujarat | | | |
| | • | | reunder as per Quality Emission | Environment Management Institute (GEMI), Gandhinagar (NABL Accredited laboratory) for regular | | | |
| | | issued by | - | Monitoring of environmental parameters vide work | | | |
| | | , | Climate Change | order dated 15/02/2023. The work is in progress & DPA | | | |
| | dated 16 th No | vember 200 | 9. | is submitting the monitoring data regularly to all the | | | |
| | | T | | concerned authorities along with compliance reports | | | |
| | Parameters | Time | Concentration | submitted. | | | |
| | | Weighted | in Ambient | | | | |
| | Sulphur | Average Annual | air in µg/m³ | Copy of Latest monitoring report is attached herewith | | | |
| | Dioxide | 24 Hours | 80 | as Annexure A | | | |
| | (SO ₂) | 21110013 | | | | | |
| | Nitrogen | Annual | 40 | | | | |
| | Dioxide | 24 Hours | 80 | | | | |
| | (NO ₂) | | | | | | |
| | Particulate Matter | Annual | 60 | | | | |
| | (Size less | 24 Hours | 100 | | | | |
| | than | 21110013 | | | | | |
| | 10µm) | | | | | | |
| | Particulate | Annual | 40 | | | | |
| | Matter | 24.11 | | | | | |
| | (Size less than | 24 Hours | 60 | | | | |
| | 2.5µm) or | | | | | | |
| | PM _{2.5} | | | | | | |
| 3.4 | The level of | Noise in am | nbient air within | DPA appointed NABL Accredited laboratory for regular | | | |
| | • | | al unit shall not | Monitoring of environmental parameters since the year | | | |
| | exceed follow | • | M . 75 JD(A) | 2016 in continuation of this DPA appointed M/s Gujarat | | | |
| | Between 6 A.I Between 10 A | | | Environment Management Institute (GEMI), Gandhinagar (NABL Accredited laboratory) for regular | | | |
| | between 10 A | i.M aliu o P. | M . 70 UD(A) | Monitoring of environmental parameters vide work | | | |
| | | | | order dated 15/02/2023. The work is in progress & DPA | | | |
| | | | | is submitting the monitoring data regularly to all the | | | |
| | | | | concerned authorities along with compliance reports | | | |
| | | | | submitted. | | | |
| | | | | Copy of Latest monitoring report is attached herewith | | | |
| | | | | as Annexure A | | | |
| 4 | | | rdous waste: | | | | |
| 4.1 | • • | • | vide temporary | Point Noted for the Compliance. DPA has a contract | | | |
| | | | each type of | with the GPCB/CPCB authorized Recycler for disposal of Haz. Waste. | | | |
| | (Management | | Hazardous waste | Haz. Waste. | | | |
| | | | Rules, 2016 as | | | | |
| | amended fron | - | | | | | |
| 4.2 | The applic | ant shall | be obtain | Not applicable | | | |
| | | | TSDF site for | | | | |
| | disposal of | | | | | | |
| | Categorized | | ardous waste andling & | | | | |
| | (Management | ., Пã | andling & | | | | |

| | Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 | |
|-----|--|--|
| | as amended from time to time | |
| 5 | General Conditions | |
| 5.1 | Any change in the personnel, equipment or working conditions as mentioned in the consents form/order should immediately be intimated to this Board. | |
| 5.2 | The waste generator shall be totally responsible for (i.e Collection, Storage, transportation and ultimate disposal) of the wastes generated. | Point noted for the compliance. |
| 5.3 | Record of Waste generation, its management and annual return shall be submitted to Gujrat pollution Control Board in Form-4 by 31 st January of every year. | Point noted for the compliance |
| 5.4 | In case of any accident, details of the same shall be submitted in Form-5 to Gujrat pollution Control Board | Point noted for the compliance. |
| 5.5 | Applicant shall comply relevant provision of "Public Liability Insurance Act-91" | Point noted for the compliance. |
| 5.6 | Unit shall take all concrete measures to show tangible results in waste generation, reduction, avoidance, reuse and recycle. Action taken in this regard shall be submitted within three months and also along with Form-4. | Point noted for the compliance. |
| 5.7 | Industry shall have to display on-line data outside the main factory gate with regard to quantity and nature of hazardous chemicals being handled in the plant, including waste water and air emissions and solid hazardous waste generated within the factory premises. | Point noted for the compliance. |
| 5.8 | Adequate plantation shall be carried out all the periphery of the industrial premises in such a way that the density of plantation is at least 1000 trees per acre of land and a green belt of 10 meters width shall be developed | · |
| 5.9 | The applicant shall have to submit the returns in prescribed form regarding water consumption and shall have to make payment of water cess to the Board under the water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Cess Act - 1977 | The construction activity Construction of Oil Jetty No. 8 completed and partial development of embankment for road network along with reclamation of Land has been undertaken. Accordingly DPA had obtained the CCA (AWH – 136469) from the GPCB vide letter PC/CCA-KUTCH-1524/GPCB ID 56985 dated 20/08/2024 for the same (Copy attached – Annexure B). Return will be submitted for the FY 2024-2025. |
| | | However, for remaining works to be undertaken (Construction of OJ 9 , 10 & 11 and development of Land), it is assured that no activity other than those permissible in Coastal Regulation Notification shall be carried out in the CRZ area. |




Environmental Monitoring Report (EMR)

prepared under

"Preparing and monitoring of environmental monitoring and management plan for Deendayal Port Authority at Kandla and Vadinar for a period of 3 years"

(Monitoring Period: June-July 2024)



Document Ref No.: GEMI/DPA/782(2)(3)/2024-25/121

Submitted to:

Deendayal Port Authority (DPA), Kandla



Gujarat Environment Management Institute (GEMI)

(An Autonomous Institute of Government of Gujarat)

GEMI Bhavan, 246-247, GIDC Electronic Estate, Sector-25, Gandhinagar-382025

"AN ISO 9001:2015, ISO 14001:2015 AND ISO 45001:2018 Certified Institute"



© Gujarat Environment Management Institute (GEMI)

All rights reserved. This "Environment Monitoring Report (June-July 2024)" is prepared as a part of the project "Preparing and monitoring of Environmental monitoring and Management plan for Deendayal Port Authority at Kandla and Vadinar for a period of 3 years". No part of this report may be reproduced, distributed or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of Director, Gujarat Environment Management Institute (GEMI).

Disclaimer:

Gujarat Environment Management Institute (GEMI) has taken all reasonable precautions in the preparation of this report. The data presented in this report have been collected as per the relevant Standard Operating Procedures, Protocols and Guidelines. GEMI believes that the information and facts presented in the report are accurate as on the date it was written. However, it is impossible to dismiss absolutely, the possibility of errors or omissions. GEMI therefore specifically disclaims any liability resulting from the use or application of the information contained in this report. The information is not intended to serve as legal advice related to the individual situation.



About this Document

Gujarat Environment Management Institute (GEMI) has been assigned with the work of "Preparing and monitoring of Environmental monitoring and Management plan for Deendayal Port Authority (DPA) at Kandla and Vadinar for a period of 3 years" by DPA, Kandla. Under the said project the report titled "Environment Monitoring Report (June-July 2024)" is prepared.

• Name of the Report: Environment Monitoring Report (June-July 2024)

• Date of Issue: 10/09/2024

• **Version:** 1.0

• **Report Ref.:** GEMI/DPA/782(2)(3)/2024-25/121



Table of Contents

| CHAPT | TER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-------|--|----|
| 1.1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.2 | Green Ports Initiative | 2 |
| 1.3 | Importance of EMP | 3 |
| 1.4 | Objectives and scope of the Study | 4 |
| СНАРТ | TER 2: METHODOLOGY | 6 |
| 2.1 | Study Area | 7 |
| a. | Kandla | 7 |
| b. | Vadinar | 7 |
| 2.2 | Environmental Monitoring at Kandla and Vadinar | 11 |
| СНАРТ | TER 3: METEOROLOGY MONITORING | 13 |
| 3.1 | Meteorology Monitoring | 14 |
| 3.2 | Results and discussion | 16 |
| 3.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 17 |
| СНАРТ | TER 4: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING | 20 |
| 4.1 | Ambient Air Quality | 21 |
| 4.2 | Result and Discussion | 27 |
| 4.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 33 |
| 4.4 | Remedial Measures: | 35 |
| СНАРТ | TER 5: DG STACK MONITORING | 37 |
| 5.1 | DG Stack Monitoring | 38 |
| 5.2 | Result and Discussion | 41 |
| 5.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 41 |
| СНАРТ | TER 6: NOISE MONITORING | 42 |
| 6.1 | Noise Monitoring | 43 |
| 6.2 | Result and Discussion | 47 |
| 6.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 48 |
| 6.4 | Remedial Measures | 48 |
| СНАРТ | TER 7: SOIL MONITORING | 49 |
| 7.1 | Soil Quality Monitoring: | 50 |
| 7.2 | Result and Discussion | 54 |



| 7.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 54 |
|----------|---|------|
| СНАРТ | ER 8: DRINKING WATER MONITORING | 57 |
| 8.1 | Drinking Water Monitoring | 58 |
| 8.2 | Result and Discussion | 63 |
| 8.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 65 |
| 8.4 | Remedial Measures | 67 |
| СНАРТ | ER 9: SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT MONITORING | 68 |
| 9.1 | Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) Monitoring: | 69 |
| 9.2 | Result and Discussion | 75 |
| 9.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 77 |
| 9.4 | Remedial Measures: | 77 |
| СНАРТ | ER 10: MARINE WATER QUALITY MONITORING | 79 |
| 10.1 | Marine Water | 80 |
| 10.2 | Result and Discussion | 84 |
| 10.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 86 |
| СНАРТ | ER 11: MARINE SEDIMENT QUALITY MONITORING | 89 |
| 11.1 | Marine Sediment Monitoring | 90 |
| 11.2 | Result and Discussion | 94 |
| 11.3 | Data Interpretation and Conclusion | 94 |
| СНАРТ | ER 12: MARINE ECOLOGY MONITORING | 98 |
| 12.1 | Marine Ecological Monitoring | 99 |
| 12.2 | Result and Discussion | 106 |
| Annexu | re 1: Photographs of the Environmental Monitoring conducted at Kandl | a115 |
| | re 2: Photographs of the Environmental Monitoring conducted at Vadin | |
| | | |
| List of | Tables | |
| Table 1: | Details of Automatic Weather Station | 14 |
| Table 2: | Automatic Weather Monitoring Station details | 14 |
| Table 3: | Meteorological data for Kandla and Vadinar | 16 |
| Table 4: | Details of Ambient Air monitoring locations | 21 |
| Table 5: | Parameters for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring | 27 |
| | Summarized results of PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, SO_2 , NO_x , VOC and CO for Ambient A | - • |
| | nitoring | |
| | Summarized results of Benzene for Ambient Air quality monitoring | |
| Table 8: | Summarized results of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons | 33 |



| Table 9: Summarized results of Non-methane VOC | 33 |
|---|-----|
| Table 10: Details of DG Stack monitoring locations | 38 |
| Table 11: DG stack parameters | 41 |
| Table 12: DG monitoring data | 41 |
| Table 13: Details of noise monitoring locations | 43 |
| Table 14: Details of the Noise Monitoring | 46 |
| Table 15: Ambient Air Quality norms in respect of Noise | 46 |
| Table 16: The Results of Ambient Noise Quality | 47 |
| Table 17: Details of the Soil quality monitoring | 50 |
| Table 18: Soil parameters | 51 |
| Table 19: Soil Quality for the sampling period | 54 |
| Table 20: Details of Drinking Water Sampling Locations | 58 |
| Table 21: List of parameters for Drinking Water Quality monitoring | 61 |
| Table 22: Summarized results of Drinking Water quality | 63 |
| Table 23: Details of the monitoring locations of STP | 69 |
| Table 24: Treated effluent Standards (as per CC&A of Kandla STP) | 69 |
| Table 25: Norms of treated effluent as per CC&A of Vadinar STP | 72 |
| Table 26: List of parameters monitored for STP's at Kandla and Vadinar | 75 |
| Table 27: Water Quality of inlet and outlet of STP of Kandla | 76 |
| Table 28: Water Quality of inlet and outlet of STP of Vadinar | 76 |
| Table 29: Details of the sampling locations for Marine water | 80 |
| Table 30: List of parameters monitored for Marine Water | 83 |
| Table 31: Results of Analysis of Marine Water Sample for the sampling period | 85 |
| Table 32: Details of the sampling locations for Marine Sediment | 90 |
| Table 33: List of parameters to be monitored for Sediments at Kandla and Vadinar | 93 |
| Table 34: Summarized result of Marine Sediment Quality | 94 |
| Table 35: Standard Guidelines applicable for heavy metals in sediments | 96 |
| Table 36: Comparison of Heavy metals with Standard value in Marine Sediment | 96 |
| Table 37: Details of the sampling locations for Marine Ecological | 99 |
| Table 38: List of parameters to be monitored for Marine Ecological Monitoring | 102 |
| Table 39: Values of Biomass, Net Primary Productivity (NPP), Gross Primary Productivity | ty |
| (GPP), Pheophytin and Chlorophyll for Kandla and Vadinar | 106 |
| Table 40: Phytoplankton variations in abundance and diversity in sub surface sampling | |
| stations | 109 |
| Table 41: Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Phytoplankton | 110 |
| Table 42: Zooplankton variations in abundance and diversity in sub surface sampling | |
| stations | |
| Table 43: Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Zooplankton | 111 |
| Table 44: Benthic Fauna variations in abundance and diversity in sub surface sampling | 112 |
| Table 45: Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Benthic Organisms | 113 |



List of Maps

| Map 1: Locations of Kandla and Vadinar | 8 |
|---|------|
| Map 2: Locations of Kandla Port | 9 |
| Map 3: Locations of Vadinar Port | 10 |
| Map 4: Locations for Ambient Air Monitoring at Kandla | 24 |
| Map 5: Locations for Ambient Air Monitoring at Vadinar | 25 |
| Map 6: Locations for DG Stack monitoring at Kandla | 39 |
| Map 7: Locations for DG Stack monitoring at Vadinar | |
| Map 8: Locations for Noise Monitoring at Kandla | 44 |
| Map 9: Locations for Noise Monitoring at Vadinar | 45 |
| Map 10: Locations for Soil Quality Monitoring at Kandla | 52 |
| Map 11: Locations for Soil Quality Monitoring at Vadinar | 53 |
| Map 12: Locations for Drinking Water Monitoring at Kandla | 59 |
| Map 13: Locations for Drinking Water Monitoring at Vadinar | 60 |
| Map 14: Locations for STP Monitoring at Kandla | 73 |
| Map 15: Locations for STP Monitoring at Vadinar | 74 |
| Map 16: Locations for Marine Water Monitoring at Kandla | 81 |
| Map 17: Locations for Marine Water Monitoring at Vadinar | 82 |
| Map 18: Location of Marine Sediment Monitoring at Kandla | 91 |
| Map 19: Locations of Marine Sediment Monitoring at Vadinar | 92 |
| Map 20: Locations of Marine Ecological Monitoring at Kandla | 100 |
| Map 21: Locations of Marine Ecological Monitoring at Vadinar | 101 |
| List of Figures | |
| Figure 1: Methodology flow chart | 12 |
| Figure 2: Photographs of Automatic Weather Monitoring Station at Kandla and Vadin | ar15 |
| Figure 3: Process flow diagram of STP at Kandla | 70 |
| Figure 4: Process flow diagram of STP at Gopalpuri | 71 |
| Figure 5: Process flowchart for the STP at Vadinar | 72 |
| List of Graphs | |
| Graph 1: Spatial trend in Ambient PM ₁₀ Concentration | 32 |
| Graph 2: Spatial trend in Ambient PM _{2.5} Concentration | 31 |
| Graph 3: Spatial trend in Ambient SOx Concentration | |
| Graph 4: Spatial trend in Ambient NOx Concentration | 31 |
| Graph 5: Spatial trend in Ambient CO Concentration | 32 |
| Graph 6: Spatial trend in Ambient Total VOCs | 32 |



List of Abbreviations

| A | Acceptable Limits as per IS: 10500:2012 |
|-----------------|--|
| AAQ | Ambient Air Quality |
| AWS | Automatic Weather monitoring stations |
| BIS | Bureau of Indian Standards |
| BOD | Biochemical Oxygen Demand |
| BQL | Below Quantification Limit |
| CCA | Consolidated Consent & Authorization |
| CO | Carbon Monoxide |
| COD | Chemical Oxygen Demand |
| СРСВ | Central Pollution Control Board |
| DO | Dissolved Oxygen |
| DPA | Deendayal Port Authority |
| EC | Electrical Conductivity |
| EMMP | Environmental monitoring and Management Plan |
| EMP | Environment Management Plan |
| FPS | Fine Particulate Sampler |
| FY | Financial Year |
| GEMI | Gujarat Environment Management Institute |
| IFFCO | Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Limited |
| IMD | 1 |
| | India Meteorological Department |
| IOCL LNG | Indian Oil Corporation Limited |
| | Liquefied Natural Gas Marine Gas Oil |
| MGO | |
| MMTPA | Million Metric Tonnes Per Annum |
| MoEF | Ministry of Environment & Forests |
| MoEF&CC | Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change |
| NAAQS | National Ambient Air Quality Standards |
| NO _x | Nitrogen oxides |
| NTU | Nephelometric Turbidity Unit |
| OOT | Off Shore Oil Terminal |
| OSR | Oil Spill Response |
| P | Permissible Limits as per IS: 10500:2012 |
| PAH | Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons |
| PM PTFE | Particulate Matter Polytetrafluoroethylene |
| RCC | Reinforced Concrete Cement |
| | Respirable Dust Sampler |
| RDS SAR | Sodium Adsorption Ratio |
| | 1 |
| SBM | Single Bouy Mooring Sulfur oxides |
| SO _x | |
| STP TC | Sewage Treatment Plant Total Coliforms |
| | |
| TDS | Total Dissolved Solids |
| TOC | Total organic Carbon |
| TSS | Total Suspended Solids |
| VOC | Volatile Organic Compounds |



CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION



1.1 Introduction

Kandla Port, also known as the Deendayal Port is a seaport in Kachchh District near the city of Gandhidham in Gujarat state in western India. Located on the Gulf of Kachchh, it is one of major ports on the western coast, and is located at 256 nautical miles southeast of the Port of Karachi in Pakistan and over 430 nautical miles north-northwest of the Port of Mumbai (Bombay). It is the largest port of India by volume of cargo handled. Deendayal Port's journey began in 1931 with the construction of RCC Jetty by Maharao Khengarji. Kandla was constructed in the 1950s as the chief seaport serving western India, after the independence of India. On 31st March 2016, Deendayal Port created history by handling 100 MMT cargo in a year and became the first Major Port to achieve this milestone. Deendayal Port Authority (DPA), India's busiest major port in recent years, is gearing up to add substantial cargo handling capacity with private sector participation. DPA has created new record by handling 137 MMTPA (at Kandla and Vadinar) during the financial year 2022-23. The DPA had commissioned the Off-shore Oil Terminal facilities at Vadinar in the year 1978, for which M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL) provided Single Bouy Mooring (SBM) system, with a capacity of 54 MMTPA. Further, significant Quantum of infrastructural upgradation has been carried out & excellent maritime infrastructure has been created at Vadinar for the 32 MMTPA Essar Oil Refinery in Jamnagar District.

1.2 Green Ports Initiative

DPA is committed to sustainable development and adequate measures are being taken to maintain the Environmental well-being of the Port and its surrounding environs. Weighing in the environmental perspective for sustained growth, the Ministry of Shipping had started, Project Green Ports" which will help in making the Major Ports across India cleaner and greener. "Project Green Ports" will have two verticals - one is "Green Ports Initiatives" related to environmental issues and second is "Swachh Bharat Abhiyaan".

The Green Port Initiatives include twelve initiatives such as preparation and monitoring plan, acquiring equipment required for monitoring environmental pollution, acquiring dust suppression system, setting up of sewage/waste water treatment plants/ garbage disposal plant, setting up Green Cover area, projects for energy generation from renewable energy sources, completion of shortfalls of Oil Spill Response (OSR) facilities (Tier-I), prohibition of disposal of almost all kind of garbage at sea, improving the quality of harbour wastes etc.

DPA had also appointed GEMI as an Advisor for "Making Deendayal Port a Green Port-Intended Sustainable Development under the Green Port Initiatives. DPA has also signed MoU with Gujarat Forest Department in August 2019 for Green Belt Development in an area of 31.942 Ha of land owned by DPA. The plantation is being carried out by the Social Forestry division of Kachchh.



1.3 Importance of EMP

Port activities can cause deterioration of air and marine water quality in the surrounding areas due to multifarious activities. The pollution problems usually caused by port and harbour activities can be categorized as follows:

- 1. Air pollutant emissions due to ship emissions, loading and unloading activities, construction emission and emissions due to vehicular movement.
- 2. Coastal habitats may be destroyed and navigational channels silted due to causeway construction and land reclamation.
- 3. Deterioration of surface water quality may occur during both the construction and operation phases.
- 4. Harbour operations may produce sewage, bilge wastes, solid waste and leakage of harmful materials both from shore and ships.
- 5. Human and fish health may be affected by contamination of coastal water due to urban effluent discharge.
- 6. Oil pollution is one of the major environmental hazards resulting from port/harbour and shipping operations. This includes bilge oil released from commercial ships handling non-oil cargo as well as the more common threat from oil tankers.
- 7. Unregulated mariculture activities in the port and harbour areas may threaten navigation safety.

Hence, for the determination of levels of pollution, identification of pollution sources, control and disposal of waste from various point and non-point sources and for prediction of pollution levels for future, regular monitoring and assessment are required during the entire construction and operation phase of a major port. As per the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is required to ensure sustainable development in the area surrounding the project. Hence, it needs to be an all encompasses plan consist of all mitigation measures for each item wise activity to be undertaken during the construction, operation and the entire life cycle to minimize adverse environmental impacts resulting from the activities of the project. for formulation, implementation and monitoring of environmental protection measures during and after commissioning of projects. The plan should indicate the details of various measures are taken and proposed to be taken for appropriate management of the environment of Deendayal Port Authority.

It identifies the principles, approach, procedures and methods that will be used to control and minimize the environmental and social impacts of operational activities associated with the port. An EMP is a required part of environmental impact assessment of a new port project but could also be evolved for existing ports. It is useful not only during the construction and operational phases of the new port but also for operation of existing ports to ensure the effectiveness of the mitigation measures implemented and to further provide guidance as to the most appropriate way of dealing with any unforeseen impacts.

It is extremely essential that port and harbour projects should have an Environmental Monitoring and Management Plan (EMMP), which incorporates monitoring of Ambient Air, Drinking Water, Noise, Soil, Marine (water, sediment, ecology) quality along with the collection of online meteorological data throughout the duration of the project.



To ensure the effective implementation of the EMP and weigh the efficiency of the mitigation measures, it is essential to undertake environmental monitoring both during construction and operation period. In view of the above, Gujarat Environment Management Institute (GEMI) has been awarded with the work "Preparing and Monitoring of Environmental Monitoring and Management Plan for Deendayal Port Authority at Kandla and Vadinar for a period of 3 years" vide letter No. EG/WK/EMC/1023/2011/III/239 dated: 15/02/2023 by DPA.

This document presents the Environmental Monitoring Report (EMR) for Kandla and Vadinar for the environmental monitoring done during the period from 17th March-16th April 2024.

1.4 Objectives and scope of the Study

In line with the work order, the key objective of the study is to carry out the Environmental Monitoring and preparation the Management Plan for Kandla and Vadinar for a period of 3 years". Under the project, Environmental monitoring refers to systematic assessment of ambient air, water (drinking and surface), soil, sediment, noise and ecology in order to monitor the performance and implementation of a project in compliance with Environmental quality standards and/or applicable Statutory norms.

The scope of work includes not limited to following:

- 1. To review the locations/stations of Ambient Air, Ambient Noise, drinking water, and Marine Water, Soil and Sediments monitoring within the impacted region in-and-around DPA establishment, in view of the developmental projects.
- 2. To assess the Ambient Air quality, quality at 6 stations at Kandla and 2 at Vadinar in terms of gases and particulate matter.
- 3. To assess the DG stack emissions (gases and particulate matter).
- 4. To assess Drinking water quality at twenty locations (18 at Kandla and 2 at Vadinar) in terms of Physical, Chemical and Biological parameters viz., Color, Odor, turbidity, conductivity, pH, Total Dissolved Solids, chlorides, Hardness, total iron, sulfate, NH₄, PO₄, and bacterial count on a monthly basis.
- 5. To assess the Marine water quality in terms of aquatic Flora and Fauna and Sediment quality in terms of benthic flora and fauna.
- 6. To assess Marine Water Quality and sediment in term of physical and chemical parameter.
- 7. To assess the trends of water quality in terms of Marine ecology by comparing the data collected over a specified time period.
- 8. Weekly sample collection and analysis of inlet & Outlet points of the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) to check the water quality being discharged by DPA as per the CC&A.
- 9. Carrying out monthly Noise monitoring; twice a day at the representative stations for a period of 24 hours.
- 10. Meteorological parameters are very important from air pollution point of view, hence precise and continuous data collection is of utmost importance. Meteorological data on wind speed, wind direction, temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation and



- rainfall shall be collected from one permanent station at DPA, Kandla and one permanent station at Vadinar.
- 11. To suggest mitigation measures, based on the findings of this study and also check compliance with Environmental quality standards, Green Port Initiatives, MIV 2030, and any applicable Statutory Compliance.
- 12. To recommend Environment Management Plans based on Monitoring programme and findings of the study.



CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY



2.1 Study Area

Under the study, the locations specified by Deendayal Port Authority for the areas of Kandla and Vadinar would be monitored. The details of the study area as follows:

a. Kandla

Deendayal Port (Erstwhile Kandla Port) is one of the twelve major ports in India and is located on the West Coast of India, in the Gulf of Kutch at 23001'N and 70013'E in Gujarat. The Major Port Authorities Act 2021 is the governing statute for Administration of Major Ports, under which, Deendayal Port Trust (DPT) has become Deendayal Port Authority (DPA). At Kandla, DPA has sixteen (16) cargo berths for handling various types of Dry Bulk Cargo viz, fertilizer, food grains, Coal, sulphur, etc.

Climatic conditions of Kandla

Kandla has a semi-desert climate. Temperature varies from 25° C to 44° C during summer and 10° C to 25° C during winter. The average annual temperature is 24.8° C. The average rainfall is 410° mm, most of which occurs during the monsoon from the months of June-to-September.

b. Vadinar

Vadinar is a small coastal town located in Devbhumi Dwarka district of the Gujarat state in India located at coordinates 22° 27′ 16.20″ N - 069° 40′ 30.01″. DPA had commissioned the Off Shore Oil Terminal (OOT) facilities at Vadinar in the year 1978, for which M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL) provided Single Bouy Mooring (SBM) system, with a capacity of 54 MMTPA. The OOT of the DPA contributes in a large way to the total earnings of this port. Vadinar is now notable due to the presence of two refineries-one promoted by Reliance Industries and Essar Oil Ltd.


DPA also handled 43.30 MMT at Vadinar (which includes transhipment), the containerized cargo crossed 4.50 lakh TEU, grossing a total of 100 MMT overall. Major commodities handled by the Deendayal Port are Crude Oil, Petroleum product, Coal, Salt, Edible Oil, Fertilizer, etc.

• Climatic conditions of Vadinar

Vadinar has a hot semi-arid climate. The summer season lasts from March-to-May and is extremely hot, humid, but dry. The climatic conditions in Vadinar are quite similar to that recorded in its district head quarter i.e., Jamnagar. The annual mean temperature is 26.7 °C. Rainy season with extremely erratic monsoonal rainfall that averages around 630 millimetres. The winter season is from October-to-February remains hot during the day but has negligible rainfall, low humidity and cool nights.

The Kandla and Vadinar port have been depicted in the **Map 1** as follows:





Map 1: Locations of Kandla and Vadinar Port





Map 2: Locations of Kandla Port





Map 3: Locations of Vadinar Port



2.2 Environmental Monitoring at Kandla and Vadinar

Regular monitoring of environmental parameters is of immense importance to assess the status of environment during project operation. With the knowledge of baseline conditions, the monitoring programme will serve as an indicator for identifying any deterioration in environmental conditions, thereby assist in recommending suitable mitigatory steps in time to safeguard the environment. Monitoring is as important as that of control of pollution since the efficiency of control measures can only be determined by a well-defined monitoring program. Environmental Monitoring is vital for monitoring the environmental status of the port for sustainable development. The list of main elements for which Environmental monitoring is to be carried out have been mentioned below:

- Meteorology
- Ambient Air
- DG Stack
- Noise
- Soil
- Drinking Water
- Sewage Treatment Plant
- Marine (Surface) water
- Marine Sediments
- Marine Ecology

GEMI has been entrusted by DPA to carry out the monitoring of the various aforementioned environmental aspects at the port, so as to verify effectiveness of prevailing Environment Management plan, if it confirms to the statutory and/or legal compliance; and identify any unexpected changes. Standard methods and procedures have been strictly adhered to in the course of this study. QA/QC procedures were strictly followed which covers all aspects of the study, and includes sample collection, handling, laboratory analyses, data coding, statistical analyses, interpretation and communication of results. The analysis was carried out in GEMI's NABL/MoEF accredited/recognized laboratory.

Methodology adopted for the study

Methodology is a strictly defined combination of practices, methods and processes to plan, develop and control a project along the continuous process of its implementation and successful completion. The aim of the project management methodology is to allow the control of whole process of management through effective decision-making and problem solving. The methodology adopted for the present study is shown in **Figure 1** as given below:






Figure 1: Methodology flow chart

The details of various sectors of Environment monitoring are described in subsequent chapters.



CHAPTER 3: METEOROLOGY MONITORING



3.1 Meteorology Monitoring

Meteorological conditions play a crucial role in dispersion of air pollutants as well as in environmental pollution studies particularly in pollutant transport irrespective of their entry into the environment. The wind speed and direction play a major role in dispersion of environment pollutants. In order to determine the prevailing micrometeorological conditions at the project site an Automatic Weather Monitoring Stations (AWS) of Envirotech make (Model: WM280) were installed at both the sites of Kandla and Vadinar at 10 m above the ground. The details of the AWS installed have been mentioned in **Table 1** as follows:

Table 1: Details of Automatic Weather Station

| Sr. No. | Site | Location Code | Location Name | Latitude Longitude |
|---------|---------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Kandla | AWS-1 | Environment Laboratory (DPA) | 23.00996N 70.22175E |
| 2. | Vadinar | AWS-2 | Canteen Area | 22.39994N 69.716608E |

Methodology

During the study, a continuous automatic weather monitoring station was installed at both the sites to record climatological parameters such as Wind speed, Wind Direction, Relative Humidity, Solar Radiation, Rainfall and Temperature to establish general meteorological regime of the study area. The methodology adopted for monitoring meteorological data shall be as per the standard norms laid down by Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) and the India Meteorological Department (IMD). The details of Automatic Weather Monitoring Station have been mentioned in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Automatic Weather Monitoring Station details

| Sr. | Details of Meteorological | Unit of | Instrument | Frequency |
|-----|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| No. | Data | Measurement | | |
| 1. | Wind Direction | degree | A(1 ! - | |
| 2. | Wind Speed | Km/hr | Automatic Weather | |
| 3. | Rainfall | mm/hr | Monitoring | Hourly |
| 4. | Relative Humidity | % RH | Station | Average |
| 5. | Temperature | °C | (Envirotech WM280) | |
| 6. | Solar Radiation | W/m ² | (111200) | |

The Meteorological parameters were recorded at an interval of 1 hour in a day and the average value for all the Meteorological parameters were summarized for the sampling period of at both the observatory site.






Figure 2: Photographs of Automatic Weather Monitoring Station at Kandla and Vadinar



3.2 Results and discussion

The summary of hourly climatological observations recorded at Kandla and Vadinar during the monitoring period, with respect to significant parameters has been mentioned in Table 3 as follows:

| | Table 3: Meteorological data for Kandla and Vadinar Details of Micro-meteorological data at Kandla Observatory | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|------|-------|-------------------------|------|------|-----------------------|------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------|
| Monitoring Period | Wind Speed (Km/h) | | (m/h) | Temperature (°C) Relati | | | elative humidity (%) | | Solar Radiation | Wind Direction | Rainfall (mm) | |
| Stat. | Mean | Max. | Min | Mean | Max | Min | Mean | Max | Min | (W/m²) | (°) | () |
| March- April, 2024 | 3.24 | 86 | 1.3 | 32.24 | 41.4 | 26.2 | 73.15 | 89.8 | 43.8 | 67.97 | From West- South-West | 3.96 |
| | Details of Micro-meteorological data at Vadinar Observatory | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring Period | Wind Speed (Km/h) | | | Temperature (°C) | | | Relative humidity (%) | | Solar | Wind Direction | Rainfall | |
| Stat. | Mean | Max. | Min | Mean | Max | Min | Mean | Max. | Min | Radiation (W/m²) | (°) | (mm) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | From South- | |



3.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

Temperature

- a. **Kandla:** The ambient temperature for the monitoring period varies between the range of 26.2 41.4°C for Kandla, with average temperature of 32.24°C.
- b. **Vadinar:** The ambient temperature for the monitoring period varies between the range of 24.4 -36°C for Vadinar, with average temperature of 30.13°C.

• Relative Humidity

- a. **Kandla**: The Relative Humidity recorded between the range of 43.8 89.8%, with average Humidity of 73.15%.
- b. **Vadinar:** During the study period, the Relative Humidity varies between 55.3 91.5%, with average Humidity of 77.43%.

Rainfall

- a. Kandla: 3.96 rainfall was observed at Kandla.
- b. **Vadinar:** 0.43 rainfall was observed at Vadinar.

Wind Speed

Wind speed and Direction play a significant role in transporting the pollutants and thus decides the air quality.

- c. **Kandla:** Wind speed recorded ranges between 1.3 86, with average Wind Speed of 3.24 Km/hr.
- a. **Vadinar:** During the monitoring period, the Wind speed recorded ranges between 3.98 139.4, with average Wind Speed of 9.69 Km/hr.

• Solar Radiation:


- a. **Kandla:** The average Solar Radiation for the monitoring period was recorded as 67.97 W/m².
- b. **Vadinar:** The average Solar Radiation was recorded as 71.63 W/m².

• Wind rose diagram -

The wind-rose diagram for the monitoring period has been drawn on the basis of hourly wind speed and direction data.

This Wind Rose Diagram reveals that at Kandla and Vadinar, during the monitoring period, the prevailing winds predominantly blow from the West South West direction at Kandla, whereas, high speed winds were also observed to blow from West direction. At Vadinar, the winds were observed to blow from From South West direction.






Modeler: Envirotech Instruments Pvt. Ltd. Delhi.



Wind Rose Plot M/s Deendayal Port Authority Site: Vadinar Port (Canteen Area) Display: Wind Direction Wind Speed (Km/hr)



Modeler: Envirotech Instruments Pvt. Ltd. Delhi.



CHAPTER 4: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING



4.1 Ambient Air Quality

It is necessary to monitor the ambient air quality of the study area, in order to determine the impact of the shipping activities and port operations on the ambient air quality. The prime objective of ambient air quality monitoring is to assess the present air quality and its conformity to National Ambient Air Quality Standards i.e. NAAQS, 2009. Ambient air quality has been monitored from 17th June to 16th July, 2024.

Methodology

The study area represents the area occupied by DPA and its associated Port area. The sources of air pollution in the region are mainly vehicular traffic, fuel burning, loading & unloading of dry cargo, fugitive emissions from storage area and dust arising from unpaved village roads. Considering the below factors, under the study, as per the scope specified by DPA eight locations wherein, 6 stations at Kandla and 2 at Vadinar have been finalized within the study area

- Meteorological conditions;
- > Topography of the study area;
- Direction of wind;
- ➤ Representation of the region for establishing current air quality status
- ➤ Representation with respect to likely impact areas.

The description of various air quality stations monitored at Kandla and Vadinar have been specified in **Table 4**.

Location **Location Name** Latitude Longitude Significance No. Code 1. A-1 Oil Jetty No. 1 23.029361N 70.22003E Liquid containers and emission from ship 2. A-2 Oil Jetty No. 7 23.043538N 70.218617E 3. A-3 Kandla Port 23.019797N 70.213536E Vehicular activity and dust Colony emission Marine Bhavan 23.007653N 70.222197E Construction and vehicular 4. A-4 activity, road dust emission, A-5 23.000190N 70.219757E Coal Dust. Vehicular 5. Coal Storage Area activity 6. A-6 Gopalpuri 23.081506N 70.135258E Residential area, Hospital emission, vehicular activity 7. A-7 Admin Building 22.441806N 69.677056E Vehicular activity Vadinar A-8 Vadinar Colony 22.401939N 69.716306E Residential Area, burning waste, vehicular activity

Table 4: Details of Ambient Air monitoring locations

The monitoring locations at Kandla and Vadinar have been depicted in map in **Map 4 and** 5 respectively.



Ambient Air monitoring photos


Kandla
















Vadinar










Map 4: Locations for Ambient Air Monitoring at Kandla





Map 5: Locations for Ambient Air Monitoring at Vadinar



Frequency

The sampling for Particulate matter i.e. PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ and the gaseous components like SO_x , NO_x , CO as well as the Total VOCs were monitored twice in a week for a period of 24 hours a day. Whereas, the sampling for the components of PAH, Benzene and non-Methane VOCs was conducted on monthly basis.

Sampling and Analysis

The Sampling of the Ambient Air Quality parameters and analysis is conducted as per the CPCB guidelines of National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring. The sampling was performed at a height of 3.5 m (approximately) from the ground level. For the sampling of PM₁₀, calibrated 'Respirable Dust Samplers' were used, where Whatman GF/A microfiber filter paper of size 8''x 10" were utilized, where the Gaseous attachment of the make Envirotech instrument was attached with Respirable Dust Sampler for the measurement of SO_x and NO_x. The Fine Particulate Sampler for collection of PM_{2.5} was utilized for the particulate matter of size <2.5 microns. A known volume of ambient air is passed through the cyclone to the initially pre-processed filter paper. The centrifugal force in cyclone acts on particulate matter to separate them into two parts and collected as following:

- Particles <10 μ size (Respirable): GF/A Filter Paper
- Particles <2.5 μ size (Respirable): Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)

Sampling and analysis of ambient SO₂ was performed by adopting the 'Improved West and Gaeke Method'. The ambient air, drawn through the draft created by the RDS, is passed through an impinger, containing a known volume of absorbing solution of Sodium tetrachloromercurate, at a pre-determined measured flow rate of 1 liter/minute (L/min). Similarly, NO_x was performed by adopting the 'Jacob Hochheister Modified' (Na arsenite) method. The impinger contains known volume of absorbing solution of Sodium Arsenite and Sodium Hydroxide.

Data has been compiled for PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, SO_x and NO_x samples of 24-hour carried out twice a week. In case of CO, one hourly sample were taken on selected monitoring days using the sensor-based CO Meter. For the parameters Benzene, Methane & Non-methane and Volatile Organic Carbons (VOCs), the Low Volume Sampler is used, where the charcoal tubes are used as sampling media. The sampling in the Low Volume Sampler (LVS) is carried out as per IS 5182 (Part 11): 2006 RA: 2017, where the ambient air flow rate is maintained at 200 cc/min, the volume of air that passes through the LVS during two hours monitoring is approx. 24 L.

The sampling of PAHs is carried out as per IS: 5182 (Part 12): 2004. Where, the EPM 2000 Filter papers are utilized in the Respirable Dust Sampler (RDS). For the parameters, Benzene, PAH & Non-methane VOC's, monthly monitoring is carried out. The details of the parameters with their frequency monitored are mentioned in **Table 5**:



Table 5: Parameters for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

| Sr. | Parameters | Units | Reference method | Instrument | Evaguanas |
|-----|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | r arameters | Units | Kererence method | mstrument | Frequency |
| No. | | | | | |
| 1. | PM_{10} | μg/m³ | IS 5182 (Part 23): 2006 | Respirable Dust Sampler | Twice in a |
| | | | | (RDS) conforming to IS:5182 | week |
| | | | | (Part-23): 2006 | |
| 2. | PM _{2.5} | μg/m³ | IS:5182 (Part:24):2019 | Fine Particulate Sampler | |
| | | | | (FPS) conforming to IS:5182 | |
| | | | | (Part-24): 2019 | |
| 3. | Sulphur | μg/m³ | IS 5182 (Part:2): 2001 | Gaseous Attachment | |
| | Dioxide (SO _x) | | | conforming to IS:5182 Part-2 | |
| 4. | Oxides of | μg/m³ | IS:5182 (Part-6): 2006 | Gaseous Attachment | |
| | Nitrogen | | | conforming to IS:5182 Part-6 | |
| | (NO _x) | | | | |
| 5. | Carbon | mg/m³ | GEMI/SOP/AAQM/11 | Sensor based Instrument | |
| | Monoxide | | ; Issue no 01, Date | | |
| | (CO) | | 17.01.2019: 2019 | | |
| 6. | VOC | μg/m³ | IS 5182 (Part 17): 2004 | Low Flow Air Sampler | |
| | | | | | |
| 8. | PAH | μg/m³ | IS: 5182 (Part 12): 2004 | Respirable Dust Sampler | Monthly |
| | | | | (RDS) conforming to IS:5182 | |
| | | | | (Part-12): 2004 | |
| 7. | Benzene | μg/m³ | IS 5182 (Part 11): 2006 | Low Flow Air Sampler | |
| | | | RA: 2017 | | |
| 9. | Non-methane | μg/m³ | IS 5182 (Part 11): 2006 | Low Volume Sampler | |
| | VOC | | | | |

4.2 Result and Discussion

The summarized results of ambient air quality monitoring for the study period are presented in **Table-6 to 9** along with the graphical representation from **Graph 1 to Graph 6.** Various parameters monitored during the study have been presented by their maximum, minimum, average and Standard deviation.

Table 6: Summarized results of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO_x, VOC and CO for Ambient Air quality monitoring

| Station Code | Unit of Average Concentration | Average Pollutant Concentration | | | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| & | Pollutants | PM_{10} | PM _{2.5} | SO_2 | NO_X | VOC | CO (mg/m ³) |
| Name | D (' | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) (2 hr) | (mg/m³) |
| | Duration | | (24 hr) | | | | (1 hr) |
| | NAAQS by CPCB Monitoring days | 100 | 60 | 80 | 80 | - | 2 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 225.63 | 39.64 | 18.34 | 12.68 | 0.11 | 0.80 |
| A-1: | 19/06/2024 | 239.33 | 41.33 | 22.50 | 19.33 | 0.07 | 0.86 |
| Oil Jetty | 24/06/2024 | 196.37 | 30.50 | 4.96 | 6.28 | 0.22 | 0.81 |
| No.1, | 27/06/2024 | 208.63 | 34.6 | 16.64 | 9.29 | 0.14 | 0.74 |



| | Unit of Average | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|
| Station Code | _ | | Avei | rage Polluta | nt Concentra | ation | |
| | Concentration | DM | DM | SO_2 | NO_X | VOC | СО |
| & | Pollutants | PM ₁₀ (μg/m³) | PM _{2.5} (μg/m³) | 3O ₂ (μg/m³) | $(\mu g/m^3)$ | ν ΟC (μg/m³) | (mg/m ³) |
| Name | Donatha | (μg/πι-) | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | (µg/III°) | <u>" </u> | , , , |
| | Duration | | (24 | <u>III)</u> | | (2 hr) | (1 hr) |
| | NAAQS by CPCB | | | | | | |
| | Monitoring by Cr Cb | 100 | 60 | 80 | 80 | - | 2 |
| | days | | | | | | |
| Kandla | 2/7/2024 | 188.37 | 31.19 | 23.83 | 11.51 | 0.18 | 0.66 |
| | 4/7/2024 | 141.41 | 29.24 | 4.88 | <6 | 0.12 | 0.84 |
| | 8/7/2024 | 168.27 | 33.12 | 11.45 | 14.2 | 0.07 | 0.82 |
| | 10/7/2024 | 156.88 | 32.79 | 13.38 | 21.37 | 0.14 | 0.79 |
| | Minimum | 141.41 | 29.24 | 11.45 | 6.28 | 0.07 | 0.66 |
| | Maximum | 239.33 | 41.33 | 23.83 | 21.37 | 0.22 | 0.86 |
| | Average | 190.61 | 34.05 | 17.69 | 13.52 | 0.13 | 0.79 |
| | Std. Deviation | 33.85 | 4.32 | 4.90 | 5.34 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 182.61 | 43.13 | 36.12 | 18.21 | 0.08 | 0.81 |
| | 19/06/2024 | 191.11 | 40.62 | 48.62 | 10.74 | 0.03 | 0.79 |
| | 24/06/2024 | 110.57 | 36.00 | 4.92 | 5.93 | 0.11 | 0.78 |
| | 27/06/2024 | 146.32 | 34.38 | 30.40 | 16.77 | 0.16 | 0.74 |
| A-2: | 2/7/2024 | 119.29 | 38.64 | 22.56 | 8.38 | 0.09 | 0.77 |
| Oil Jetty | 4/7/2024 | 84.43 | 23.11 | 4.89 | 5.96 | 0.12 | 0.75 |
| No.7, | 8/7/2024 | 105.63 | 26.14 | 16.21 | 11.41 | 0.18 | 0.76 |
| Kandla | 10/7/2024 | 96.47 | 30.22 | 26.33 | 10.16 | 0.05 | 0.78 |
| | Minimum | 84.43 | 23.11 | 4.89 | 5.93 | 0.03 | 0.74 |
| | Maximum | 191.11 | 43.13 | 48.62 | 18.21 | 0.18 | 0.81 |
| | Average | 129.55 | 34.03 | 23.76 | 10.95 | 0.10 | 0.77 |
| | Std. Deviation | 39.74 | 7.05 | 15.08 | 4.54 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 146.07 | 13.39 | 4.87 | 5.78 | 0.20 | 0.87 |
| | 19/06/2024 | 129.49 | 14.12 28.61 | 4.96 29.38 | 5.84 12.34 | 0.13 | 0.86 |
| | 24/06/2024 | 134.77 163.17 | 31.16 | 29.36 | 9.46 | 0.19 0.12 | 0.84 |
| A-3: | 27/06/2024 2/7/2024 | 141.42 | 27.42 | 10.27 | 19.7 | 0.12 | 0.85 |
| Kandla | | 150.52 | 24.32 | 4.79 | 5.94 | 0.16 | 0.83 |
| Port | 4/7/2024 8/7/2024 | 126.63 | 18.38 | 16.83 | 12.75 | 0.11 | 0.82 |
| Colony, | 10/7/2024 | 131.31 | 21.15 | 14.77 | 22.87 | 0.27 | 0.86 |
| Kandla | Minimum | 126.63 | 13.39 | 4.79 | 5.78 | 0.32 | 0.82 |
| ranaa | Maximum | 163.17 | 31.16 | 29.38 | 22.87 | 0.32 | 0.87 |
| | Average | 140.42 | 22.32 | 13.38 | 11.84 | 0.19 | 0.84 |
| | Std. Deviation | 12.40 | 6.67 | 8.92 | 6.52 | 0.07 | 0.02 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 272.90 | 22.25 | 4.84 | 5.76 | 0.16 | 0.89 |
| | 19/06/2024 | 253.03 | 18.10 | 493 | 5.72 | 0.21 | 0.86 |
| | 24/06/2024 | 275.72 | 22.69 | 4.89 | 5.83 | 0.04 | 0.84 |
| A-4: | 27/06/2024 | 264.42 | 27.55 | 27.57 | 12.25 | 0.09 | 0.88 |
| Marine | 2/7/2024 | 218.13 | 23.41 | 19.38 | 14.07 | 0.11 | 0.87 |
| Bhavan, | 4/7/2024 | 193.37 | 25.45 | 4.97 | 5.85 | 0.23 | 0.85 |
| Kandla | 8/7/2024 | 187.73 | 21.76 | 13.49 | 16.19 | 0.21 | 0.84 |
| | 10/7/2024 | 203.38 | 18.93 | 17.38 | 23.89 | 0.25 | 0.87 |
| | Minimum | 187.73 | 18.10 | 4.84 | 5.72 | 0.04 | 0.84 |
| | Maximum | 275.72 | 27.55 | 27.57 | 23.89 | 0.25 | 0.89 |




| | Unit of Average | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|----------------------|
| Station Code | | | Avei | age Polluta | nt Concentra | ation | |
| & | D 11 () | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | SO_2 | NO_X | VOC | СО |
| | Pollutants | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) | (μg/m³) | (mg/m ³) |
| Name | Duration | | (24 | hr) | | (2 hr) | (1 hr) |
| | NAAQS | | , | , | | , , | , , |
| | by CPCB | 100 | 60 | 80 | 80 | | 2 |
| | Monitoring | 100 | 00 | 80 | 80 | - | 2 |
| | days | | | | | | |
| | Average | 233.59 | 22.52 | 13.22 | 11.20 | 0.16 | 0.86 |
| | Std. Deviation | 36.88 | 3.11 | 8.84 | 6.68 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 469.24 | 58.31 | 36.74 | 32.68 | 0.21 | 0.88 |
| | 19/06/2024 | 522.30 | 68.62 | 43.86 | 10.44 | 0.14 | 0.92 |
| | 24/06/2024 | 411.80 | 82.57 | 4.94 | 6.76 | 0.13 | 0.94 |
| | 27/06/2024 | 588.16 | 53.67 | 31.45 | 18.87 | 0.18 | 0.93 |
| A-5: | 2/7/2024 | 446.39 | 49.22 | 24.76 | 26.92 | 0.10 | 0.89 |
| Coal Storage | 4/7/2024 | 383.47 | 29.42 | 18.66 | 12.80 | 0.07 | 0.91 |
| Area, | 8/7/2024 | 366.11 | 38.11 | 29.49 | 15.37 | 0.22 | 0.94 |
| Kandla | 10/7/2024 | 333.28 | 43.66 | 37.09 | 18.47 | 0.12 | 0.90 |
| | Minimum | 333.28 | 29.42 | 4.94 | 6.76 | 0.07 | 0.88 |
| | Maximum | 588.16 | 82.57 | 43.86 | 32.68 | 0.22 | 0.94 |
| | Average | 440.09 | 52.95 | 28.37 | 17.79 | 0.15 | 0.91 |
| | Std. Deviation | 84.90 | 17.01 | 12.27 | 8.56 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 113.68 | 43.07 | 4.97 | 5.87 | 0.11 | 0.73 |
| | 19/06/2024 | 95.01 | 10.01 | 4.88 | 5.92 | 0.22 | 0.67 |
| | 24/06/2024 | 78.76 | 21.78 | 4.79 | 5.68 | 0.19 | 0.67 |
| | 27/06/2024 | 105.1 | 29.38 | 16.23 | 8.37 | 0.13 | 0.7 |
| A-6: | 2/7/2024 | 98.34 | 36.44 | 11.74 4.85 | 11.33 5.94 | 0.08 | 0.75 0.85 |
| Gopalpuri | 4/7/2024 | 61.27 78.58 | 16.27 25.71 | 23.58 | | 0.16 | 0.83 |
| Hospital, | 8/7/2024 | 83.67 | 18.87 | 9.68 | 11.96 9.79 | 0.24 | 0.78 |
| Kandla | 10/7/2024 Minimum | 61.27 | 10.01 | 4.79 | 5.68 | 0.20 | 0.62 |
| | Maximum | 113.68 | 43.07 | 23.58 | 11.96 | 0.08 | 0.85 |
| | Average | 89.30 | 25.19 | 10.09 | 8.11 | 0.24 | 0.75 |
| | Std. Deviation | 16.91 | 10.86 | 6.88 | 2.63 | 0.06 | 0.73 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 44.86 | 15.69 | 15.82 | 11.76 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| | 19/06/2024 | 47.70 | 12.78 | 4.98 | 5.98 | 0.12 | 0.71 |
| | 24/06/2024 | 38.91 | 13.49 | 6.68 | 12.09 | 0.19 | 0.68 |
| | 27/06/2024 | 29.72 | 23.66 | 4.88 | 6.33 | 0.14 | 0.69 |
| A-7: | 3/7/2024 | 27.40 | 19.44 | 4.93 | 5.89 | 0.04 | 0.72 |
| Admin | 4/7/2024 | 34.3 | 21.66 | 19.73 | 9.63 | 0.09 | 0.7 |
| Building, | 8/7/2024 | 27.08 | 17.55 | 22.32 | 5.91 | 0.23 | 0.73 |
| Vadinar | 10/7/2024 | 42.52 | 20.69 | 4.85 | 5.73 | 0.11 | 0.72 |
| | Minimum | 27.08 | 12.78 | 4.85 | 5.73 | 0.04 | 0.68 |
| | Maximum | 47.70 | 23.66 | 22.32 | 12.09 | 0.23 | 0.73 |
| | Average | 36.56 | 18.12 | 10.52 | 7.92 | 0.13 | 0.71 |
| | Std. Deviation | 8.10 | 3.92 | 7.49 | 2.79 | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| | 17/06/2024 | 49.61 | 13.63 | 9.37 | 16.18 | 0.13 | 0.74 |
| A-8: | 19/06/2024 | 52.72 | 10.30 | 4.84 | 5.91 | 0.18 | 0.75 |
| Vadinar | 24/06/2024 | 51.67 | 28.30 | 8.37 | 19.38 | 0.23 | 0.72 |
| Colony, | 27/06/2024 | 35.58 | 25.44 | 4.93 | 6.52 | 0.07 | 0.73 |




| Station Code | Unit of Average Concentration | Average Pollutant Concentration | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| & Name | Pollutants | PM ₁₀ (μg/m³) | PM _{2.5} (μg/m³) | SO ₂ (μg/m³) | NO _χ (μg/m³) | VOC (μg/m³) | CO (mg/m³) | |
| Ivallic | Duration | | (24 hr) | | | | (1 hr) | |
| | NAAQS by CPCB Monitoring days | 100 | 60 | 80 | 80 | - | 2 | |
| Vadinar | 3/7/2024 | 24.57 | 14.60 | 4.98 | 5.78 | 0.16 | 0.80 | |
| | 4/7/2024 | 47.58 | 23.53 | 11.91 | 8.48 | 0.11 | 0.76 | |
| | 8/7/2024 | 51.39 | 15.43 | 12.55 | 5.76 | 0.18 | 0.79 | |
| | 10/7/2024 | 30.02 | 21.41 | 4.91 | 5.93 | 0.09 | 0.78 | |
| | Minimum | 24.57 | 10.30 | 4.84 | 5.76 | 0.07 | 0.72 | |
| | Maximum | 52.72 | 28.30 | 12.55 | 19.38 | 0.23 | 0.80 | |
| | Average | 42.89 | 19.08 | 7.73 | 9.24 | 0.14 | 0.76 | |
| | Std. Deviation | 11.13 | 6.45 | 3.28 | 5.41 | 0.05 | 0.03 | |

Graphs 1-6 shows spatial trend of ambient air parameter at all the eight-monitoring location (six at Kandla and 2 at Vadinar








Graph 1: Spatial trend in Ambient PM₁₀ Concentration

SOx 90.00 80.00 70.00 60.00 © 50.00 © 40.00 Solution 30.00 OS 20.00 10.00 0.00 A-1 A-2 A-3 A-4 A-5 A-6 A-7 A-8 (Oil Jetty (Oil Jetty (Kandla Port (Marine (Coal Storage (Gopalpuri (Admin (Vadinar Building) No.1) No.7) Colony) Bhavan) Area) Hospital) Colony) Kandla Vadinar Location of Ambient Air Monitoring Avg —NAAQS (standard for 24 hr)


Graph 3: Spatial trend in Ambient SOx Concentration

Graph 2: Spatial trend in Ambient PM_{2.5} Concentration




Graph 4: Spatial trend in Ambient NOx Concentration





Graph 5: Spatial trend in Ambient CO Concentration



Graph 6: Spatial trend in Ambient Total VOCs



Table 7: Summarized results of Benzene for Ambient Air quality monitoring

| | Benzene (μg/m³) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|
| Sr. | | | | | NAAQS | | | | |
| No | A-1 | A-2 | A-3 | A-4 | A-5 | A-6 | A-7 | A-8 | standards (24 hr) |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 μg/m ³ |

Table 8: Summarized results of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

| Sr. | Table 6. Sui | | a resure | | ındla | TOTHUCIC | 11 y aroca | | inar |
|-----|-----------------------------------|------|----------|------|-------|----------|------------|-------------|------|
| No. | Components | A-1 | A-2 | A-3 | A-4 | A-5 | A-6 | A- 7 | A-8 |
| 1 | Napthalene | 0.25 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.60 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| 2 | Acenaphthylene | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Acenaphthene | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | Fluorene | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 5 | Anthracene | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.51 | 2.64 | 0.53 | 0.18 | 0.11 |
| 6 | Phenanthrene | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 7 | Fluoranthene | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| 8 | Pyrene | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.84 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| 9 | Chrycene | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.54 | 1.22 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 10 | Banz(a)anthracene | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.58 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| 11 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13 | Benzopyrene | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.84 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 14 | Indeno [1,2,3-cd] fluoranthene | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.26 |
| 15 | Dibenz(ah)anthracene | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| 16 | Benzo[ghi]perylene | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.18 |

Table 9: Summarized results of Non-methane VOC

| Sr | Sr Kandla | | | | | | | Vadinar | |
|----|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|---------|--|
| No | A-1 | A-2 | A-3 | A-4 | A-5 | A-6 | A- 7 | A-8 | |
| 1 | 1.11 | 1.08 | 1.63 | 1.24 | 1.43 | 1.69 | 1.53 | 1.27 | |

4.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

The results were compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), 2009 of Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB).

- The concentration of PM_{10} at Kandla varies in the range of 61.27 to 588.16 $\mu g/m^3$ with an average value of 203.93 $\mu g/m^3$. PM_{10} exceeded NAAQS of all the monitoring locations in Kandla. Whereas, at Vadinar, the concentration varies from 24.57 to 52.72 $\mu g/m^3$, with an average value of 39.73 $\mu g/m^3$, and complies with the stipulated norm (100 $\mu g/m^3$).
- The highest concentration of PM_{10} at locations A-5 i.e. Coal Storage Area could be attributed to the presence of heavy vehicular traffic in upwind areas which bring



higher impact causing the dispersion of emitted particulate matter in the ambient air. The unloading of coal directly in the truck, using grabs causes the coal to disperse in the air as well as coal dust to fall and settle on the ground. This settled coal dust again mixes with the air while trucks travel through it. Also, the coal-loaded trucks are generally not always covered with tarpaulin sheets and this might result in increased suspension of coal from trucks/dumpers during its transit from vessel to yard or storage site. This might increase the PM_{10} in and around the Coal storage area and Marine bhavan.

- The $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations at Kandla vary from 10.01 to 82.57 µg/m3, with an average of 31.84 µg/m3. While the $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations at most locations in Kandla fall within the NAAQS limits, the concentration at location A-5, with a value of 82.57 µg/m3, exceeds the permissible limit. Whereas, at Vadinar its concentration varies from 10.30 to 28.30 µg/m³ with average 18.60 µg/m³ which falls within the limit of NAAQS of 60 µg/m³.
- The concentration of SO_x varies from 4.79 to $48.62 \, \mu g/m^3$ with average concentration as 17.22 $\, \mu g/m^3$ at Kandla and 4.84 to 22.32 $\, \mu g/m^3$ with average as 9.13 $\, \mu g/m^3$ at Vadinar. The average concentration of SO_x complies with the prescribed limit of NAAQS (80 $\, \mu g/m^3$) for both the monitoring site.
- The concentration of NO_x varies from 5.68 to 32.68 $\mu g/m^3$ with average 12.08 $\mu g/m^3$ at Kandla and 5.73 to 19.38 $\mu g/m^3$ with average 8.58 $\mu g/m^3$ at Vadinar. The concentration of NO_x falls within the prescribed limit of NAAQS i.e. 80 $\mu g/m^3$ at both the monitoring site of Kandla and Vadinar.
- The concentration of **CO** varies from 0.66 to 0.94 μ g/m³ with average 0.82 μ g/m³ at Kandla and 0.68 to 0.80 μ g/m³ with average 0.73 μ g/m³ at Vadinar. The concentration falls within the norm of 2 mg/m³ specified by NAAQS at both the monitoring sites
- The concentration of **Total VOCs** levels was recorded in range of 0.03 to 0.32 $\mu g/m^3$ and 0.04 to 0.23 $\mu g/m^3$ at Kandla and Vadinar respectively. The main source of VOCs in the ambient air may be attributed to the burning of Gasoline and Natural gas in Vehicle exhaust and burning fossil fuels, and garbage that release VOCs into the atmosphere. During the monitoring period, the wind flows towards South direction at Kandla, and hence the wind direction and speed also contribute to increased dispersion of pollutants from the upward areas towards the downward areas.
- **Benzene** was not detected at any of locations of Kandla and Vadinar.
- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) are ubiquitous pollutants in urban atmospheres. Anthropogenic sources of total PAHs in ambient air emissions are greater than those that come from natural events. These locations are commercial areas where Vehicular activity and dust emission is common. PAHs are a class of chemicals that occur naturally in coal, crude oil, and gasoline. The higher concentration which results from burning coal, oil, gas, road dust, etc. Other outdoor sources of PAHs may be the industrial plants in-and-around the DPA premises.



• The Ambient air Monitoring location of Kandla recorded the **Non-methane VOC** (NM-VOC) concentration in the range of 1.08 to 1.69 µg/m³. While at Vadinar, the concentration of NM-VOC falls in the range of 1.27 to 1.53 µg/m³.

With reference to the Ambient Air Quality monitoring conducted under the study, it may be concluded that the particulate matter PM_{10} , were reported in higher concentration and apparently exceeds the NAAQS particularly at locations of Kandla., whereas $PM_{2.5}$ complies with the NAAQS at majority of the locations. For both the ambient air monitoring parameters (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$), the major exceedance was observed at location A-5 i.e. Coal Storage Area. The gaseous pollutants (NO_x , SO_x , CO, VOCs etc.) falls within the permissible limit. The probable reasons contributing to these emissions of pollutants into the atmosphere in-and-around the port area are summarized as follows: -

- 1. **Port Machinery:** Port activities involve the use of various machinery and equipment, including cranes, for lifts, tugboats, and cargo handling equipment. These machines often rely on diesel engines, which can emit pollutants such as NO_x, Particulate matter, and CO. Older or poorly maintained equipment tends to generate higher emissions.
- 2. **Port Vehicles:** Trucks and other vehicles operating within port and port area contributes to air pollution. Similar to port machinery, diesel-powered vehicles can emit NO_x, PM, CO, and other pollutants such as PAH, VOCs etc. Vehicle traffic and congestion in and around port areas can exacerbate the air quality issues.

4.4 Remedial Measures:

Efficient mitigation strategies need to be implementation for substantial environmental and health co-benefits. To improve air quality, DPA has implemented a number of precautionary measures, such as maintaining Green zone, initiated Inter-Terminal Transfer of tractor-trailers, Centralized Parking Plaza, providing shore power supply to tugs and port crafts, the use of LED lights at DPA area helps in lower energy consumption and decreases the carbon foot prints in the environment, time to time cleaning of paved and unpaved roads, use of tarpaulin sheets to cover dumpers at project sites etc. are helping to achieve the cleaner and green future at port. To address air pollution from port shipping activities, various measures that can be implemented are as follows:

- Practice should be initiated for using mask as preventative measure, to avoid Inhalation of dust particle-Mask advised in sensitive areas. Covering vehicles with tarpaulin during transportation will help to reduce the suspension of pollutants in air.
- Ensuring maintenance of engines and machinery to comply with emission standards.
- Frequent water sprinkling on roads to reduce dust suspension due to vehicular movement, this can be use during transporting coal to avoid suspension of coal dust.
- Use of proper transport methods, such as a conveyor belt, for excavated material and screens around the construction site.
- Temporary pavement of roads in construction site could considerably reduce dust emission. Prohibition of use of heavy diesel oil as fuel could be possibly reduce pollutants. Encouraging use of low-sulfur fuels (viz. Marine Gas Oil (MGO)/Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG), can significantly reduce sulfur and PM emissions from ships.



- Retrofitting ships with exhaust gas cleaning systems can help reduce sulfur emissions. Engine upgrades, such as optimizing fuel combustion and improving engine efficiency, can reduce overall emissions.
- Investing in infrastructure for cold ironing allows ships to connect to the electrical grid while docked, reducing the need for auxiliary engines and associated emissions.
- Implementing efficient cargo-handling processes, optimizing logistics to reduce congestion and idling times, and encouraging use of cleaner port machinery and vehicles can all contribute to reducing air pollution in port areas.



CHAPTER 5: DG STACK MONITORING



5.1 DG Stack Monitoring

A diesel generator is a mechanical-electrical machine that produces electrical energy (electricity) from diesel fuel. They are used by the residential, commercial, charitable and governmental sectors to provide power in the event of interruption to the main power, or as the main power source. Diesel generating (DG) sets are generally used in places without connection to a power grid, or as an emergency power supply if the grid fails. These DG sets utilize diesel as fuel and generate and emit the air pollutants such as Suspended Particulate Matter, SO₂, NO_x, CO, etc. from the stack during its functioning. The purpose of stack sampling is to determine emission levels from plant processes to ensure they are in compliance with any emission limits set by regulatory authorities to prevent macro environmental pollution. The stack is nothing but chimney which is used to disperse the hot air at a great height, emissions & particulate matters that are emitted. Hence, monitoring of these stacks attached to DG Sets is necessary in order to quantify the emissions generated from it.

As defined in scope by DPA, the monitoring of DG Stack shall be carried out at two locations, one at Kandla and one at Vadinar. The details of the DG Sets at Kandla and Vadinar have been mentioned in **Table 10** as follows:

Table 10: Details of DG Stack monitoring locations

| Sr. No. | Location Code | Location Name | Latitude/ Longitude |
|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 1. | DG-1 | Kandla | 22.98916N 70.22083E |
| 2. | DG-2 | Vadinar | 22.44155N 69.67419E |

The map depicting the locations of DG Stack Monitoring to be monitored in Kandla and Vadinar have been mentioned in **Map 6 and 7** as follows:





Map 6: Locations for DG Stack monitoring at Kandla





Map 7: Locations for DG Stack monitoring at Vadinar



Methodology:

Under the study, the list of parameters to be monitored under the projects for DG Stack Monitoring has been mentioned in **Table 11** as follows:

Table 11: DG stack parameters

| Sr. No. | Parameter | Unit | Instrument | |
|---------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|--|
| 1. | Suspended Particulate Matter | mg/Nm³ | Stack Monitoring Kit | |
| 2. | Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) | PPM | Sensor based Flue Gas | |
| 3. | Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x) | PPM | Analyzer (Make: TESTO, | |
| 4. | Carbon Monoxide | % | Model 350) | |
| 5. | Carbon Dioxide | % | Wiodel 550) | |

The methodology for monitoring of DG Stack has been mentioned as follows:

The monitoring of DG Stack is carried out as per the IS:11255 and USEPA Method. The Stack monitoring kit is used for collecting representative samples from the stack to determine the total amount of pollutants emitted into the atmosphere in a given time. Source sampling is carried out from ventilation stack to determine the emission rates/or characteristics of pollutants. Sample collected must be such that it truly represents the conditions prevailing inside the stack. Whereas the parameters Sulphur Dioxide, Oxides of Nitrogen (NO_x), Carbon Monoxide and Carbon Dioxide, the monitoring is carried out by using the sensor-based Flue Gas Analyzer.

Frequency

Monitoring is required to be carried out once a month for both the locations of Kandla and Vadinar.

5.2 Result and Discussion

The sampling and monitoring of DG stack emission was carried out at Kandla and Vadinar and its comparison with CPCB or Indian standards for Industrial Stack Monitoring the flue gas emission from DG set has given in **Table 12**.

Table 12: DG monitoring data

| Sr. | Stack Monitoring Parameters | Stack Monitoring Limits/ | DG-1 | DG-2 |
|-----|---|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| No. | for DG Sets | Standards As per CPCB | (Kandla) | (Vadinar) |
| 1. | Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM) (mg/Nm³) | 150 | 85.36 | 39.56 |
| 2. | Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) (PPM) | 100 | 6.31 | N.D. |
| 3. | Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x) (PPM) | 50 | 38.21 | 10.32 |
| 4. | Carbon Monoxide (CO) (%) | 1 | 0.26 | 0.11 |
| 5. | Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) (%) | - | 2.15 | 1.35 |

5.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

The results of DG stack emission are compared with the permissible limits mentioned in the consent issued by GPCB, and have been found within the prescribed limit for all the monitored parameters.



CHAPTER 6: NOISE MONITORING



6.1 Noise Monitoring

Noise can be defined as an unwanted sound, and it is therefore, necessary to measure both the quality as well as the quantity of environmental noise in and around the study area. Noise produced during operation stage and the subsequent activities may affect surrounding environment impacting the fauna and as well as the human population. Under the scope, the noise monitoring is required to be carried out at 10 locations in Kandla and 3 locations in Vadinar. The sampling locations for noise are not only confined to commercial areas of DPA but also the residential areas of DPA.

The details of the noise monitoring stations are mentioned in **Table 13** and locations have been depicted in the **Map 8 and 9** as follow:

Table 13: Details of noise monitoring locations

| Sr. No. | Loc | ation Code | Location Name | Latitude/ Longitude |
|------------|---------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | | N-1 | Oil Jetty 7 | 23.043527N 70.218456E |
| 2. | | N-2 | West Gate No.1 | 23.006771N 70.217340E |
| 3. | | N-3 | Canteen Area | 23.003707N 70.221331E |
| 4. | | N-4 | Main Gate | 23.007980N 70.222525E |
| 5. | dla | N-5 | Main Road | 23.005194N 70.219944E |
| 6. | Kandla | N-6 | Marin Bhavan | 23.007618N 70.222087E |
| 7. | | N-7 | Port & Custom Building | 23.009033N 70.222047E |
| 8. | | N-8 | Nirman Building | 23.009642N 70.220623E |
| 9. | | N-9 | ATM Building | 23.009985N 70.221715E |
| 10. | | N-10 | Wharf Area/ Jetty | 22.997833N 70.223042E |
| 11. | ır | N-11 | Near Main Gate | 22.441544N 69.674495E |
| 12. | Vadinar | N-12 | Near Vadinar Jetty | 22.441002N 69.673147E |
| 13. | N. | N-13 | Port Colony Vadinar | 22.399948N 69.716608E |





Map 8: Locations for Noise Monitoring at Kandla





Map 9: Locations for Noise Monitoring at Vadinar



Methodology:

The intensity of sound energy in the environment is measured in a logarithmic scale and is expressed in a decibel (dB(A)) scale. The ordinary sound level meter measures the sound energy that reaches the microphone by converting it into electrical energy and then measures the magnitude in dB(A). Whereas, in a sophisticated type of sound level meter, an additional circuit (filters) is provided, which modifies the received signal in such a way that it replicates the sound signal as received by the human ear and the magnitude of sound level in this scale is denoted as dB(A). The sound levels are expressed in dB(A) scale for the purpose of comparison of noise levels, which is universally accepted. Noise levels were measured using an integrated sound level meter of the make Envirotech Sound Level Meter (Class-I) (model No. SLM-109). It has an indicating mode of Lp and Leq. Keeping the mode in Lp for few minutes and setting the corresponding range and the weighting network in "A" weighting set the sound level meter was run for one-hour time and Leq was measured at all locations.

Frequency

Monitoring was carried out at each noise monitoring station for Leq. noise level (Day and Night), which was recorded for 24 hours continuously at a monthly frequency with the help of Sound/Noise Level Meter (Class-1). The details of the noise monitoring have been mentioned in **Table 14**.

Table 14: Details of the Noise Monitoring

| Sr. No. | Parameters Un | | Reference Method | Instrument | | |
|---------|---------------|-------|------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 1. | Leq (Day) | dB(A) | 10,0000, 2014 | Noise Level Meter (Class- | | |
| 2. | Leq (Night) | dB(A) | IS 9989: 2014 | I) model No. SLM-109 | | |

Standard for Noise

Ministry of Environment & Forests (MoEF) has notified the noise standards vide the Gazette notification dated February 14, 2000 for different zones under the Environment Protection Act (1986). The day time noise levels have been monitored from 6.00 AM to 10.00 PM and night noise levels were measure from 10.00 PM to 6.00 AM at all the thirteen locations (10 at Kandla and 3 at Vadinar) monthly. The specified standards are as mentioned in **Table 15** as follows:

Table 15: Ambient Air Quality norms in respect of Noise

| Tuble 10.71mblent 7m Quality norms in respect of 11015c | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Area Code | Calama and C A man | Noise dB(A) Leq | | | | | | | |
| | Category of Area | Daytime | Night time | | | | | | |
| A | Industrial Area | 75 | 70 | | | | | | |
| В | Commercial Area | 65 | 55 | | | | | | |
| С | Residential Area | 55 | 45 | | | | | | |
| D | Silence Zone | 50 | 40 | | | | | | |



6.2 Result and Discussion

The details of the Noise monitoring conducted during the monitoring period have been summarized in the **Table 16** as below:

Table 16: The Results of Ambient Noise Quality

| | Table 16: The Results of Ambient Noise Quality | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|------|--------------------|----------|------------|------|--------------------|
| | Station | Station Name | Category of Area | Standard | Day Time | | | | Night Time | | |
| | Code | | | | Max. | Min. | Leq dB(A) Total | Standard | Max. | Min. | Leq dB(A) Total |
| 1 | N-1 | Oil Jetty 7 | A | 75 | 58.1 | 38.9 | 48.5 | 70 | 42.6 | 35.4 | 39.0 |
| 2 | N-2 | West Gate No.1 | A | 75 | 66.1 | 48.0 | 57.1 | 70 | 50.1 | 41.1 | 45.6 |
| 3 | N-3 | Canteen Area | В | 65 | 60.2 | 44.2 | 52.2 | 55 | 49.2 | 36.7 | 43.0 |
| 4 | N-4 | Main Gate | A | 75 | 58.4 | 46.9 | 52.7 | 70 | 45.4 | 36.2 | 40.8 |
| 5 | N-5 | Main Road | A | 75 | 60.2 | 39.4 | 49.8 | 70 | 47.6 | 35.6 | 41.6 |
| 6 | N-6 | Marin Bhavan | В | 65 | 61.9 | 39.5 | 50.7 | 55 | 42.0 | 34.6 | 38.3 |
| 7 | N-7 | Port & Custom Building | В | 65 | 54.6 | 39.4 | 47.0 | 55 | 46.6 | 36.4 | 41.5 |
| 8 | N-8 | Nirman Building | В | 65 | 54.5 | 42.6 | 48.6 | 55 | 48.1 | 37.1 | 42.6 |
| 9 | N-9 | ATM Building | В | 65 | 58.1 | 41.6 | 49.9 | 55 | 45.9 | 35.9 | 40.9 |
| 10 | N-10 | Wharf Area/ Jetty | A | 75 | 61.5 | 42.6 | 52.1 | 70 | 47.2 | 40.6 | 43.9 |
| 11 | N-11 | Near Main Gate | A | 75 | 67.4 | 57.2 | 60.3 | 75 | 50.4 | 54.6 | 62.3 |
| 12 | N-12 | Near Vadinar Jetty | A | 75 | 69.3 | 63.2 | 63.7 | 75 | 52.1 | 56.3 | 59.6 |
| 13 | N-13 | Port Colony Vadinar | С | 55 | 53.5 | 45.1 | 45.3 | 55 | 43.3 | 44.7 | 52.1 |



6.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

The noise level at both the locations (Kandla and Vadinar) was compared with the standard limits specified in NAAQS by CPCB. During the Day Time, the average noise level at all 10 locations at Kandla ranged from 47.0 dB(A) to 57.1 dB(A), while at Vadinar, the noise levels for the three-location ranged from 45.3 dB(A) to 63.7 dB(A). Whereas, during Night Time the average Noise Level ranged from 38.3 dB(A) to 45.6 dB(A) at Kandla and 52.1 dB(A) to 62.3 dB(A) at Vadinar, which was within the permissible limits for the industrial and commercial area, but exceeded slightly for location N-12, which is a residential zone. Overall, the noise levels at Kandla and Vadinar fall within the prescribed norms for both Day and Night times.

6.4 Remedial Measures

Though, the noise levels detected at the locations of Kandla and Vadinar, are found within the prescribed norms, the noise can further be considerably reduced by adoption of low noise equipment or installation of sound insulation fences. Green belt of plants can be a good barrier. If noise exceeds the applicable norms, then the working hours may be altered as a possible means to mitigate the nuisances of construction activities.



CHAPTER 7: SOIL MONITORING



7.1 Soil Quality Monitoring:

The purpose of soil quality monitoring is to track changes in the features and characteristics of the soil, especially the chemical properties of soil occurring at specific time intervals under the influence of human activity. Soil quality assessment helps to determine the status of soil functions and environmental risks associated with various practices prevalent at the location.

As defined in scope by Deendayal Port Authority (DPA), Soil Quality Monitoring shall be carried out at Six locations, four at Kandla and two at Vadinar. The details of the soil monitoring locations within the Port area of DPA are mentioned in **Table 17**:

Table 17: Details of the Soil quality monitoring

| Sr. No. | Location Code | | Location Name | Latitude Longitude | | |
|---------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 1. | | S-1 | Oil Jetty 7 | 23.043527N 70.218456E | | |
| 2. | lla | S-2 IFFCO Plant | | 23.040962N 70.216570E | | |
| 3. | Kandla | S-3 Khori Creek | | 22.970382N 70.223057E | | |
| 4. | | S-4 | Nakti Creek | 23.033476N 70.158461E | | |
| 5. | ar | S-5 | Near SPM | 22.400026N 69.714308E | | |
| 6. | Vadinar | S-6 | Near Vadinar Jetty | 22.440759N 69.675210E | | |

Methodology

As per the defined scope by Deendayal Port Authority (DPA), the sampling and analysis of Soil quality has been carried out on monthly basis.

The samples of soil collected from the locations of Kandla and Vadinar and analyzed for the various physico-chemical parameter. Collection and analysis of these samples was carried out as per established standard methods and procedures. The samples were analyzed for selected parameters to get the present soil quality status and environmental risks associated with various practices prevalent at the location. GEMI has framed its own guidelines for collection of soil samples titled as 'Soil Sampling Manual'. Soil samples were collected from 30 cm depth below the surface using scrapper, filled in polythene bags, labelled on-site with specific location code and name and sent to GEMI's laboratory, Gandhinagar for further detailed analysis. The samples collected from all locations are homogeneous representative of each location. The list of parameters to be monitored under the projects for the Soil Quality Monitoring been mentioned in **Table 18** as follows:

Frequency

Monitoring is required to be carried out once a month for both the locations of Kandla and Vadinar.




Table 18: Soil parameters

| Sr. | Table 18: Soil parameters | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| No. | Parameters | Units | Reference method | Instruments | | | | |
| 1. | TOC | % | Methods Manual Soil Testing in | Titration Apparatus | | | | |
| 2. | Organic Carbon | % | India January, 2011, 09. Volumetric method (Walkley and Black, 1934) | | | | | |
| 3. | Inorganic Phosphate | Kg/Hectare | Practical Manual Chemical Analysis of Soil and Plant Samples, ICAR- Indian Institute of Pulses Research 2017 Determination of Available Phosphorus in Soil | UV-Visible Spectrophotometer | | | | |
| 4. | Texture | - | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January 2011,01 | Hydrometer | | | | |
| 5. | рН | - | IS 2720 (Part 26): 1987 | pH Meter | | | | |
| 6. | Conductivity | μS/cm | IS 14767: 2000 | Conductivity Meter | | | | |
| 7. | Particle size distribution & Silt content | - | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January 2011 | Sieves Apparatus | | | | |
| 8. | SAR | meq/L | Procedures for Soil Analysis, International Soil Reference and Information Centre, 6 th Edition 2002 13-5.5.3 Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR), Soluble cations | Flame Photometer | | | | |
| 9. | Water Holding Capacity | % | NCERT, Chapter 9, 2022-23 and Water Resources Department Laboratory Testing Procedure for Soil & Water Sample Analysis | Muffle Furnace | | | | |
| 10. | Aluminium | mg/Kg | | | | | | |
| 11. | Chromium | mg/Kg | EPA Method 3051A | | | | | |
| 12. | Nickel | mg/Kg | | | | | | |
| 13. | Copper | mg/Kg | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January, 2011, 17a | | | | | |
| 14. | z. Zinc mg/Kg | | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January, 2011, 17a | ICP-OES | | | | |
| 15. | Cadmium | mg/Kg | | | | | | |
| 16. | Lead | mg/Kg | EPA Method 3051A | | | | | |
| 17. | Arsenic | mg/Kg | LI II WELLIOU JUJIA | | | | | |
| 18. | Mercury | mg/Kg | | | | | | |

The map depicting the locations of Soil Quality Monitoring to be monitored in Kandla and Vadinar have been mentioned in **Map 10 and 11** as follows:





Map 10: Locations for Soil Quality Monitoring at Kandla





Map 11: Locations for Soil Quality Monitoring at Vadinar



7.2 Result and Discussion

The analysis results of physical analysis of the soil samples collected during environmental monitoring mentioned in **Table 19** are shown below:

Table 19: Soil Quality for the sampling period

| Table 19: Soil Quality for the sampling period | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Location | | | Kar | Vadinar | | | |
| Sr. No | Parameters | Unit | S-1 (Oil Jetty 7) | S-2 IFFCO Plant) | S-3 (Khori Creek) | S-4 (Nakti Creek) | S-5 (Near SPM) | S-6 (Near Vadinar Jetty) |
| 1 | рН | - | 7.34 | 7.3 | 8.64 | 8.45 | 7.74 | 8.14 |
| 2 | Conductivity | μS/cm | 45300 | 27200 | 226 | 219 | 102 | 272 |
| 3 | Inorganic Phosphate | Kg/ha | 2.06 | 2.22 | 3.14 | 3.03 | 0.59 | 0.55 |
| 4 | Organic Carbon | % | 0.56 | 0.5 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.1 | 0.52 |
| 5 | Organic Matter | % | 0.96 | 0.86 | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.89 |
| 6 | SAR | meq/L | 24.88 | 10.06 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.17 |
| 7 | Aluminium | mg/Kg | 11277.15 | 14127.51 | 10350.29 | 7708.929 | 12783.28 | 13457.49 |
| 8 | Chromium | mg/Kg | 53.599 | 62.015 | 53.667 | 35.6 | 51.109 | 55.378 |
| 9 | Nickel | mg/Kg | 14.22 | 5.764 | 13.391 | 5.668 | 18.72 | 24.346 |
| 10 | Copper | mg/Kg | 83.233 | 123.235 | 14.591 | 14.22 | 63.292 | 67.75 |
| 11 | Zinc | mg/Kg | 146.081 | 45.517 | 32.38 | 17.203 | 37.242 | 55.477 |
| 12 | Cadmium | mg/Kg | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 13 | Lead | mg/Kg | 15.314 | 5.068 | 2.698 | 1.591 | BQL | BQL |
| 14 | Arsenic | mg/Kg | 0.198 | BQL | 2.298 | 0.795 | BQL | BQL |
| 15 | Mercury | mg/Kg | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 16 | Water Holding Capacity | % | 37.98 | 43.96 | 40 | 39.97 | 37.95 | 51.9 |
| 17 | Sand | % | 61.52 | 65.55 | 77.54 | 75.53 | 72.81 | 74.8 |
| 18 | Silt | % | 33.44 | 31.41 | 11.43 | 13.44 | 26.15 | 24.16 |
| 19 | Clay | % | 5.04 | 3.04 | 11.03 | 11.04 | 1.04 | 1.04 |
| 20 | Texture | - | Sandy loam | Sandy loam | Sandy loam | Sandy loam | Loamy sand | loamy sand |

7.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

Soil samples were collected from 6 locations (4 at Kandla and 2 at Vadinar) and further analysed for its physical & chemical characteristics. Each of the parameters have been given an interpretation based on the observations as follows:

• The value of **pH** ranges from **7.3 to 8.64**, highest at location S-3 (Khori Creek) and lowest at S-2 (IFFCO Plant); while the average pH for Kandla was observed to be 7.93. Whereas, at Vadinar the pH was observed as 7.74 at S-5 i.e., Near SPM and 8.14 at S-6



i.e., Near Jetty Area. The pH in Kandla varies from the **Slightly alkaline to strongly alkaline.** Whereas, pH of Soil at Vadinar was found to be **Slightly alkaline**.

- At entire monitoring locations of Kandla the value of **Electrical Conductivity** ranges from **219 to 45300 \mus/cm**, highest at location S-1 (Oil Jetty 7) and lowest at S-4 (Nakti Creek), with the average as **18236.25** μ s/cm. Whereas, at Vadinar the conductivity falls within the range of **102 to 272** μ s/cm with an average value of **187** μ s/cm.
- At Kandla, the concentration of **Inorganic Phosphate** varied from **2.06 to 3.14 Kg/ha**, with average 2.61 Kg/ha. Whereas, at the locations of Vadinar, the Inorganic Phosphate was observed as 0.59 Kg/ha at S-5 (Near SPM) and 0.55 Kg/ha at S-6 (near Jetty Area), with the average 0.57 Kg/ha. The phosphorus availability in soil solution is influenced by a number of factors such as Organic matter, clay content, pH, temperature, etc.
- The concentration of **Total Organic Carbon** ranges from 0.23 to 0.56% while the average TOC at Kandla was detected as 0.39%. Whereas, at Vadinar the average TOC was found to be 0.31% where the observed TOC value found at S-5 and S-6 to be 0.1% and 0.52% respectively.
- The **Sodium Adsorption Ratio** ranges from **0.38 to 24.88 meq/L** with an average value 8.92 meq/L at Kandla. Whereas, at Vadinar, the average SAR was found to be 0.13 meq/L where the observed SAR value found at S-5 (0.09 meq/L) and S-6 (0.17 meq/L).
- The **Water Holding Capacity** in the soil samples of Kandla and Vadinar varies from 37.98 to 43.96% and 37.95 to 51.9% respectively.
- The Soil Texture was observed as "Sandy loam" at all the monitoring locations in Kandla and Vadinar, except the location S-6 of Vadinar which is "loamy sand".

Heavy Metals

- For the sampling period, the concentration of **Aluminium** varied from **7708.929 to 14127.509 mg/kg** at Kandla, and **12783.28 to 13457.493 mg/kg** at Vadinar. Whereas, the average Aluminium concentration was observed to be 10865.97 and 13120.39 mg/kg at Kandla and Vadinar monitoring station respectively.
- The concentration of **Chromium** varied from **35.6 to 62.015 mg/kg** at Kandla and **51.109 to 55.378 mg/kg** at Vadinar and the average value was observed to be 51.22 and 53.24 mg/kg at Kandla and Vadinar monitoring station, respectively.

The concentration of **Nickel** varied from **5.668 to 14.22 mg/kg** at Kandla and **18.72 to 24.346 mg/kg** at Vadinar and the average value was observed to be 9.76 and 21.533 mg/kg at Kandla and Vadinar monitoring station, respectively.



- The concentration of **Zinc** varied from **17.203 to 146.081 mg/kg** at Kandla and **37.242 to 55.477 mg/kg** at Vadinar and the average value was observed to be 60.29 and 46.35 mg/kg at Kandla and Vadinar monitoring station, respectively.
- The concentration of **copper** varied from **14.22 to 123.235 mg/kg** at Kandla and **63.292 to 67.75 mg/kg** at Vadinar and the average value was observed to be 58.81 and 65.52 mg/kg at Kandla and Vadinar monitoring station, respectively.
- Concentration of **Lead** varied from **1.59 to 15.31 mg/kg** at Kandla with average value 6.16 mg/Kg, whereas for Vadinar, the values recorded 6.57 mg/Kg at S-5 and "Below Quantification Limit" at location at S-6 location.
- The concentration of **Arsenic** varied from **0.19 to 2.29 mg/kg** at Kandla with average value 1.09 mg/Kg, whereas for Vadinar, the values recorded 6.57 mg/Kg at S-5 and "Below Quantification Limit" at location at S-6 location.
- While other heavy metals in the Soil i.e., **Mercury and Cadmium** were observed "Below Quantification Limit" for the soil samples collected at Kandla and Vadinar.



CHAPTER 8: DRINKING WATER MONITORING



8.1 Drinking Water Monitoring


It is necessary to check with the drinking water sources regularly so as to know whether water quality conforms to the prescribed standards for drinking. Monitoring the drinking water quality is essential to protect human health and the environment. With reference to the scope specified by DPA, a total of 20 locations (18 at Kandla and 2 at Vadinar) were monitored to assess the Drinking Water quality.

The details of the drinking water sampling stations have been mentioned in **Table 20** and the locations have been depicted through Google map in **Map 12 and 13**.

Table 20: Details of Drinking Water Sampling Locations

| Sr. No. | Locat | tion Code | Location Name | Latitude/ Longitude |
|------------|---------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | | DW-1 | Oil Jetty 7 | 23.043527N 70.218456E |
| 2. | | DW-2 | Port & Custom Building | 23.009033N 70.222047E |
| 3. | | DW-3 | North Gate | 23.007938N 70.222411E |
| 4. | | DW-4 | Workshop | 23.009372N 70.222236E |
| 5. | | DW-5 | Canteen Area | 23.003707N 70.221331E |
| 6. | | DW-6 | West Gate 1 | 23.006771N 70.217340E |
| 7. | | DW-7 | Sewa Sadan -3 | 23.009779N 70.221838E |
| 8. | | DW-8 | Nirman Building | 23.009642N 70.220623E |
| 9. | dla | DW-9 | Custom Building | 23.018930N 70.214478E |
| 10. | Kandla | DW-10 | Port Colony Kandla | 23.019392N 70.212619E |
| 11. | | DW-11 | Wharf Area/ Jetty | 22.997833N 70.223042E |
| 12. | | DW-12 | Hospital Kandla | 23.018061N 70.212328E |
| 13. | | DW-13 | A.O. Building | 23.061914N 70.144861E |
| 14. | | DW-14 | School Gopalpuri | 23.083619N 70.132061E |
| 15. | | DW-15 | Guest House | 23.078830N 70.131008E |
| 16. | | DW-16 | E- Type Quarter | 23.083306N 70.132422E |
| 17. | | DW-17 | F- Type Quarter | 23.077347N 70.135731E |
| 18. | | DW-18 | Hospital Gopalpuri | 23.081850N 70.135347E |
| 19. | Vadinar | DW-19 | Near Vadinar Jetty | 22.440759N 69.675210E |
| 20. | Va | DW-20 | Near Port Colony | 22.401619N 69.716822E |





Map 12: Locations for Drinking Water Monitoring at Kandla





Map 13: Locations for Drinking Water Monitoring at Vadinar



Methodology

The water samples were collected from the finalized sampling locations and analyzed for physico-chemical and microbiological parameter, for which the analysis was carried out as per APHA, 23rd Edition and Indian Standard method in GEMI's NABL Accredited Laboratory, Gandhinagar. GEMI has followed the CPCB guideline as well as framed its own guidelines for the collection of water/wastewater samples, under the provision of Water (Preservation and Control of Pollution) Act 1974, titled as 'Sampling Protocol for Water & Wastewater'; approved by the Government of Gujarat vide letter no. ENV-102013-299-E dated 24-04-2014. The samples under the study were collected and preserved as per the said Protocol. The parameters finalized to assess the drinking water quality have been mentioned in Table 21 as follows:

Table 21: List of parameters for Drinking Water Quality monitoring

| Sr. No. | Parameters Parameters | Units | Reference method | Instrument |
|---------|-----------------------|-------|--|-------------------|
| | рН | - | APHA, 23rd Edition (Section-4500- | pH Meter |
| 1. | | | H+B):2017 | |
| 2. | Colour | Hazen | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 2120 B:2017 | Color Comparator |
| 3. | EC | μS/cm | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section-2510 | Conductivity |
| J. | | | B):2017 | Meter |
| 4. | Turbidity | NTU | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section -2130 | Nephlo Turbidity |
| 4. | | | B):2017 | Meter |
| 5. | TDS | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section-2540 | Vaccum Pump |
| 5. | | | C):2017 | with filtration |
| 6. | TSS | mg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition, 2540 D: 2017 | assembly and |
| 0. | | | | Oven |
| 7. | Chloride | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section-4500-Cl- | Titration |
| 7. | | | B):2017 | Apparatus |
| 8. | Total | mg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition (Section-2340 | |
| 0. | Hardness | | C):2017 | |
| 9. | Ca Hardness | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section-3500-Ca | |
| · · | | | B):2017 | |
| 10. | Mg Hardness | mg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition (Section-3500-Mg | |
| 10. | | | B):2017 | |
| 11. | Free Residual | mg/L | APHA 23rd Edition, 4500 | |
| | Chlorine | | | |
| 12. | Fluoride | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section-4500-F- | UV- Visible |
| | | | D):2017 | Spectrophotometer |
| 13. | Sulphate | mg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition (Section 4500- | |
| | | | SO4-2-E):2017 | |
| 14. | Sodium | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section-3500-Na- | Flame Photometer |
| | | | B):2017 | |
| 15. | Potassium | mg/L | APHA,23rd Edition, 3500 K-B: 2017 | |
| 16. | Salinity | mg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition (section 2520 B, | Salinity /TDS |
| | | | E.C. Method) | Meter |
| 17. | Nitrate | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 NO3- B: | UV- Visible |
| | | | 2017 | Spectrophotometer |
| 18. | Nitrite | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 NO2-B: 2017 | |



| Sr. No. | Parameters | Units | Reference method | Instrument |
|---------|------------|-------|---|----------------|
| 19. | Hexavalent | mg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition, 3500 Cr B: 2017 | |
| | Chromium | | | |
| 20. | Manganese | mg/L | APHA,23 rd Edition, ICP Method 3120 B: | ICP-OES |
| | | | 2017 | |
| 21. | Mercury | mg/L | EPA 200.7 | |
| 22. | Lead | mg/L | APHA ICP 23rd Edition (Section-3120 | |
| 22. | | | B):2017 | |
| 23. | Cadmium | mg/L | APHA ICP 23rd Edition (Section-3120 | |
| 23. | | | B):2017 | |
| 24. | Iron | mg/L | APHA ICP 23rd Edition (Section-3120 | |
| 24. | | | B):2017 | |
| 25. | Total | mg/L | APHA ICP 23rd Edition (Section-3120 | |
| 25. | Chromium | | B):2017 | |
| 26. | Copper | mg/L | APHA,23 rd Edition, ICP Method 3120 B: | ICP-OES |
| 20. | | | 2017 | |
| 27. | Zinc | mg/L | APHA ICP 23rd Edition (Section-3120 | |
| 27. | | | B):2017 | |
| 28. | Arsenic | mg/L | APHA ICP 23rd Edition (Section-3120 | |
| 20. | | | B):2017 | |
| 29. | Total | MPN/ | IS 15185: 2016 | LAF/ Incubator |
| ۷۶. | Coliforms | 100ml | | |



8.2 Result and Discussion

The drinking water quality of the locations at Kandla and Vadinar and its comparison with the to the stipulated standard (Drinking Water Specifications i.e., IS: 10500:2012) have been summarized in **Table 22** as follows:

Table 22: Summarized results of Drinking Water quality

| Sr. | Parameters | Units | | ndard as per IS | | | | | | | | | Ka | ndla | | | | | | | | | Vad | inar |
|-----|---------------------------|--------|---------|--------------------|------|-------|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| No. | | | A | P | DW-1 | DW-2 | DW-3 | DW-4 | DW-5 | DW-6 | DW-7 | DW-8 | DW-9 | DW-10 | DW-11 | DW-12 | DW-13 | DW-14 | DW-15 | DW-16 | DW-17 | DW-18 | DW-19 | DW-20 |
| 1. | pН | - | 6.5-8.5 | - | 8.34 | 6.41 | 7.67 | 8.78 | 7.63 | 8.26 | 8.48 | 8.50 | 7.79 | 8.15 | 7.87 | 7.88 | 7.90 | 8.10 | 7.85 | 7.01 | 6.99 | 6.91 | 7.58 | 7.30 |
| 2. | Colour | Hazen | 5 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3. | EC | μS/ cm | - | - | 15 | 44.56 | 677 | 48.7 | 1004 | 88.4 | 14.05 | 31 | 703 | 210 | 1041 | 57.9 | 123.7 | 173 | 169.9 | 165 | 158.6 | 68 | 499 | 113.9 |
| 4. | Salinity | PSU | - | - | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.49 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 0.51 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.06 |
| 5. | Turbidity | NTU | 1 | 5 | BQL | BQL | 0.52 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.68 | BQL |
| 6. | Chloride | mg/L | 250 | 1000 | 4.96 | 8.55 | 119.1 1 | 6.95 | 193.56 | 17.87 | 4.47 | 7.94 | 119.1 1 | 45.16 | 203.48 | 14.39 | 23.33 | 33.25 | 36.23 | 32.26 | 35.73 | 17.87 | 71.47 | 17.87 |
| 7. | Total Hardness | mg/L | 200 | 600 | 2.5 | 8 | 165 | 13 | 200 | 7 | BQL | 3.5 | 170 | 20 | 210 | 4 | 25.0 | 40 | 12.5 | 25 | 7.5 | 12 | 130 | 20 |
| 8. | Ca Hardness | mg/L | - | - | 1.5 | 6 | 100 | 10 | 115 | 5.5 | 1 | 2.5 | 85 | 5 | 125 | 3 | 12.5 | 15 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 2.5 | 5 | 60 | 5 |
| 9. | Mg Hardness | mg/L | - | - | 1 | 2 | 65 | 3 | 85 | 1.5 | BQL | 1 | 85 | 15 | 85 | 1 | 12.5 | 25 | 5 | 12.5 | 5 | 7 | 70 | 15 |
| 10 | Free Residual Chlorine | mg/L | 0.2 | 1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.96 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11 | . TDS | mg/L | 500 | 2000 | 8 | 22 | 356 | 26 | 516 | 46 | 8 | 16 | 362 | 108 | 538 | 30 | 66 | 94 | 88 | 86 | 82 | 36 | 258 | 60 |
| 12 | . TSS | mg/L | - | 1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 13 | . Fluoride | mg/L | 1.0 | 1.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.318 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.500 | 0.360 |
| 14 | . Sulphate | mg/L | 200 | 400 | BQL | BQL | 33.51 6 | BQL | 52.375 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 38.32 | BQL | 66.402 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 21.771 | BQL | BQL | 33.620 | BQL |
| 15 | Nitrate | mg/L | 45 | • | BQL | BQL | 2.783 | BQL | 28.36 | 5.037 | BQL | BQL | 2.242 | 1.865 | 30.93 | BQL | BQL | 1.330 | 1.353 | BQL | 4.432 | BQL | 3.584 | BQL |
| 16 | . Nitrite | mg/L | - | ı | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.638 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |



Environmental Monitoring Report of Deendayal Port Authority, June-July 2024

| Sr. | Parameters | Units | | idard as per IS | | | | | | | | | Ka | ndla | | | | | | | | | Vadinar | |
|-----|------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|------|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| No. | | | A | P | DW-1 | DW-2 | DW-3 | DW-4 | DW-5 | DW-6 | DW-7 | DW-8 | DW-9 | DW-10 | DW-11 | DW-12 | DW-13 | DW-14 | DW-15 | DW-16 | DW-17 | DW-18 | DW-19 | DW-20 |
| 17. | Sodium | mg/L | - | - | BQL | BQL | 72.16 | BQL | 109.19 | 16.59 | BQL | BQL | 78.98 | 28.79 | 109.58 | 10.72 | 16.16 | 19.30 | 27.45 | 21.13 | 28.99 | 13.51 | 54.54 | 17.05 |
| 18. | Potassium | mg/L | - | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7.22 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7.89 | BQL | BQL |
| 19. | Hexavalent Chromium | mg/L | - | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 20. | Odour | TON | Agree | eable | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21. | Arsenic | mg/L | 0.01 | 0.05 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 22. | Cadmium | mg/L | 0.003 | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 23. | Copper | mg/L | 0.05 | 1.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24. | Iron | mg/L | 0.3 | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.119 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.126 | BQL | 0.872 | BQL | 0.121 | BQL | 0.252 | BQL | 0.109 | 0.128 | BQL |
| 25. | Lead | mg/L | 0.01 | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 26. | Manganese | mg/L | 0.1 | 0.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.059 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 27. | Mercury | mg/L | 0.001 | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 28. | Total Chromium | mg/L | 0.05 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 29. | Zinc | mg/L | 5 | 15 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.964 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 30. | Total Coliform* | MPN/ 100ml | Shall i | | 5110 | 380 | 695 | BQL | 3100 | 130 | 10 | 2018 | 1060 | BQL | 4250 | BQL | 35 | BQL | 3400 | BQL | 385 | 85 | 85 | 75 |

A: Acceptable, P:Permissible, BQL: Below Quantification limit Turbidity (QL=0.5 NTU), Free Residual Chlorine (QL=2 mg/L), Total Suspended Solids (QL=2 mg/L), Fluoride (QL=0.3 mg/L), Sulphate (QL=10 mg/L), Nitrate as NO₃ (QL=1 mg/L), Nitrite as NO₂ (QL=0.1mg/L), Sodium as Na (QL=5mg/L), Potassium as K (QL=5mg/L), Hexavalent Chromium (QL=0.01 mg/L), Arsenic (QL=0.005 mg/L), Cadmium (QL=0.002 mg/L), Copper (QL=0.005 mg/L), Iron (QL=0.1mg/L), Lead (QL=0.002 mg/L), Manganese (QL=0.04 mg/L), Mercury (QL=0.0005 mg/L), Total Chromium (QL=0.005 mg/L), Total Coliforms (QL=1 MPN/ 100ml)

*Note: For Total Coliform, one MPN is equivalent to one CFU. The use of either method; MPN or CFU for the detection of bacteria are considered valid measurements for bacteria limits.



8.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

Drinking water samples were taken from 20 locations (18 at Kandla and 2 at Vadinar), and their physical and chemical properties were analyzed. The analysis's results were compared with standard values as prescribed in IS 10500:2012 Drinking Water Specification.

- **pH:** The pH values of drinking water samples in Kandla were reported to be in the range of **6.41 to 8.78**, with an average pH of 7.80. In Vadinar, its values ranged from **7.30 to 7.58**, with an average pH of 7.44. Notably, the pH levels at both project sites fall within the acceptable range of 6.5 to 8.5, except the location DW-2 & DW-4, as specified under IS:10500:2012.
- Colour: The colour varies from 1 to 5 at the monitoring locations of Kandla. Only locations DW-3 showed the value of 5 Hazen, whereas, all the other locations showed a value of 1 in Hazen at Kandla. At Vadinar, the color was observed to be 1 Hazen at both the monitoring locations.
- **Electrical Conductivity (EC):** It is a measure of the ability of a solution to conduct electric current, and it is often used as an indicator of the concentration of dissolved solids in water. During the monitoring period, the EC values for samples collected in Kandla were observed to range from **14.05 to 1041 μS/cm**, with an average value of 266.26 μS/cm. In Vadinar, the EC values showed variation from **113.9 to 499 μS/cm**, with an average value of 306.45 μS/cm. It's important to regularly monitor EC levels in drinking water as it can provide valuable information about water quality and presence of dissolved substances.
- Salinity: Salinity at Kandla varies from 0.02 to 0.51 PSU with an average of 0.14 PSU, while at Vadinar, salinity was observed to be 0.24 and 0.06 PSU for locations DW-19 & DW-20 respectively.
- **Turbidity:** At the drinking water locations of Kandla, the turbidity was found BQL for all locations except locations DW-3 (0.52 NTU. Whereas, at Vadinar the value of turbidity was reported 0.68 NTU at DW-19 and BQL at DW-20 respectively.
- Chlorides: The chloride concentrations in Kandla varied from 4.47 to 203.48 mg/L, with an average value of 51.34 mg/L. At Vadinar the locations DW-19 and DW-20, the chloride concentration was observed as 71.47 mg/L and 17.87 mg/L, with an average value of 44.67 mg/L. Thus, the chloride levels at both project sites fall within the acceptable limit of 250 mg/L, as specified under IS:10500:2012.
- Total Hardness (TH): The concentration of Total Hardness varies from 2.5 to 210 mg/L, with an average concentration of 54.41 mg/L. At location DW-11, the total hardness was observed 210 mg/L, which exceeds the acceptable limit but falls within the permissible limit. While at Vadinar, the observed values were 130 & 20 mg/L; at locations DW-19 & D-20, with an average concentration of 75 mg/L. which was found to be within the acceptable norm of 200 mg/L as specified by IS:10500:2012 and is not harmful for local inhabitants.
- Total Dissolved Solids (TDS): Monitoring TDS is crucial because it provides an indication of overall quality of the water. During the monitoring period, the TDS concentrations in Kandla were observed to vary in a wide range i.e., between 8 to 538 mg/L, with an average concentration of 138.22 mg/L. At Locations DW-11, the TDS



value is 538 mg/L, which is more than the acceptable limit but within the permissible limit. while in Vadinar, it ranged from 60 to 258 mg/L, with an average of 159 mg/L. It is important to note that the TDS concentrations in both Kandla and Vadinar fall well within the acceptable limit of 500 mg/L.

- **Fluoride:** The concentration was found BQL, at all of the monitoring location except for locations DW-11 (0.31 mg/L) at Kandla. While at Vadinar Fluoride concentration was reported to be 0.500 & 0.360 mg/L respectively at both of the monitoring location.
- Sulphate: At the monitoring locations of Kandla, the sulphate concentrations were recorded BQL for majority of the locations except the locations DW-3(33.516 mg/L), DW-5 (52.375 mg/L), DW-9 (38.326 mg/L), DW-11 (66.402 mg/L), and DW-16 (21.771 mg/L). In Vadinar, the sulphate concentration was observed 33.620 mg/L at location DW-19 and BQL at location DW-20. During monitoring period in Kandla and Vadinar, the sulphate concentrations were found to be within the acceptable limits i.e., 200 mg/L as per the specified norms.
- **Nitrate:** During the monitoring period, at Kandla & Vadinar variation in the concentration of Nitrate was observed to be in the range of **1.33 to 30.93 mg/L**, with the average concentration of 8.70 mg/L and locations DW-1, DW-2, DW-4, DW-7, DW-8, DW-12, DW-13, DW-16 and DW-18 were recorded as "BQL". While at Vadinar, the concentration recorded 3.584 mg/L at location DW-19 and BQL at location DW-20.
- **Nitrite:** Except locations DW-11 (1.638 mg/L), all monitoring locations showed the Nitrite concentration as BQL at Kandla & Vadinar.
- **Sodium:** During the monitoring period, at Kandla variation in the concentration of Sodium was observed to be in the range of **10.72 to 109.58 mg/L**, with the average concentration of 42.50 mg/L and Location DW-1, DW-2, DW-4, DW-7 & DW-8 showed the BQL concentration for Sodium. While at Vadinar, the concentration recorded 54.54 mg/L at DW-19 and 17.05 mg/L at DW-20.
- Odour: Odour values recorded 1 TON at all monitoring locations of Kandla and Vadinar.
- **Arsenic:** In Kandla & Vadinar, the Arsenic concentrations were recorded BQL for all of the locations.
- **Copper:** In Kandla & Vadinar, the Copper concentrations were recorded BQL for all of the locations.
- Iron: Except for locations DW-4 (0.119 mg/L), DW-10 (0.126 mg/L), DW-12 (0.872 mg/L), DW-14 (0.121 mg/L), DW-16 (0.252 mg/L), and DW-18 (0.109 mg/L), the other locations were observed to have concentrations Below the detection Limit at Kandla. Whereas, at Vadinar the Copper concentrations were recorded 0.128 mg/L & BQL for locations DW-19 and DW-20 respectively.
- Lead: In Kandla & Vadinar, the Lead concentrations were recorded BQL for all of the locations.
- **Manganese:** All of locations observed to have BQL concentration for both the monitoring locations at Kandla and Vadinar except the location DW-8 (0.059 mg/L).
- Free Residual Chlorine: Free Residual Chlorine concentrations at all monitoring locations, including Kandla and Vadinar, were observed to be below quantifiable limits (BQL) except at location DW-11, where a concentration of 4.96 mg/L was



recorded. According to health standards, concentrations exceeding 4 mg/L are considered unsafe for human health, potentially leading to adverse health effects.

- The parameters such as Free Residual Chlorine, Toal Suspended Solid, Potassium Hexavalent Chromium and the metals (Cadmium, Mercury, Total Chromium and Zinc) were all observed to have concentrations "Below the Quantification Limit (BQL)" at majority of the locations during the monitoring period.
- Bacteriological Analysis of the drinking water reveals that Total Coliforms (TC) were detected in higher number at location DW-1 (5110 MPN/100ml), DW-11 (4250 MPN/100ml), DW-15 (3400 MPN/100ml), DW-5 (3110 MPN/100ml) & DW-8 (2018 MPN/100ml). Whereas, TC were also detected at locations DW-2 (380 MPN/100ml), DW-3 (695 MPN/100ml), DW-6 (130 MPN/100ml), DW-7 (10 MPN/100 ml), DW-9 (1060 MPN/100 ml), DW-13 (35 MPN/100 ml), DW-17 (385 MPN/100 ml), DW-18 (85 MPN/100 ml), DW-19 (75 MPN/100 ml) and DW-20 (5 MPN/100 ml) and for the rest of the monitoring locations of Kandla and Vadinar were detected "Below the Quantification Limit (BQL)". Reporting such concentration of Coliforms indicates certain external influx may contaminate the source. Hence, it should be checked at every distribution point.

8.4 Remedial Measures

Appropriate water treatment processes should be administered to eradicate coliform bacteria. The methods of disinfection such as **chlorination**, **ultraviolet** (UV), or ozone etc, apart from that, filtration systems can also be implemented to remove bacteria, sediment, and other impurities.

The following steps can be implemented to ensure that the water being supplied is safe for consumption:

- Regular monitoring should be carried out to assess the quality of drinking water at various stages, including the source, purification plants, distribution network, and consumer endpoints would help in early detection of coliform bacteria or other contaminants in the drinking water.
- It is necessary to carry out a system assessment to determine whether the drinking-water supply chain (up to the point of consumption) as a whole can deliver water of a quality that meets identified targets. This also includes the assessment of design criteria of the treatment systems employed.
- Identifying control measures in a drinking-water system that will collectively control identified risks and ensure that the health-based targets are met. For each control measure identified, an appropriate means of operational monitoring should be defined that will ensure that any deviation from required performance (water quality) is rapidly detected in a timely manner.
- Management and communication plan should be formulated describing actions to be taken during normal operation as well as during incident conditions (such as drinking water contamination) and documenting the same.



CHAPTER 9: SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT MONITORING



9.1 Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) Monitoring:

The principal objective of STP is to remove contaminants from sewage to produce an effluent that is suitable to discharge to the surrounding environment or an intended reuse application, thereby preventing water pollution from raw sewage discharges. As defined in the scope by Deendayal Port Authority (DPA), Kandla, the STP Monitoring is to be carried out weekly at three locations, one at Kandla, one at Gopalpuri and one STP at Vadinar. The samples from the inlet and outlet of the STP have been collected weekly. The details of the locations of STP to be monitored for Kandla and Vadinar have been mentioned in **Table 23** as follows:

Table 23: Details of the monitoring locations of STP

| Sr. No. | Locatio | n Code | Location Name | Latitude Longitude |
|---------|---------|--------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Kandla | STP-1 | STP Kandla | 23.021017N 70.215594E |
| 2. | Kanuia | STP-2 | STP Gopalpuri | 23.077783N 70.136759E |
| 3. | Vadinar | STP-3 | STP at Vadinar | 22.406289N 69.714689E |

The Consolidated Consent and Authorization (CC&A) issued by the GPCB were referred for the details of the STP for Kandla and Gopalpuri. The CC&A of Kandla and Gopalpuri entails that the treated domestic sewage should conform to the norms specified in **Table 24**. The treated effluent conforming to the norms shall be discharged on the land within the premises strictly for the gardening and plantation purpose. Whereas, no sewage shall be disposed outside the premises in any manner.

Table 24: Treated effluent Standards (as per CC&A of Kandla STP)

| Sr. No. | Parameters | Prescribed limits |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | рН | 6.5-8.5 |
| 2. | BOD (3 days at 27°C) | 30 mg/L |
| 3. | Suspended Solids | 100 mg/L |
| 4. | Fecal Coliform | < 1000 MPN/100 ml |

The detailed process flow diagram of the Kandla and Gopalpuri STP have been mentioned in **Figure 3 and 4** as follows:






Figure 3: Process flow diagram of STP at Kandla






Figure 4: Process flow diagram of STP at Gopalpuri

STP at Vadinar

The STP at Vadinar has been built with a treatment capacity of 450 KLD/day. The Consolidated Consent and Authorization (CC&A) issued by the GPCB has been referred for the details of the said STP. The CC&A of the Vadinar STP suggests that the domestic effluent generated shall be treated as per the norms specified in **Table 25**. The treated effluent conforming to the norms shall be discharged on the land within the premises strictly for the gardening and plantation purpose. Whereas, no sewage shall be disposed outside the premises in any manner.



Table 25: Norms of treated effluent as per CC&A of Vadinar STP

| Sr. No. | Parameters | Prescribed limits |
|---------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. | рН | 5.5-9 |
| 2. | BOD (3 days at 27°C) | 10 mg/L |
| 3. | Suspended Solids | 20 mg/L |
| 4. | Fecal Coliform | Desirable 100 MPN/100 ml |
| | | Permissible 230 MPN/100 ml |
| 5. | COD | 50 mg/L |

The detailed process flow diagram of the Vadinar STP have been mentioned in **Figure 5** as follows:





Figure 5: Process flowchart for the STP at Vadinar


The map depicting the locations of STP to be monitored in Kandla and Vadinar have been shown in **Map 14 and 15** as follows:





Map 14: Locations for STP Monitoring at Kandla





Map 15: Locations for STP Monitoring at Vadinar



Methodology

As per the defined scope by DPA, the sampling and analysis of water samples from the inlet and outlet of the STP's of Kandla and Vadinar are carried out once a week, i.e., four times a month.

The water samples were collected from inlet and the outlet of the STP's and analyzed for physico-chemical and microbiological parameter. Collection and analysis of these samples was carried out as per established standard methods and procedures for the examination of water. The samples were analyzed for selected parameters to establish the existing water quality of the inlet and outlet points of the STP. GEMI has framed its own guidelines for collection of water/wastewater samples titled as 'Sampling Protocol for Water & Wastewater'; which has been approved by the Government of Gujarat vide letter no. ENV-102013-299-E dated 24-04-2014 under the provision of Water (Preservation and Control of Pollution) Act 1974. The sample collection and preservation are done as per the said Protocol. Under the project, the list of parameters to be monitored for the STP have been mentioned in **Table 26** as follows:

Frequency

Monitoring is required to be carried out once a week for monitoring location of Kandla and Vadinar i.e., two STP station at Kandla and one STP station at Vadinar.

Table 26: List of parameters monitored for STP's at Kandla and Vadinar

| Sr. No. | Parameters | Units | Reference method | Instruments |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|---|---|
| 1. | рН | - | APHA, 23 rd edition, 4500- H ⁺ B, 2017 | pH Meter |
| 2. | TDS | mg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition, | Vacuum Pump with |
| 3. | TSS | mg/L | 2540 C: 2017 | filtration assembly and Oven |
| 4. | DO | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 C: 2017 | Titration Apparatus |
| 5. | COD | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 5220 B: 2017 | Titration Apparatus plus Digester |
| 6. | BOD | mg/L | IS-3025, Part 44, 1993 | BOD Incubator plus Titration Apparatus |
| 7. | SAR | meq/L | IS 11624: 2019 | Flame Photometer |
| 8. | Total Coliforms | MPN/100ml | IS 1622: 2019 | LAF/ Incubator |

9.2 Result and Discussion

Analytical results of the STP samples collected from the inlet and the outlet of the STP's of Kandla and Vadinar have been summarized in **Table 27 & 28**. Further it was compared with the standard norms specified in the CC&A of the respective STPs.



Table 27: Water Quality of inlet and outlet of STP of Kandla

| Sr | Parameter | Units | GPCB | | | | | | | | Kan | dla | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|---------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| No. | | | Norms | | Week | 3 of June | | | Week 4 | of June | | | Week 1 | of July | | | Week 2 | of July | |
| | | | (Kandla) | STP-1 | STP-1 | STP-2 | STP-2 | STP-1 | STP-1 | STP-2 | STP-2 | STP-1 | STP-1 | STP-2 | STP-2 | STP-1 | STP-1 | STP-2 | STP-2 |
| | | | | (Inlet) | (Outlet) | (Inlet) | (Outlet) | (Inlet) | (Outlet) | (Inlet) | (Outlet) | (Inlet) | (Outlet) | (Inlet) | (Outlet) | (Inlet) | (Outlet) | (Inlet) | (Outlet) |
| 1. | pН | - | 6.5-8.5 | 7.02 | 7.22 | 7.08 | 7.36 | 7.18 | 7.41 | 7.12 | 7.29 | 7.22 | 7.56 | 7.08 | 7.21 | 7.12 | 7.48 | 6.94 | 7.48 |
| 2. | TDS | mg/L | - | 1896 | 1438 | 708 | 682 | 3948 | 3583 | 977 | 745 | 1869 | 1624 | 766 | 498 | 6643 | 3814 | 962 | 894 |
| 3. | TSS | mg/L | 100 | 126 | 8 | 88 | 10 | 88 | 12 | 126 | 18 | 72 | 14 | 108 | 10 | 78 | 6 | 62 | 8 |
| 4. | COD | mg/L | - | 249 | 92.4 | 257 | 52.2 | 229 | 66.47 | 236 | 42.7 | 173.7 | 66.21 | 385.7 | 54.7 | 233 | 71.2 | 184 | 52 |
| 5. | DO | mg/L | - | BQL | 5 | BQL | 3 | BQL | 4.8 | BQL | 4.2 | BQL | 3.9 | BQL | 5.4 | BQL | 2.3 | BQL | 4 |
| 6. | BOD | mg/L | 30 | 77.81 | 11.55 | 80.32 | 6.53 | 71.19 | 14.16 | 87.19 | 9.26 | 68.34 | 8.27 | 118.54 | 7.59 | 79.46 | 6.89 | 57.5 | 6.5 |
| 7. | SAR | meq/L | - | 10.69 | 8.54 | 4 | 3.58 | 18.47 | 13.91 | 7.41 | 5.34 | 8.79 | 8.13 | 4.92 | 2.78 | 16.72 | 5.63 | 4.75 | 5.14 |
| 8. | Total Coliforms | MPN/ 100ml | <1000 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 |

Table 28: Water Quality of inlet and outlet of STP of Vadinar

| Sr No. | Parameter | Units | GPCB Norms (Vadinar) | Week 3 STP-3 (Inlet) | of June STP-3 (Outlet) | Week 4 STP-3 (Inlet) | of June STP-3 (Outlet) | Weel STP-3 (Inlet) | < 1 of July STP-3 (Outlet) | Week STP-3 (Inlet) | STP-3 (Outlet) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | рН | - | 5.5-9 | 7.21 | 7.07 | 7.22 | 7.04 | 7.24 | 7.05 | 7.2 | 7.48 |
| 2. | TDS | mg/L | - | 584 | 578 | 532 | 442 | 436 | 378 | 452 | 366 |
| 3. | TSS | mg/L | 20 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 18 | 4 |
| 4. | COD | mg/L | 50 | 116.9 | 36.3 | 149.2 | 52.4 | 132 | 52 | 148.6 | 36.1 |
| 5. | DO | mg/L | - | BQL | 4.5 | BQL | 5.6 | BQL | 7 | 0.9 | 7.8 |
| 6. | BOD | mg/L | 10 | 36.53 | 4.54 | 46.63 | 6.55 | 39.6 | 7.8 | 46.44 | 6.77 |
| 7. | SAR | meq/L | - | 3.08 | 2.59 | 3.51 | 2.96 | 2.32 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.99 |
| 8. | Total Coliforms | MPN/100ml | 100-230 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 |

BQL: Below Quantification limit; Total Suspended Solids (QL=2), Dissolved Oxygen (QL=0.5), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (QL=3 mg/L)



9.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

For physicochemical analysis, the treated sewage water was gathered from the Kandla STP, Gopalpuri STP, and Vadinar STP and the analytical results were compared with the standards mentioned in the Consolidated Consent and Authorization (CC&A) by GPCB.

- The **pH** of treated effluent from STPs at Kandla (STP-1 and STP-2) and Vadinar (STP-3) conform to their respective stipulated norms of 7.21-7.56 at Kandla and 7.04-7.48 at Vadinar respectively.
- The **TDS** of treated sewage at Kandla was ranges from 498 to 3814 mg/L, whereas for Vadinar it ranges from 366 to 578 mg/L.
- The **TSS** of the Treated effluent for the STP-1 and STP-2 at Kandla and STP-3 at Vadinar falls within the stipulated norms of 100 and 20 mg/L respectively as mentioned in their respective CCA.
- COD value for Kandla was observed in the range of 42.7 to 92.4 mg/L. Whereas for Vadinar the value of COD falls within the range of 36.1 52.4 mg/L, and conforms the CCA norms of 50 mg/L, except the 4th & 1st week sample of June & July.
- The value of **DO** was observed in the range of 2.3 to 5.4 mg/L, whereas for Vadinar it was observed in the range of 4.5 to 7.8 mg/L.
- The **BOD** of the outlet for the STPs of Kandla and Vadinar falls within the stipulated norms.
- The value of **SAR** for Kandla was observed in the range of 2.78 to 13.91 meq/L, whereas for Vadinar, it was observed in the range of 1.99 to 2.96 meq/L.
- The **Total Coliforms** was observed to exceed the norms at the locations of the STP-1 & STP-2 for the treated effluent at Kandla and STP-3 at Vadinar.

During the monitoring period, only Total Coliforms were observed to be exceeding the limits at STPs of Kandla and Vadinar while rest of the treated sewage parameters for STP outlet were within norms as specified under the CCA at both the monitoring sites. Regular monitoring of the STP performance should be conducted on regular basis to ensure adequate treatment as per the norms.

9.4 Remedial Measures:

- The quantum of raw sewage (influent) entering the STP should be monitored by installation of the flow meter. If the quantity of the sewage exceeds the treatment capacity of the treatment plant, then provision of additional capacity of collection sump should be provided.
- The adequacy and efficacy of the stages of Sewage treatment units shall be conducted.
- The results show the presence of total coliforms; hence the method of disinfection (Chlorination) sodium or calcium Hypochlorite can be used.
- Effectiveness of any technology depends on factors such as the specific pollutants in the
 wastewater, plant size, local regulations, and available resources. There are several
 processes that may be implemented such as Advanced oxidation process involve using
 strong oxidants to break down complex organic compounds. Methods like Fenton's



- reagent (hydrogen peroxide and iron catalyst) and UV/H_2O_2 treatment can help in reducing COD through oxidation.
- Electrochemical processes like Electrocoagulation (EC) and Electrooxidation (EO) that
 involve the application of an electric current to facilitate the removal of pollutants
 through coagulation, flocculation, and oxidation. These methods can be useful for
 treating sewage containing various pollutants.



CHAPTER 10: MARINE WATER QUALITY MONITORING



10.1 Marine Water

Deendayal Port is one of the largest ports of the country and thus, is engaged in wide variety of activities such as movement of large vessels, oil tankers and its allied small and medium vessels and handling of dry cargo several such activities whose waste if spills in water, can cause harmful effects to marine water quality.

Major water quality concerns at ports include wastewater and leakage of toxic substances from ships, stormwater runoff, etc. This discharge of wastewater, combined with other ship wastes which includes sewage and wastewater from other on-board uses, is a serious threat to the water quality as well as to the marine life. As defined in the scope by DPA, the Marine Water sampling and analysis has to be carried out at a total of eight locations, six at Kandla and two at Vadinar. The marine water sampling has been carried out with the help of Niskin Sampler with a capacity of 5L. The Niskin Sampler is a device used to take water samples at a desired depth without the danger of mixing with water from other depths. Details of the locations to be monitored have been mentioned in **Table 29**:

Table 29: Details of the sampling locations for Marine water

| Sr. No. | | ocation Code | Location Name | Latitude Longitude |
|---------|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | | MW-1 | Near Passenger Jetty One | 23.017729N 70.224306E |
| 2. | | MW-2 | Kandla Creek (nr KPT Colony) | 23.001313N 70.226263E |
| 3. | dla | MW-3 | Near Coal Berth | 22.987752N70.227923E |
| 4. | Kandla | MW-4 | Khori Creek | 22.977544N 70.207831E |
| 5. | | MW-5 | Nakti Creek (nr Tuna Port) | 22.962588N 70.116863E |
| 6. | | MW-6 | Nakti Creek (nr NH-8A) | 23.033113N 70.158528E |
| 7. | nar | MW-7 | Near SPM | 22.500391N 69.688089E |
| 8. | Vadinar | MW-8 | Near Vadinar Jetty | 22.440538N 69.667941E |

The map depicting the locations of Marine Water to be sampled and analysed for Kandla and Vadinar have been mentioned in **Map 16 and 17** as follows:





Map 16: Locations for Marine Water Monitoring at Kandla





Map 17: Locations for Marine Water Monitoring at Vadinar



Methodology

The methodology adopted for the sampling and monitoring of Marine Water was carried out as per the 'Sampling Protocol for Water & Wastewater' developed by GEMI. The water samples collected through the Niskin Sampler are collected in a clean bucket to reduce the heterogeneity. The list of parameters to be monitored under the project for the Marine Water quality have been mentioned in Table 30 along with the analysis method and instrument.

Frequency:

As defined in the scope by DPA, the sampling and analysis of Marine Water has to be carried out once in a month at the eight locations (i.e., six at Kandla and two at Vadinar).

Table 30: List of parameters monitored for Marine Water

| Sr. No | Parameters Uni | | Reference method | Instrument | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------|--|---|--|--|
| 1. | Electrical Conductivity | μS/cm | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section- 2510 B):2017 | Conductivity Meter | | |
| 2. | Dissolved Oxygen (DO) | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 O C, 2017 | Titration Apparatus | | |
| 3. | рН | 1 | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section- 4500-H+B):2017 | pH meter | | |
| 4. | Color | Hazen | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 2120 B: 2017 | Color comparator | | |
| 5. | Odour | 1 | IS 3025 Part 5: 2018 | Heating mantle & odour bottle | | |
| 6. | Turbidity | NTU | IS 3025 Part 10: 1984 | Nephlo Turbidity Meter | | |
| 7. | Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition (Section- 2540 C):2017 | Vaccum Pump with Filtration Assembly and Oven | | |
| 8. | Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 2540 D: 2017 | | | |
| 9. | Particulate Organic Carbon | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 2540 D and E | TOC analyser | | |
| 10. | Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | mg/L | IS-3025, Part- 58: 2006 | Titration Apparatus plus Digester | | |
| 11. | Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | mg/L | IS-3025, Part 44,1993, | BOD Incubator plus Titration apparatus | | |
| 12. | Silica | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 C, 2017 | | | |
| 13. | Phosphate | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 P- D: 2017 | UV- Visible | | |
| 14. | Sulphate | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 SO4-2 E: 2017 | Spectrophotometer | | |
| 15. | Nitrate | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 NO3-B: 2017 | | | |



| Sr. No | Parameters | Units | Reference method | Instrument | | |
|-----------|---|---------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 16. | Nitrite | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 4500 NO2- B: 2017 | | | |
| 17. | Sodium | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 3500 Na- B: 2017 | Elemente | | |
| 18. | Potassium | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 3500 K- B: 2017 | Flame photometer | | |
| 19. | Manganese | μg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, ICP Method 3120 B: 2017 | | | |
| 20. | Iron | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, ICP Method 3120 B: 2017 | ICP-OES | | |
| 21. | Total Chromium | μg/L | APHA, 23rd Edition, 3500 Cr | | | |
| 22. | Hexavalent Chromium | μg/L | B: 2017 | UV- Visible Spectrophotometer | | |
| 23. | Copper | μg/L | | ICP-OES | | |
| 24. | Cadmium | μg/L | | | | |
| 25. | Arsenic | μg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, ICP Method 3120 B: 2017 | | | |
| 26. | Lead | μg/L | | | | |
| 27. | Zinc | mg/L | | | | |
| 28. | Mercury | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | | | |
| 29. | Floating Material (Oil grease scum, petroleum products) | mg/L | APHA, 23 rd Edition, 5520 C: 2017 | Soxhlet Assembly | | |
| 30. | Total Coliforms (MPN) | MPN/ 100ml | IS 1622: 2019 | LAF/ Incubator | | |

10.2 Result and Discussion

The quality of the Marine water samples collected from the locations of Kandla and Vadinar during the monitoring period has been summarized in the **Table 31**. The said water quality has been represented in comparison with the standard values as stipulated by CPCB for Class SW-IV Waters.



Table 31: Results of Analysis of Marine Water Sample for the sampling period

| Sr. | Parameters | Unit | Primary Kandla Vadinar | | | | | | | | |
|------|----------------------------|---------------|---|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| No . | | | Water Quality Criteria for Class SW-IV Waters | MW-1 | MW-2 | MW-3 | MW-4 | MW-5 | MW-6 | MW-7 | MW-8 |
| 1. | Density | kg/m³ | - | 1.018 | 1.024 | 1.022 | 1.019 | 1.02 | 1.023 | 1.02 | 1.023 |
| 2. | рН | - | 6.5-9.0 | 7.79 | 7.89 | 7.85 | 7.80 | 7.79 | 7.82 | 7.83 | 7.88 |
| 3. | Color | Hazen | No Noticeable | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| 4. | EC | μS/cm | - | 62,600 | 57,800 | 59,400 | 60,500 | 61,500 | 58,900 | 53,300 | 55,100 |
| 5. | Turbidity | NTU | - | >500 | 150 | >500 | 323 | >500 | 424 | 11.7 | 18.2 |
| 6. | TDS | mg/L | - | 42,638 | 39,356 | 41,264 | 41,884 | 42,728 | 43,544 | 36,178 | 37,296 |
| 7. | TSS | mg/L | - | 744 | 152 | 568 | 348 | 608 | 348 | 12 | 14 |
| 8. | COD | mg/L | - | 68.1 | 58.7 | 89.4 | 60.4 | 88.5 | 80.9 | 57.9 | 46.8 |
| 9. | DO | mg/L | 3.0 mg/L | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.8 |
| 10. | BOD | mg/L | 5.0 mg/L | 4.26 | 3.67 | 5.59 | 3.78 | 5.53 | 5.05 | 3.62 | 5.85 |
| 11. | Oil & Grease | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 12. | Sulphate | mg/L | - | 3444.7 | 3473.1 | 3160.3 | 3452.6 | 3344 | 3045.9 | 3041.8 | 2772.6 |
| 13. | Nitrate | mg/L | - | 4.144 | 3.599 | 4.578 | 3.678 | 5.200 | 3.834 | 2.963 | 2.371 |
| 14. | Nitrite | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 15. | Phosphate | mg/L | | 0.901 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 16. | Silica | mg/L | - | 4.23 | 3.67 | 3.15 | 3.75 | 4.74 | 3.94 | 1.80 | 1.60 |
| 17. | Sodium | mg/L | - | >10,00 0 | >10,000 | >10,000 | >10,000 | >10,000 | >10,000 | >10,00 0 | >10,00 0 |
| 18. | Potassium | mg/L | - | 444 | 336 | 454 | 428 | 419 | 441 | 382 | 384 |
| 19. | Hexavalent Chromium | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 20. | Odour | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21. | Arsenic | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 22. | Cadmium | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 23. | Copper | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24. | Iron | mg/L | - | 4.477 | 0.970 | 3.887 | 2.861 | 4.058 | 2.876 | BQL | 0.225 |
| 25. | Lead | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 26. | Manganese | mg/L | - | 0.17 | BQL | 0.14 | 0.094 | 0.16 | 0.10 | BQL | BQL |
| 27. | Total Chromium | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 28. | Zinc | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 29. | Mercury | mg/L | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 30. | Particulate Organic Carbon | mg/L | 1 | 4.82 | 1.27 | 3.92 | 2.86 | 3.26 | 4.28 | 0.08 | BQL |
| 31. | Total Coliforms | MPN/ 100ml | 500/100 ml | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1600 | 13 | 4 | BQL | 9 |



| Sr. | Parameters | Unit | Primary | Kandla | | | | | Vadinar | | |
|------|---|------|--|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|
| No · | | | Water Quality Criteria for Class SW-IV Waters | MW-1 | MW-2 | MW-3 | MW-4 | MW-5 | MW-6 | MW-7 | MW-8 |
| 32. | Floating Material (Oil grease scum, petroleum products) | mg/L | 10 mg/L | 1.018 | 1.024 | 1.022 | 1.019 | 1.02 | 1.023 | 1.02 | 1.023 |

10.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

The Marine water quality of Deendayal Port Harbor waters at Kandla and Vadinar has been monitored for various physico-chemical and biological parameters during the monitoring period. The detailed interpretation of the parameters in comparison to the Class SW-IV for Harbour Waters is as follows:

- **Density** at Kandla was observed in the range of **1.018 to 1.024 kg/m³**, with the average of 1.021 **kg/m³**. Whereas for the location of Vadinar, it was observed 1.02 **kg/m³** at MW-7 and 1.023 **kg/m³** at MW-8, with the average of 1.021 **kg/m³**.
- **pH** at Kandla was observed in the range of **7.79 to 7.89**, with the average pH as 7.89. Whereas for the locations of Vadinar, it was observed in the range of be **7.83 to 7.88**, with the average pH as 7.85. For the monitoring location of both the study areas, pH was found to comply with the norms of 6.5-8.5.
- **Color** range varied from **5 Hazen** at all the monitoring locations in Kandla, and for Vadinar, it found **5 Hazen** at MW-7 and **1 Hazen** at MW-8 location.
- Electrical conductivity (EC) was observed in the range of 57,800 to 62,600 μ S/cm, with the average EC as 60116.7 μ S/cm for the locations of Kandla, whereas for the locations of Vadinar, it was observed in the range of 53,300 to 55,100 μ S/cm, with the average EC as 54,200 μ S/cm.
- For all monitoring locations of Kandla the value of **Turbidity** was observed in the range of **150 to 424 NTU**, with average value of 299 NTU, and location MW-1, MW-3 & MW-5 exceeds the quantification limit of 500 NTU. For Vadinar it ranges from **11.7 to 18.2 NTU**, with average of 14.95 NTU. Materials that cause water to be turbid include clay, silt, finely divided organic and inorganic matter, soluble coloured organic compounds, plankton and microscopic organisms. Turbidity affects the amount of light penetrating to the plants for photosynthesis.
- For the monitoring locations at Kandla the value of **Total Dissolved Solids** (**TDS**) ranged from **39,356 to 43,544 mg/L**, with an average value of 41,902.3 mg/L. Similarly, at Vadinar, the TDS values ranged from **36,178 to 37,296 mg/L**, with an average value of 36,737 mg/L.



- TSS values in the studied area varied between 152 to 744 mg/L at Kandla and 12 to 14 mg/L at Vadinar, with the average value of 461.33 mg/L and 13 mg/L respectively for Kandla and Vadinar.
- COD varied between 58.7 to 89.4 mg/L at Kandla and 46.8 to 57.9 mg/L at Vadinar, with the average value as 74.33 mg/L and 52.35 mg/L respectively for Kandla and Vadinar.
- DO level in the studied area varied between 5.5 to 6.2 mg/L at Kandla and 6.5 to 7.8 mg/L at Vadinar, with the average value of 5.73 mg/L and 7.15 mg/L respectively for Kandla and Vadinar. Which represents that the marine water is suitable for marine life.
- **BOD** observed was observed in the range of **3.67 to 5.59 mg/L**, with average of 4.64 mg/L for the location of Kandla and for the locations of Vadinar, it was observed in the range of **3.62 to 5.85 mg/L**, with an average value of 4.73 mg/L.
- Sulphate concentration in the studied area varied between 3045.9 to 3473.1 mg/L at Kandla and 2772.6 to 3041.8 mg/L at Vadinar. The average value observed at Kandla was 3320.1 mg/L, whereas 2907.2 mg/L was the average value of Vadinar. Sulphate is naturally formed in inland waters by mineral weathering or the decomposition and combustion of organic matter.
- **Nitrate** in the study area was observed in the range of **3.59 to 5.2 mg/L**, with the average of 4.17 mg/L. Whereas for the Vadinar, recorded value was observed as 2.96 mg/L at MW-7 and 2.37 mg/L at MS-8.
- In the study area of Kandla the concentration of **Potassium** varied between **336 to 454** mg/L and **382 to 384 mg/L** at Vadinar, with the average value as 420.33 mg/L and 383 mg/L respectively for Kandla and Vadinar.
- Silica in the studied area varied between 3.15 to 4.74 mg/L, with the average of 3.91 mg/L, at Kandla. Vadinar, observed value was found to be 1.80 mg/L at MW-7 and 1.60 mg/L at MS-8 locations.
- **Sodium** in the study area at both Kandla & Vadinar the sodium concentration value recorded Above the quantification limit.
- Odour was observed 1 for all locations of Kandla and Vadinar.
- **Copper** at the Kandla site as well as both locations at the Vadinar site, had levels below the quantification limit (BQL)."
- **Iron** in the studied area varied between **0.97 to 4.47 mg/L**, with the average of 3.18 mg/L, at Kandla, and for Vadinar value were recorded BQL for location MW-7 and 0.225 mg/L for location MW-8.
- Lead concentration was observed BQL at both site of Kandla & Vadinar.
- **Manganese** in the studied area varied between **0.094 to 0.17 mg/L**, with the average of 0.13 mg/L, at Kandla. At Vadinar both location MW-7 and MW-8 observed BQL.
- **Particulate Organic Carbon** in the study area was observed in the range of **1.27 to 4.82**, with the average value of 3.40. Whereas for the Vadinar, the value observed was 0.08 at MW-7 and BQL at MW-8.
- Oil & Grease, Nitrite, Phosphate, Hexavalent Chromium, Arsenic, Cadmium, Total Chromium, Zinc, Mercury and Floating Material (Oil grease scum, petroleum



products) were observed to have concentrations "Below the Quantification Limits (BQL)" for most of the locations of Kandla and Vadinar.

 Total Coliforms were detected complying with the specified norm of 500 MPN/100ml for all the locations of Kandla and Vadinar, except the location MW-4, which is 1600 MPN/100ml.

During the Monitoring period, marine water samples were analysed and found in line with Primary Water Quality criteria for class-IV Waters (For Harbour Waters).

However, as a safeguard towards marine water pollution prevention, appropriate regulations on ship discharges and provision of reception facilities are indispensable for proper control of emissions and effluent from ships. Detection of spills is also important for regulating ship discharges. Since accidental spills are unavoidable, recovery vessels, oil fences, and treatment chemicals should be prepared with a view to minimizing dispersal. Proper contingency plans and a prompt reporting system are keys to prevention of oil dispersal. Periodical clean-up of floating wastes is also necessary for preservation of port water quality.



CHAPTER 11: MARINE SEDIMENT QUALITY MONITORING



11.1 Marine Sediment Monitoring

Marine sediment, or ocean sediment, or seafloor sediment, are deposits of insoluble particles that have accumulated on the seafloor. These particles have their origins in soil and rocks and have been transported from the land to the sea, mainly by rivers but also by dust carried by wind. The unconsolidated materials derived from pre-existing rocks or similar other sources by the process of denudation are deposited in water medium are known as sediment. For a system, like a port, where large varieties of raw materials and finished products are handled, expected sediment contamination is obvious.

The materials or part of materials spilled over the water during loading and unloading operations lead to the deposition in the harbour water along with sediment and thus collected as harbour sediment sample. These materials, serve as receptor of many trace elements, which are prone to environment impact. In this connection it is pertinent to study the concentration and distribution of environmentally sensitive elements in the harbour sediment. However, human activities result in accumulation of toxic substances such as heavy metals in marine sediments. Heavy metals are well-known environmental pollutants due to their toxicity, persistence in the environment, and bioaccumulation. Metals affect the ecosystem because they are not removed from water by self-purification, but accumulate in sediments and enter the food chain.

Methodology

As defined in the scope by DPA, the Marine Sediment sampling is required to be carried out once in a month at total eight locations, i.e., six at Kandla and two at Vadinar. The sampling of the Marine Sediment is carried out using the Van Veen Grab Sampler (make Holy Scientific Instruments Pvt. Ltd). The Van Veen Grab sampler is an instrument to sample (disturbed) sediment up to a depth of 20-30 cm into the sea bed. While letting the instrument down on the seafloor, sediment can be extracted. The details of locations of Marine Sediment to be monitored under the study are mentioned in **Table 32** as follows:

Table 32: Details of the sampling locations for Marine Sediment

| Sr. No | Loc | ation Code | Location Name | Latitude Longitude | | | |
|--------|-----------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | MS-1 | | Near Passenger Jetty One | 23.017729N 70.224306E | | | |
| 2. | a | MS-2 | Kandla Creek | 23.001313N 70.226263E | | | |
| 3. | Kandla | MS-3 | Near Coal Berth | 22.987752N 70.227923E | | | |
| 4. | Ka | MS-4 | Khori Creek | 22.977544N 70.207831E | | | |
| 5. | | MS-5 | Nakti Creek (near Tuna Port) | 22.962588N 70.116863E | | | |
| 6. | | MS-6 | Nakti Creek (near NH-8A) | 23.033113N 70.158528E | | | |
| 7. | MS-7 MS-8 | | Near SPM | 22.500391N 69.688089E | | | |
| 8. | Vad | MS-8 | Near Vadinar Jetty | 22.440538N 69.667941E | | | |

The map depicting the locations of Marine Sediment sampling at Kandla and Vadinar have been mentioned in **Map 18 and 19** as follows:





Map 18: Location of Marine Sediment Monitoring at Kandla





Map 19: Locations of Marine Sediment Monitoring at Vadinar



The list of parameters to be monitored under the projects for the Marine Sediment sampling been mentioned in **Table 33** as follows:

Table 33: List of parameters to be monitored for Sediments at Kandla and Vadinar

| Sr. No. | Parameters | Units | Reference method | Instruments |
|------------|------------------------------|-------|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. | Texture | | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January 2011,01 | Hydrometer |
| 2. | Organic Matter | % | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January, 2011, 09. Volumetric method (Walkley and Black, 1934) | Titration apparatus |
| 3. | Inorganic Phosphates | mg/Kg | Practical Manual Chemical Analysis of Soil and Plant Samples, ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research 2017 | UV- Visible Spectrophotometer |
| 4. | Silica | mg/Kg | EPA METHOD 6010 C & IS: 3025 (Part 35) – 1888, part B | |
| 5. | Phosphate | mg/Kg | EPA Method 365.1 | |
| 6. | Sulphate as SO ⁴⁻ | mg/Kg | IS: 2720 (Part 27) - 1977 | |
| 7. | Nitrite | mg/Kg | ISO 14256:2005 | |
| 8. | Nitrate | mg/Kg | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January, 2011, 12 | |
| 9. | Calcium as Ca | mg/Kg | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January 2011, 16. | Titration |
| 10. | Magnesium as Mg | mg/Kg | Method Manual Soil Testing in India January 2011 | Apparatus |
| 11. | Sodium | mg/Kg | EPA Method 3051A | |
| 12. | Potassium | mg/Kg | Methods Manual Soil Testing in India January, 2011 | Flame Photometer |
| 13. | Aluminium | mg/Kg | · | |
| 14. | Chromium | mg/Kg | | |
| 15. | Nickel | mg/Kg | | |
| 16. | Zinc | mg/Kg | TDA M. d. 10054 A | IOD OFF |
| 17. | Cadmium | mg/Kg | EPA Method 3051A | ICP-OES |
| 18. | Lead | mg/Kg | | |
| 19. | Arsenic | mg/Kg | | |
| 20. | Mercury | mg/Kg | | |



11.2 Result and Discussion

The quality of Marine Sediment samples collected from the locations of Kandla and Vadinar during the monitoring period has been summarized in the **Table 34**.

Table 34: Summarized result of Marine Sediment Quality

| C - | Sr _ Kandla Vadinar | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|------------------------|--------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Sr | Parameters | Unit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No. | | | MS-1 | MS-2 | MS-3 | MS-4 | MS-5 | MS-6 | MS-7 | MS-8 | | | |
| 1. | Inorganic Phosphate | kg/ ha | 2.12 | 2.41 | 3.64 | 2.88 | 3.42 | 1.71 | 1.85 | 1.06 | | | |
| 2. | Phosphate | mg/Kg | 288.72 | 329.62 | 467.84 | 363.18 | 319.45 | 213.507 | 217.339 | 339.31 | | | |
| 3. | Organic Matter | % | 1.12 | 1.36 | 1.02 | 1.28 | 0.94 | 1.43 | 1.13 | 1.52 | | | |
| 4. | SO [±] | mg/Kg | 170.55 | 146.88 | 133.90 | 122.57 | 189.41 | 169.42 | 145.05 | 126.34 | | | |
| 5. | Ca | mg/Kg | 3680.00 | 3850.00 | 4600.00 | 4100.00 | 3740.00 | 3500.00 | 3400.00 | 3800.00 | | | |
| 6. | as Mg | mg/Kg | 1928.00 | 2473.00 | 2541.00 | 2849.00 | 2473.00 | 1342.00 | 976.00 | 1865.00 | | | |
| 7. | Silica | g/Kg | 519.37 | 521.29 | 534.91 | 546.62 | 554.35 | 523.5 | 507.02 | 534.29 | | | |
| 8. | Nitrite | mg/Kg | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.31 | | | |
| 9. | Nitrate | mg/Kg | 6.83 | 7.42 | 6.21 | 5.88 | 6.12 | 15.28 | 11.6 | 5.79 | | | |
| 10 | Sodium | mg/Kg | 8190 | 10687 | 7526 | 13760 | 9149 | 11972 | 9548 | 12586 | | | |
| 11 | Potassium | mg/Kg | 2671 | 2149 | 2375 | 3460 | 2549 | 6376 | 4447 | 1172 | | | |
| 12 | Aluminium | mg/Kg | 7234.11 | 6841.64 | 8423.36 | 9864.22 | 7246.18 | 12327.688 | 10215.74 | 12643.2 | | | |
| 13 | Chromium | mg/Kg | 49.21 | 53.46 | 52.15 | 56.51 | 48.72 | 50.009 | 48.941 | 86.61 | | | |
| 14 | Copper | mg/Kg | 5.52 | 5.63 | 5.75 | 6.29 | 5.31 | 48.227 | 30.463 | 4.25 | | | |
| 15 | Nickel | mg/Kg | 24.87 | 21.79 | 25.48 | 27.62 | 26.73 | 29.24 | 22.776 | 24.37 | | | |
| 16 | Zinc | mg/Kg | 58.75 | 52.4 | 61.85 | 82.41 | 55.12 | 62.49 | 41.691 | 40.85 | | | |
| 17 | Cadmium | mg/Kg | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | |
| 18 | Lead | mg/Kg | 6.08 | 6.41 | 6.19 | 6.77 | 6.28 | 6.54 | 2.97 | 4.494 | | | |
| 19 | Arsenic | mg/Kg | 4.61 | 4.82 | 4.58 | 4.72 | 4.42 | 4.61 | 1.485 | 2.497 | | | |
| 20 | Mercury | mg/Kg | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | |
| 21 | Texture | - | Sandy loam | Sandy loam | Silt loam | Sandy loam | Silt loam | Silt loam | Sandy loam | Loam | | | |

11.3 Data Interpretation and Conclusion

The Marine sediment quality at Kandla and Vadinar has been monitored for various physico-chemical parameters during the monitoring June-July. The detailed interpretation of the parameters is given below:

• Inorganic Phosphate for the sampling period was observed in range of **2.12 to 3.64** Kg/ha for Kandla. Whereas for Vadinar the value observed at location MS-7 (Nakti creek) is 1.71 Kg/ha and MS-8 (Near Vadinar Jetty) is 1.85 Kg/ha. For Kandla and Vadinar the average value of Inorganic Phosphate was observed 2.81 and 1.78 Kg/ha respectively.



- The concentration of Phosphate was observed in range of 288.72 to 467.84 mg/Kg for Kandla and for Vadinar the value observed at location MS-7 (Nakti creek) as 213.507 mg/Kg and MS-8 (Near Vadinar Jetty) as 217.339 mg/Kg. For Kandla and Vadinar the average concentration of Phosphate was observed 367.238 and 215.423 mg/Kg respectively.
- The **Organic Matter** for the sampling period was observed in the range of **0.94 to 1.36** % for Kandla with the average value of 1.16% and for Vadinar the value recorded at location MS-7 and MS-8 was observed 1.43% & 1.13% respectively, with average concentration as 1.28 %.
- The concentration of **Sulphate** was observed in the range of **122.57 to 212.27 mg/Kg** for Kandla and for Vadinar the value observed at MS-7 is 169.42 mg/Kg and at MS-8 is 145.05 mg/Kg. For Kandla and Vadinar the average value of Sulphate was observed 162.596 and 157.235 mg/Kg respectively.
- The value of **Calcium** was observed in the range of 3680 **to 4900 mg/Kg** for Kandla and for Vadinar the value observed at MS-7 is 3500.00 mg/Kg and at MS-8, is 3400.00 mg/Kg. The average value of Calcium for the monitoring period was observed 4145 mg/Kg and 3450 mg/Kg at Kandla and Vadinar, respectively.
- The value of **Magnesium** for the sampling period was observed in the range of **1928 to 2849 mg/Kg** for Kandla and for Vadinar the value observed at MS-7 is 1342.00 mg/Kg and at MS-8, is 976.00 mg/Kg. For Kandla and Vadinar the average value of Magnesium was observed 2427 mg/Kg and 1159 mg/Kg respectively.
- For the sampling period **Silica** was observed in the range of **519.27 to 559.73 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 539.37 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 523.5 and 507.02 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 515.26 mg/Kg.
- The value of **Nitrate** was observed in the range of **5.88 to 8.19 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 6.77 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 15.28 and 11.6 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 13.44 mg/Kg.
- The value of **Nitrite** was observed in the range of **0.61 to 0.83 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 0.73 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 0.29 and 0.22 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 0.25 mg/Kg.
- The value of **Sodium** was observed in the range of **7526 to 13760 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 10327.66 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 11972 and 9548 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 10760 mg/Kg.
- The value of **Potassium** was observed in the range of **2149 to 3671 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 2812.5 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 6376 and 4447 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 5411.5 mg/Kg.
- The value of **Aluminium**, was observed in the range of **6841.64 to 10157.25 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 8294.46 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 12327.68 and 10215.74 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 11271.7 mg/Kg.



- The value of Mercury was observed "Below the Quantification Limit" at all the eightmonitoring location of Kandla and Vadinar.
- Texture was observed to be "Sandy Loam" at location MS-1, MS-2, and MS-4 "Silt loam" at location MS-3, MS-5 & MS-6 in Kandla. "Sandy Loam" at location MS-7 & "loam" at location MS-8 in Vadinar during sampling period.

Heavy Metals

The sediment quality of Kandla and Vadinar has been compared with respect to the Average Standard guideline applicable for heavy metals in marine sediment specified by EPA have been mentioned in **Table 35.**

Table 35: Standard Guidelines applicable for heavy metals in sediments

| Sr. | Metals | | Sediment quality (mg/k | g) | Source | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No. | Metals | Not polluted | Moderately polluted | Heavily polluted | | | | | | | |
| 1. | As | <3 | 3-8 | >8 | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Cu | <25 | 25-50 | >50 | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Cr | <25 | 25-75 | >75 | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Ni | <20 | 20-50 | >50 | EPA | | | | | | |
| 5. | Pb | <40 | 40-60 | >60 | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Zn | <90 | 90-200 | >200 | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Cd | - | <6 | >6 | | | | | | | |
| ND= | ND = Not Detected | | | | | | | | | | |

(Source: G Perin et al. 1997)

Table 36: Comparison of Heavy metals with Standard value in Marine Sediment

| Sr. | Parameters | Unit | | | | Vadinar | | | | |
|-----|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|--------|--------|-------|
| No. | 1 arameters | Ollit | MS-1 | MS-2 | MS-3 | MS-4 | MS-5 | MS-6 | MS-7 | MS-8 |
| 1. | Arsenic | mg/Kg | 4.61 | 4.82 | 4.58 | 4.72 | 4.42 | 4.61 | 1.485 | 2.497 |
| 2. | Copper | mg/Kg | 5.52 | 5.63 | 5.75 | 6.29 | 5.31 | 48.227 | 30.463 | 4.25 |
| 3. | Chromium | mg/Kg | 49.21 | 53.46 | 52.15 | 56.51 | 48.72 | 50.009 | 48.941 | 86.61 |
| 4. | Nickel | mg/Kg | 24.87 | 21.79 | 25.48 | 27.62 | 26.73 | 29.24 | 22.776 | 24.37 |
| 5. | Lead | mg/Kg | 6.08 | 6.41 | 6.19 | 6.77 | 6.28 | 6.54 | 2.97 | 4.494 |
| 6. | Zinc | mg/Kg | 58.75 | 52.4 | 61.85 | 82.41 | 55.12 | 62.49 | 41.691 | 40.85 |
| 7. | Cadmium | mg/Kg | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |

- **Arsenic** was observed in the range of **4.42 to 4.82 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 4.62 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 1.48 and 2.49 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 1.99 mg/Kg. With reference to the guidelines mentioned in table 35, the sediment quality with respect to arsenic falls in moderately polluted class.
- Copper was observed in the range of **5.31 to 6.54 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 5.84 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 48.22 and 30.46 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 39.74 mg/Kg. With reference to the guidelines mentioned in table 35, the sediment quality with respect to copper falls in non-polluted class.



- Chromium was observed in the range of 48.72 to 59.81 mg/Kg for Kandla with average value 53.31 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 50 and 48.94 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 49.47 mg/Kg. With reference to the guidelines mentioned in table 35, the sediment quality with respect to chromium falls in moderately polluted class.
- Nickel was observed in the range of 21.79 to 29.24 mg/Kg for Kandla with average value 25.95 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 22.77 and 24.37 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 38.1mg/Kg. With reference to the guidelines mentioned in table 35, the sediment quality with respect to nickel falls in moderately polluted class.
- **Lead** was observed in the range of **6.08 to 6.77 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 6.37 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 2.97 and 4.49 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 3.73 mg/Kg. With reference to the guidelines mentioned in table 35, the sediment quality with respect to lead falls in moderately polluted class.
- **Zinc** was observed in the range of **52.4 to 82.41 mg/Kg** for Kandla with average value 62.17 mg/Kg and for Vadinar the value observed to be 41.69 and 40.85 mg/Kg at MS-7 and MS-8, respectively with average 56 mg/Kg. With reference to the guidelines mentioned in table 35, the sediment quality with respect to zinc falls in non-polluted class.
- Cadmium was observed BQL for all locations at Kandla and Vadinar during sampling period. With reference to the guidelines mentioned in table 35, the sediment quality with respect to cadmium falls in non-polluted class.

Analysis of the sediments indicates moderate pollution. However, it may be noted that, the sediments are highly dynamic being constantly deposited and carried away by water currents. Hence maintaining the quality of sediments is necessary as it plays a significant role in regulating the quality of the marine water and the marine ecology.

The presence of anthropic activity in the coastal areas has an effect upon the marine water and sediment. One of the primary risks associated with contaminated sediments is bioaccumulation in benthic organisms, which is a route of entry into the food chain. Generally adopted sediment remediation approaches include dredging, capping of contaminated areas, and monitored natural recovery (MNR). Dredging can remove contaminated sediments, but it requires large areas of land for sediment disposal. It is expensive and may cause secondary contamination of the water column during resuspension. MNR relies on ongoing naturally occurring processes to decrease the bioavailability or toxicity of contaminants in sediment. These processes may include physical, biological, and chemical mechanisms that act together to reduce the environmental risks posed by contaminated sediments. MNR require longer monitoring time and can be even more expensive than for dredging and capping. Capping consists of in situ covering of clean or suitable isolating material over contaminated sediments layer



to limit leaching of contaminants, and to minimize their re-suspension and transport. Hence appropriate remedial measures for the polluted sediment sites may be implemented, to reduce the concentration of the heavy metals.

CHAPTER 12: MARINE ECOLOGY MONITORING



12.1 Marine Ecological Monitoring

The monitoring of the biological and ecological parameters is important in order to assess the marine environment. A marine sampling is an estimation of the body of information in the population. The theory of the sampling design is depending upon the underlying frequency distribution of the population of interest. The requirement for useful water sampling is to collect a representative sample of suitable volume from the specified depth and retain it free from contamination during retrieval. Deendayal Port and its surroundings have mangroves, mudflats and creek systems as major ecological entities. As defined in the scope by DPA, the Marine Ecological Monitoring is required to be carried out once a month specifically at eight locations, six at Kandla and two at Vadinar. The sampling of the Benthic Invertebrates has been carried out with the help of D-frame nets, whereas the sampling of zooplankton and phytoplankton has been carried out with the help of Plankton Nets (60 micron and 20 micron). The details of the locations of Marine Ecological Monitoring have been mentioned in **Table 37** as follows:

Table 37: Details of the sampling locations for Marine Ecological

| Sr. No. | Locat | ion Code | Location Name | Latitude Longitude |
|---------|---------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | | ME-1 | Near Passenger Jetty One | 23.017729N 70.224306E |
| 2. | - | ME-2 | Kandla Creek (near KPT Colony) | 23.001313N 70.226263E |
| 3. | ME-3 | | Near Coal Berth | 22.987752N 70.227923E |
| 4. | K | ME-4 | Khori Creek | 22.977544N 70.207831E |
| 5. | | ME-5 | Nakti Creek (near Tuna Port) | 22.962588N 70.116863E |
| 6. | | ME-6 | Nakti Creek (near NH - 8A) | 23.033113N 70.158528E |
| 7. | nar | ME-7 | Near SPM | 22.500391N 69.688089E |
| 8. | Vadinar | ME-8 | Near Vadinar Jetty | 22.440538N 69.667941E |

The map depicting the locations of Marine Ecological monitoring in Kandla and Vadinar have been mentioned in **Map 20 and 21** as follows:





Map 20: Locations of Marine Ecological Monitoring at Kandla





Map 21: Locations of Marine Ecological Monitoring at Vadinar



The various parameters to be monitored under the study for Marine Ecological Monitoring are mentioned in **Table 38** as follows:

Table 38: List of parameters to be monitored for Marine Ecological Monitoring

| Sr. No. | Parameters |
|---------|--|
| 1. | Productivity (Net and Gross) |
| 2. | Chlorophyll-a |
| 3. | Pheophytin |
| 4. | Biomass |
| 5. | Relative Abundance, species composition and diversity of phytoplankton |
| 6. | Relative Abundance, species composition and diversity of zooplankton |
| 7. | Relative Abundance, species composition and diversity of benthic invertebrates (Meio, Micro and macro benthos) |
| 8. | Particulate Oxidisable Organic Carbon |
| 9. | Secchi Depth |

Methodology

• Processing for chlorophyll estimation:

Samples for chlorophyll estimation were preserved in ice box on board in darkness to avoid degradation in opaque container covered with aluminium foil. Immediately after reaching the shore after sampling, 1 litre of collected water sample was filtered through GF/F filters (pore size 0.45 µm) by using vacuum filtration assembly. After vacuum filtration the glass micro fiber filter paper was grunted in tissue grinder, macerating of glass fiber filter paper along with the filtrate was done in 90% aqueous Acetone in the glass tissue grinder with glass grinding tube. Glass fiber filter paper will assist breaking the cell during grinding and chlorophyll content was extracted with 10 ml of 90% Acetone, under cold dark conditions along with saturated magnesium carbonate solution in glass screw cap tubes. After an extraction period of 24 hours, the samples were transferred to calibrated centrifuge tubes and adjusted the volume to original volume with 90% aqueous acetone solution to make up the evaporation loss. The extract was clarified by using centrifuge in closed tubes. The clarified extracts were then decanted in clean cuvette and optical density was observed at wavelength 664, 665 nm.

• Phytoplankton Estimation

Phytoplankton are free floating unicellular, filamentous and colonial eutrophic organisms that grow in aquatic environments whose movement is more or less dependent upon water currents. These micro flora acts as primary producers as well as the basis of food chain, source of protein, bio-purifier and bio-indicators of the aquatic ecosystems of which diverse array of the life depends. They are considered as an important component of aquatic flora, play a key role in maintaining equilibrium between abiotic and biotic components of aquatic ecosystem. The phytoplankton includes a wide range of photosynthetic and phototrophic organisms. Marine phytoplankton is mostly microscopic and unicellular floating flora, which are the



primary producers that support the pelagic food-chain. The two most prominent groups of phytoplankton are Diatoms (*Bacillariophyceae*) and Dinoflagellates (*Dinophyceae*). Phytoplankton also include numerous and diverse collection of extremely small, motile algae which are termed micro flagellates (naked flagellates) as well as Cyanophytes (Bluegreen algae). Algae are an ecologically important group in most aquatic ecosystems and have been an important component of biological monitoring programs. Algae are ideally suited for water quality assessment because they have rapid reproduction rates and very short life cycles, making them valuable indicators of short-term impacts. Aquatic populations are impacted by anthropogenic stress, resulting in a variety of alterations in the biological integrity of aquatic systems. Algae can serve as an indicator of the degree of deterioration of water quality, and many algal indicators have been used to assess environmental status.

Zooplankton Estimation

Zooplankton includes a taxonomically and morphologically diverse community of heterotrophic organisms that drift in the waters of the world's oceans. Qualitative and quantitative studies on zooplankton community are a prerequisite to delineate the ecological processes active in the marine ecosystem. Zooplankton community plays a pivotal role in the pelagic food web as the primary consumers of phytoplankton and act as the food source for organisms in the higher trophic levels, particularly the economically essential groups such as fish larvae and fishes. They also function in the cycling of elements in the marine ecosystem. The dynamics of the zooplankton community, their reproduction, and growth and survival rate are all significant factors determining the recruitment and abundance of fish stocks as they form an essential food for larval, juvenile and adult fishes. Through grazing in surface waters and following the production of sinking faecal matters and also by the active transportation of dissolved and particulate matter to deeper waters via vertical migration, they help in the transport of organic carbon to deep ocean layers and thus act as key drivers of 'biological pump' in the marine ecosystem. Zooplankton grazing and metabolism also, transform particulate organic matter into dissolved forms, promoting primary producer community, microbial demineralization, and particle export to the ocean's interior. The categorisation of zooplankton into various ecological groups is based on several factors such as duration of planktonic life, size, food preferences and habitat. As they vary significantly in size from microscopic to metazoic forms, the classification of zooplankton based on size has paramount importance in the field of quantitative plankton research.

• Benthic Organisms Estimation

Benthic macroinvertebrates are small aquatic animals and the aquatic larval stages of insects. They include dragonfly and stonefly larvae, snails, worms, and beetles. Use of benthic macroinvertebrates has been in vogue as indicator organisms for water quality monitoring since long. Traditional methods of water quality monitoring incorporates mostly monitoring of physicochemical parameters. Benthic macroinvertebrates are majorly insects that dwell on the floor of water bodies. They are found in all water bodies, as they have a wide range of pollution tolerance among various species. The benthic



macro-invertebrate's community structure depends on the exposure to pollution it receives. Benthic macroinvertebrates have been used as indicator organisms to measure the water quality of water bodies across the world. Evaluating the abundance and variety of benthic macroinvertebrates in a waterbody gives us an indication of the biological condition of that waterbody. Generally, waterbodies in healthy biological condition support a wide variety and high number of macroinvertebrate taxa, including many that are intolerant of pollution. Samples yielding only pollution—tolerant species or very little diversity or abundance may indicate a less healthy waterbody. Biological condition is the most comprehensive indicator of waterbody health. When the biology of a waterbody is healthy, the chemical and physical components of the waterbody are also typically in good condition.

Diversity Index

A diversity index is a measure of species diversity within a community that consists of co-occurring populations of several (two or more) different species. It includes two components: richness and evenness. Richness is the measure of the number of different species within a sample showing that more the types of species in a community, the higher is the diversity or greater is the richness. Evenness is the measure of relative abundance of the different species with in a community.

1. Shannon-Wiener's index:

An index of diversity commonly used in plankton community analyses is the Shannon-Wiener's index (H), which emphasizes not only the number of species (richness or variety), but also the apportionment of the numbers of individuals among the species. Shannon-Wiener's index (H) reproduces community parameters to a single number by using an equation are as follow:

$$H' = \sum p_i * \ln (p_i)$$

Where, \sum = Summation symbol,

pi = Relative abundance of the species,

In = Natural logarithm

More diverse ecosystems are considered healthier and more resilient. Higher diversity ecosystems typically exhibit better stability and greater tolerance to fluctuations. e.g., The Shannon diversity index values between 2.19 and 2.56 indicate relatively high diversity within the community compared to communities with lower values. It suggests that the community likely consists of a variety of species, and the species are distributed somewhat evenly in terms of their abundance.

2. Simpson's index:

A reasonably high level of dominance by one or a small number of species is indicated by the range of **0.89 to 0.91**. The general health and stability of the ecosystem may be impacted by this dominance. Community disturbances or modifications that affect the dominant species may be more likely to have an impact. The dominating species



determined by the Simpson's index can have big consequences on how the community is organised and how ecological interactions take place.

The formula for calculating D is presented as:

$$D=1-\sum (p_i\hat{2})$$

Where, Σ = Summation symbol, pi = Relative abundance of the species

3. Margalef's diversity index:

The number of species is significantly related to the port's vegetation cover surface, depth, and photosynthetic zone. The habitat heterogeneity is a result of these three elements. Species richness is related to the number of distinct species present in the analysed area. Margalef's index has a lower correlation with sample size. Small species losses in the community over time are likely to result in inconsistent changes.

Margalef's index D_{Mg} , which is also a measure of species richness and is based on the presumed linear relation between the number of species and the logarithm of the number of individuals. It is given by the formula:

$$D_{Mg} = \frac{S-1}{\ln N}$$

Where, N = total number of individuals collected

S = No. of taxa or species or genera

4. Berger-Parker index:

This is a useful tool for tracking the biodiversity of deteriorated ecosystems. Environmental factors have a considerable impact on this index, which accounts for the dominance of the most abundant species over the total abundance of all species in the assemblage. The preservation of their biodiversity and the identification of the fundamental elements influencing community patterns are thus critical for management and conservation. Successful colonising species will dominate the assemblage, causing the Berger-Parker index to rise, corresponding to well-documented successional processes. The environmental and ecological features of the system after disturbance may therefore simply but significantly determine the identity of the opportunistic and colonising species through niche selection processes.

The Berger-Parker index is a biodiversity metric that focuses on the dominance or relative abundance of a single species within a community. It provides a measure of the most abundant species compared to the total abundance of all species present in the community. Mathematically, it can be represented as follows:

$$d = \frac{N_{max}}{N_i}$$

Where, N_{max} = Max no of individuals of particular genera or species

 $\sum N_i$ = Total no of individuals obtained.

The resulting value of the Berger-Parker index ranges between 0 and 1. A higher index value indicates a greater dominance of a single species within the community. Conversely, a lower index value suggests a more even distribution of abundance among different species, indicating higher species diversity. The range of the Berger-Parker



index can be interpreted as when the index value is close to 0, it signifies a high diversity with a more even distribution of abundances among different species. In such cases, no single species dominates the community, and there is a balanced representation of various species.

5. Evenness index-

Evenness index determines the homogeneity (and heterogeneity) of the species' abundance. Intermediate values between 0 and 1 represent varying degrees of evenness or unevenness in the distribution of individuals among species. Value of species evenness represents the degree of redundancy and resilience in an ecosystem. High species evenness = All species of a community can perform similar ecological activities or functions= even utilization of available ecological niches = food web more stable = ecosystem is robust (resistant to disturbances or environmental changes). Intermediate values between 0 and 1 represent variable degrees of evenness or unevenness.

$$EI = \frac{H}{\ln{(S)}}$$

Where, H= Shannon value

ln(S) = the natural logarithm of the number of different species in the community

Relative Abundance: The species abundance distribution (SAD) from disturbed ecosystems follows even/ uneven pattern. E.g., If relative abundance is 0.15, then the found species are neither highly dominant nor rare.

$$RA = \frac{No.\,of\,\,Individuals\,\,of\,\,Sp.}{Total\,\,no.\,of\,\,Individual} * 100\%$$

The basic idea of index is to obtain a quantitative estimate of biological variability that can be used to compare biological entities composed of discrete components in space and time. Biodiversity is commonly expressed through indices based on species richness and species abundances. Biodiversity indices are a non-parametric tool used to describe the relationship between species number and abundance. The most widely used bio diversity indices are Shannon Weiner index and Simpson's index.

12.2 Result and Discussion

The details of Marine Ecological Monitoring conducted for the locations of Kandla and Vadinar during the monitoring period has been summarized in the **Table 39**.

Table 39: Values of Biomass, Net Primary Productivity (NPP), Gross Primary Productivity (GPP), Pheophytin and Chlorophyll for Kandla and Vadinar

| Sr. | Parameters | Unit | | Kandla Vadina | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------|------|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| No. | | | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 | |
| 1. | Biomass | mg/L | 158 | 220 | 92 | 147 | 130 | 108 | 115 | 158 | |
| 2. | Net Primary Productivity | mg/L/hr | 0.58 | BQL | 0.82 | BQL | 0.72 | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| 3. | Gross Primary Productivity | mg/L/hr | 1.12 | BQL | 1.22 | 0.78 | 1.19 | 0.66 | 0.76 | BQL | |
| 4. | Pheophytin | mg/m³ | 0.88 | 4 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 1.12 | 0.97 | 1.32 | BQL | |



| Sr. | Parameters | Unit | | | | Vadinar | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|
| No. | | | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
| 5. | Chlorophyll-a | mg/m³ | 0.93 | 1.210 | 1.87 | 1.19 | 1.86 | 1.52 | 1.44 | 1.26 |
| 6. | Particulate Oxidisable Organic Carbon | mg/L | 1.11 | 0.78 | 0.74 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 1.08 | 0.61 | 0.62 |
| 7. | Secchi Depth | ft | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 1.05 | 1.16 |

• Biomass:

With reference to the **Table 39**, the concentration of **Biomass** reported from location ME-1 to ME-6 in range between **92-220mg/L** where lowest biomass presents in ME-3 (Near Coal Berth) and highest biomass present in ME-2 (Kandla Creek) during sampling period. In Vadinar, the value of biomass was observed 115 mg/L at ME-7 (Near SPM) and 158 mg/L in ME-8 (Near Vadinar Jetty) monitoring station.

• Productivity (Net and Gross)

Gross primary productivity (GPP) is the rate at which organic matter is synthesised by producers per unit area and time (GPP). The amount of carbon fixed during photosynthesis by all producers in an ecosystem is referred to as gross primary productivity. The monitoring location of Kandla reported GPP value in range between **0.66 to 1.22 mg/L/48 Hr** where the highest value recorded for ME-3 and lowest recorded at ME-6 (Nakti Creek (near NH - 8A)). In Vadinar, the value of GPP was observed 0.76 at ME-7 (Near SPM) and BQL at ME-8 (Near Vadinar Jetty) monitoring station.

Net primary productivity, is the amount of fixed carbon that is not consumed by plants, and it is this remaining fixed carbon that is made available to various consumers in the ecosystem. The Net primary productivity of the monitoring location at Kandla from (ME-1 to ME-6) has been estimated to be between **0.58 to 0.82 mg/L/48 Hr**. While in Vadinar, the value of **NPP** was observed BQL at ME-7 (Near SPM) and ME-8 (Near Vadinar Jetty) monitoring station.

Pheophytin

The level of Pheophytin was detected in the range from **0.78 to 4 mg/m³** where the highest value observed at ME-2 (Kandla Creek (near KPT Colony)) and the lowest value observed at ME-3 (Near Coal Berth). While in Vadinar, the value of Pheophytin was observed 1.32mg/m³ at ME-7 and BQL at ME-8 monitoring station.

• Chlorophyll-a

In the sub surface water, the value of Chlorophyll-a reported in range from **0.93 to 1.87 mg/m**³. The highest value observed at ME-3 (Near Coal Berth) while the lowest value observed at ME-1 (Near Passenger Jetty One). In Vadinar, the value of chlorophyll-a was observed 1.44 mg/m³ at ME-7 (Near SPM) and 1.26 mg/m³ in ME-8 (Near Vadinar Jetty) monitoring station.

• Particulate Oxidisable Organic Carbon



During the sampling period, the particulate oxidisable organic carbon falls within the range of **0.74 to 1.11 mg/L** from monitoring location ME-1 to ME-6 at Kandla, whereas for Vadinar, the value of POC observed 0.61 mg/L at ME-7 (Near SPM) and 0.62 mg/L in ME-8 (Near Vadinar Jetty) monitoring station.

• Secchi Depth

In monitoring station of Kandla (ME-1 to ME-6) the level of Secchi Depth was observed between **0.53 to 0.71 ft** whereas at Vadinar, the value recorded at ME-7 i.e. Near SPM is 1.05 ft and in Near Vadinar Jetty is 1.16 ft.



Ecological Diversity

Phytoplankton: For the evaluation of the Phytoplankton population in DPA Kandla and Vadinar within the immediate surroundings of the port, sampling was conducted during the study period. Total 8 sampling locations were studied i.es. sampling locations (6 from Kandla and two from Vadinar).

The details of variation in abundance and diversity in phytoplankton communities is mentioned in **Table 40**.

Table 40: Phytoplankton variations in abundance and diversity in sub surface sampling stations

| Genera | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Bacillaria sp. | - | 253 | - | - | 258 | 155 | - | - |
| Biddulphia sp. | 219 | - | 377 | 116 | - | - | 129 | 211 |
| Chaetoceros sp. | - | - | - | - | 119 | - | - | - |
| Chlamydomonas sp. | 189 | 129 | - | 268 | - | 262 | 355 | 282 |
| Cyclotella sp. | 202 | - | 324 | - | 143 | - | - | - |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | - | 156 | - | 179 | - | 154 | 166 | 197 |
| Ditylum sp | 225 | - | 170 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fragilaria sp. | - | 344 | - | - | 264 | 255 | - | 208 |
| Bacteriastrum sp. | 176 | - | 432 | 202 | 187 | - | 345 | - |
| Pleurosigma sp. | - | 181 | - | - | - | 192 | - | - |
| Navicula sp. | 281 | - | 186 | - | 246 | - | - | 149 |
| Merismopedia sp. | - | 191 | - | 161 | - | 164 | 250 | - |
| Synedra sp. | 217 | - | - | - | 266 | - | - | - |
| Skeletonema sp. | - | 131 | - | 153 | - | 238 | - | 294 |
| Oscillatoria sp. | - | - | 166 | - | 169 | - | 192 | - |
| Thallassiosira | 297 | 198 | - | 232 | - | 356 | - | 189 |
| Gomphonema sp. | - | - | 158 | - | 188 | - | 221 | - |
| Density-Units/L | 1806 | 1583 | 1813 | 1311 | 1840 | 1776 | 1658 | 1530 |
| No. of genera | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 |

The phytoplankton community of the sub surface water in the Kandla and Vadinar was represented by, Diatoms, green algae and filamentous Cynobacteria. Diatoms were represented by 15 genera; green algae were represented by 1 genera and filamentous Cynobacteria were represented by 1 genera during the sampling period.

The density of phytoplankton of the sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 (Kandla) varying from 1311 to 1840 units/L, while for Vadinar its density of phytoplankton observed 1658 units/L at ME-7 and 1530 units/L at ME-8. During the sampling, phytoplankton communities were dominated by *Thallassiosira* and *Cyclotella sp.* in Kandla, while *Chlamydomonas sp.* in Vadinar.

The details of Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Phytoplankton is mentioned in **Table 41**.



Table 41: Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Phytoplankton

| Indices | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Taxa S | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Individuals | 1806 | 1583 | 1813 | 1311 | 1840 | 1776 | 1658 | 1530 |
| Shannon diversity | 2.06 | 1.89 | 1.87 | 1.62 | 2.18 | 2.02 | 1.81 | 1.77 |
| Simpson 1-D | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.85 |
| Species Evenness | 0.99 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.83 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.91 |
| Margalef richness | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 1.06 | 0.94 | 0.81 | 0.82 |
| Berger-Parker | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| Relative abundance | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.46 |

- Shannon-Wiener's Index (H) of phytoplankton communities was in the range of 1.62 to 2.18 between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 1.94 at Kandla creek and its nearby creeks. While for Vadinar, Shannon Wiener's index of phytoplankton communities recorded to be 1.81 at location ME-7 and 1.77 at ME-8 with an average value of 1.79. The apportionment of the numbers of individuals among the species observed higher stability at all monitoring location of Kandla.
- Simpson diversity index (1-D) of phytoplankton communities was ranged between 0.83 to 0.88 at all sampling stations in the Kandla creek and nearby creeks, with an average of 0.86 Similarly, for Vadinar Simpson diversity index (1-D) of phytoplankton communities was 0.84 at location ME-7 and 0.85 at ME-8 with an average of 0.85.
- Margalef's diversity index (Species Richness) of phytoplankton communities in Kandla and nearby creeks sampling stations was varying from **0.80 to 1.06** with an average of 0.92 during the sampling period. While for Vadinar, Margalef's diversity index (Species Richness) of phytoplankton communities observed 0.81 at ME-7 and 0.82 at ME-8 with an average value of 0.82.
- **Berger-Parker Index (d)** of phytoplankton communities was in the range of **0.14 to 0.24** between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 0.19 at Kandla creek and nearby creeks. Berger-Parker Index (d) of phytoplankton communities in the sampling stations of Vadinar, was in the range of 0.19to 0.21 with an average value of 0.20. All the monitoring station signifies a low diversity with an even distribution among the different species.
- The **Species Evenness** is observed in the range of **0.83 to 0.99** for all the six-monitoring station of Kandla and for the Vadinar the species evenness is observed 0.93 at location ME-7 & 0.91 at ME-8 location.
- During the sampling period, **Relative Abundance** of phytoplankton communities was in range of **0.39 to 0.53** between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 0.47 at Kandla creek and nearby creeks. Whereas for Vadinar the Index value 0.42 at ME-7 and 0.46 at ME-8 with an average value 0.44, thus it is concluded that the studied species can be stated as neither highly dominant nor rare.



The details of variation in abundance and diversity in zooplankton communities is mentioned in **Table 42**.

Table 42: Zooplankton variations in abundance and diversity in sub surface sampling stations

| Genera | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Acartia sp. | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Acrocalanus | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Amoeba | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Brachionus sp. | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Calanus sp. | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Cladocera sp. | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Cyclopoid sp. | ı | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Copepod larvae | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Diaptomus sp. | ı | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Eucalanus sp. | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mysis sp. | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Paracalanus sp. | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Density Unit/L | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| No. of genera | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

A total of 12 groups/taxa of zooplankton were recorded in Kandla and Vadinar during the study period which mainly constituted by *Mysis, brachionus, Calanus*, fish and shrimp larval forms. *Cladocera, Mysis* and *Paracalanus* had the largest representation at all stations from (ME-1 to ME-8). The density of Zooplankton of the sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 (Kandla) varying from 7 to 8 units/L, while for Vadinar its density of zooplankton observed 8 units/L at ME-7 and 8 units/L at ME-8. During the sampling, zooplankton communities were dominated by *Mysis sp.* in Kandla, while, *Cladocera* and *Paracalanus* had the largest representation at monitoring location of Vadinar.

The details of Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Zooplankton communities is mentioned in **Table 43**.

Table 43: Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Zooplankton

| Indices | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Taxa S | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Individuals | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Shannon diversity | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.47 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.65 |
| Simpson (1-D) | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.9 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.95 |
| Species Evenness | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.92 |
| Margalef | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.06 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.57 |
| Berger-Parker | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.29 |
| Relative abundance | <i>7</i> 5 | <i>7</i> 5 | 71.43 | <i>7</i> 5 | <i>7</i> 5 | <i>7</i> 5 | <i>7</i> 5 | 85.71 |

• Shannon- Wiener's Index (H) of zooplankton communities was in the range of 1.47 to 1.73 between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 1.68 at Kandla creek and its nearby creeks. While for Vadinar, Shannon Wiener's index of zooplankton communities recorded to be 1.73 at ME-7 and 1.65 at ME-8 with an average



value of 1.69. The apportionment of the numbers of individuals among the species observed higher stability at all monitoring location of Kandla and Near SPM (Vadinar).

- Simpson diversity index (1-D) of zooplankton communities was ranged between 0.9 to 0.93 at all sampling stations in the Kandla creek and nearby creeks, with an average of 0.92 Similarly, for Vadinar Simpson diversity index (1-D) of zooplankton communities was 0.93 at ME-7 and 0.95 at ME-8 with an average of 0.94.
- Margalef's diversity index (Species Richness) of zooplankton communities in Kandla and nearby creeks sampling stations was varying from 2.06 to 2.4 with an average of 2.34 during the sampling period. While for Vadinar, Margalef's diversity index (Species Richness) of zooplankton communities observed 2.4 at ME-7 and 2.57 at ME-8 with an average value of 2.48.
- Berger-Parker Index (d) of zooplankton communities was in the range of 0.25 to 0.29 between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 0.25 at Kandla creek and nearby creeks. Berger-Parker Index (d) of zooplankton communities in the sampling stations of Vadinar, was in the range of 0.25 to 0.29 with an average value of 0.27. All the monitoring station signifies a low diversity with an even distribution among the different species.
- The **Species Evenness** is observed in the range of **0.91 to 0.97** for all the six-monitoring station of Kandla whereas, for the Vadinar the species evenness was observed in the range of 0.92 to 0.97, during the monitoring month.
- During the sampling period, **Relative Abundance** of zooplankton communities was in range of 71.43 to 75 between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 74.40 at Kandla creek and nearby creeks. Whereas for Vadinar the Index value 75 at ME-7 and 85.71 at ME-8 with an average value 80.36, thus it can be concluded that the studied species is stated as neither highly dominant nor rare.

The details of variation in abundance and diversity in **Benthic organism** is mentioned in **Table 44.**

Table 44: Benthic Fauna variations in abundance and diversity in sub surface sampling

| Family/Class | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Thiaridae | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mollusca | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Odonata | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Lymnidae | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Planorbidae | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Talitridae | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| Trochidae | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Atydae | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 |



| Family/Class | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Gammaridae | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Portunidae | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Turbinidae | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Palaemonidae | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| No. of Family | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| No of Class | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 |

Few Benthic organisms were observed in the collected sample by using the Van-Veen grabs during the sampling conducted for DPA Kandla and Vadinar. Majority of the species were found under the Macro-benthic organisms during the sampling period were represented by *Odonta, Portunidae sp.,* etc. The No. of Family of benthic fauna was varying from 5 to 9. The dominating benthic communities at Kandla Creek and nearby creek (Nakti and Khori creek) were represented Atydae, Turbinidae. While lowest number of benthic species was represented by Portunidae.

The details of Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Benthic Organisms is mentioned in **Table 45**.

Table 45: Species richness Index and Diversity Index in Benthic Organisms

| Indices | ME-1 | ME-2 | ME-3 | ME-4 | ME-5 | ME-6 | ME-7 | ME-8 |
|--------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Taxa S | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| Individuals | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| Shannon diversity | 1.55 | 1.19 | 1.75 | 1.55 | 1.75 | 1.55 | 1.75 | 1.36 |
| Simpson 1-D | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.81 |
| Species Evenness | 0.96 | 0.86 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Margalef | 2.06 | 1.86 | 2.57 | 2.06 | 2.57 | 2.06 | 2.57 | 1.37 |
| Berger-Parker | 0.29 | 0.4 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.33 |
| Relative abundance | 71.43 | 80 | 85.71 | 71.43 | 85.71 | 71.43 | 85.71 | 44.44 |


- Shannon- Wiener's Index (H) of benthic organism was in the range of 1.19 to 1.75 between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 1.55 at Kandla creek and its nearby creeks. While for Vadinar, Shannon Wiener's index of benthic organism recorded to be 1.75 at ME-7 & 1.36 at ME-8 location with an average value of 1.55. The apportionment of the numbers of individuals among the species observed higher stability at all monitoring location of Kandla and Vadinar.
- Simpson diversity index (1-D) of benthic organism was ranged between 0.9 to 0.95 at all sampling stations in the Kandla creek and nearby creeks, with an average of 0.91. Similarly, for Vadinar Simpson diversity index (1-D) of benthic organism was 0.95 at ME-7 and 0.81 at ME-8 location with an average of 0.88.
- Margalef's diversity index (Species Richness) of benthic organism in Kandla and nearby creeks sampling stations was varying from 1.86 to 2.57 with an average of 2.19 during the sampling period. While for Vadinar, Margalef's diversity index (Species Richness) of



benthic organism observed to be 2.57 at ME-7 and 1.37 at ME-8 location with an average of 1.97.

- Berger-Parker Index (d) of benthic organism was in the range of 0.29 to 0.4 between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 0.30 at Kandla creek and nearby creeks. Berger-Parker Index (d) of benthic organism in the sampling stations of Vadinar, was observed to be 0.29 at ME-7 and 0.33 at ME-8 location with an average value of 0.31. All the monitoring station signifies a low diversity with an even distribution among the different species.
- The **Species Evenness** is observed in the range of **0.86 to 0.98** for all the six-monitoring station of Kandla and for the Vadinar the species evenness is observed 0.98 at both of the location.
- During the sampling period, **Relative Abundance** of Benthic organisms was in range of **71.43 to 85.71** between selected sampling stations from ME-1 to ME-6 with an average value of 77.61 at Kandla creek and nearby creeks. Whereas for Vadinar the Index value 85.71 at ME-7 and 44.44 at ME-8 location, with an average value 65.08, thus it is concluded that the studied species can be stated as neither highly dominant nor rare.



















Annexure 2: Photographs of the Environmental Monitoring conducted at Vadinar













Source: GEMI





Gujarat Environment Management Institute (GEMI)

(An Autonomous Institute of Government of Gujarat)

'An ISO 9001:2015, ISO 14001:2015 & ISO 45001:2018 Certified Institute

Head Office


Plot No. B 246 & 247, G.I.D.C. Electronic Estate, Sector-25, Gandhinagar-382024

Laboratory

Plot No. B-64, G.I.D.C. Electronic Estate, Opp. I.P.R., Sector-25, Gandhinagar-382025

Tel: (+91) 79-23240964 (O), T: (+91) 79-23287758 (Lab), F: (+91) 79-23240965 E-mail: info-gemi@gujarat.gov.in | Website: www.gemi.gujarat.gov.in

"We Provide Environmental Solutions"



GUJARAT POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD



PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, SECTOR 10-A, GANDHINAGAR - 382010, (T) 079-23232152

By R.P.A.D

In exercise of the power conferred under section-25 of the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act-1974, under section-21 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution)-1981 and Authorization under rule 6(2) of the Hazardous and Other Waste (Management and Transboundary) Rules, 2016 framed under the Environmental (Protection) Act-1986. This Board is empowered to Grant CC&A.

And whereas Board has received consolidated consent application inward no. **270712 dated 02/01/2023** for the **Consolidated Consent and Authorization** (CC & A) of this Board under the provisions / rules of the aforesaid Acts. Consents & Authorization are hereby granted as under:

CONSENTS AND AUTHORISATION:

(Under the provisions /rules of the aforesaid environmental acts)

To.

M/s. Kandla Port Trust (Developing Integrated Facilities-Stage II) Within existing Kandla Port Trust Limit at Kandla, Administrative Office Building, Post Box no.50,

Tal: Gandhidham, Dist: Kutch – 370 201

- 1. Consent Order No. AWH-123831 Date of issue: 16/01/2023.
- The consents shall be valid upto 01/01/2028 for the operation of 7th oil jetty at old Kandla, at 23^o 02'37.49" N & 70^o 13'08" E.

| Sr. No. | Facility | Capacity |
|---------|---|--------------------------|
| 1 | 7 th oil jetty at old Kandla | 2 MMTPA (Size 110m x |
| ļ | | 12.40 m, Approach 210 m) |

Subject to specific condition:

- 1. Industry shall not carry out any activities which attract provision of EIA notification 2016 as amended thereafter.
- Industry shall comply with all conditions of Environment & CRZ Clearance issued by MoEF & CC vide order no. F.No.11-13/2015-\A III dated 19/02/2020.
- DPT shall have to strictly comply with all conditions stipulated in the order of Environmental and CRZ Clearance issued by Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change (IA.III Section), New Delhi vide letter no F. No. 11-13/2015-IA-III dated 19/02/2020.
- 4. In no case industry shall damage/ affect the mangrove development.
- Industry shall obtain fresh water from valid source having permission of the competent authority.

Page 1 of 7



Clean Gujarat Green Gujarat

Website: https://gpcb.gujarat.gov.in

- Industry shall comply with Manufacture, Storage and import of Hazardous Chemicals Rules-1989 (MSIHC) as amended time to time.
- 7. Industry shall renew Public Liability Insurance time to time & submit a copy to this Board.
- 8. Industry shall manage Solid Wastes generated from industrial activities as per Solid Waste Management Rules-2016 (solid-waste as defined in Rule-3(46)).
- 9. Industry shall ensure that there shall be no damage to the existing mangrove patches near site and also ensure the free flow of water to avoid damage to the mangroves.

3. CONDITIONS UNDER THE WATER ACT:

- 3.1 Water Source: GWSSB.
- 3.2 There shall be no any industrial water consumption and waste water generation from development/ construction and other ancillary operations.
- 3.3 The quantity of the fresh water consumption for domestic purpose shall not exceed 23 KL/Day.
- 3.4 The quantity of domestic waste water (sewage) shall not exceed 18 KL/Day.
- 3.5 Domestic effluent shall be disposed off through septic tank/soak pit system.
- 3.6 Disposal system for storm water shall be provided separately, in no circumstances storm water shall be mixed with the industrial effluent in any case.

4. CONDITIONS UNDER THE AIR ACT:

4.1 The following shall be used as fuel in D.G. Set:

| Sr. No. | Utility | Fuel | Quantity |
|---------|----------|--------|------------|
| 1. | D.G. Set | Diesel | 50 Lit/Day |

- 4.2 The applicant shall install air pollution control system in order to achieve emission norms.
- 4.3 The flue emission through stack attached to D.G. Set shall conform to the following standards;

| Sr. No | Stack attached to | Stack height | APCM | Parameter | Permissible limit |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1, | D.G. Set (50 KVA) | 11 m | Adequate Stack Height | PM SO ₂ NO, | 150 mg/Nm ³ 100 ppm 50 ppm |

- 4.4 There shall be no process gas emission from manufacturing process and other ancillary operations.
- 4.5 The concentration of the following parameters in the ambient air within the premises of the industry shall not exceed the limits specified hereunder as per National Ambient Air Quality Standards issued by MoEF & CC dated 18th November-2009. In addition to following parameters Industry shall also carry out AAQ monitoring of all other applicable parameter as per MoEF notification dated 18/11/2009 and submit the report to the Board.

| Sr. | Pollutant | Time Weighted Average | Concentration in Ambient air in µg/M³ | |
|-----|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Sulphur Dicxide (SO ₂) | Annual 24 Hours | 50 80 | |
| 2. | Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) | Annual 24 Hours | 40 80 | |

0

GUJARAT POLICUTION CONTROL BOARD



PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, SECTOR 10-A, GANDHINAGAR - 382010, (T) 079-23232152

| | | | | | , |
|---|----|--|----------|-----|---|
| | | Particulate Matter | Annual | 60 | ŀ |
| | 3. | (Size less than 10 µm) or PM ₁₀ | 24 Hours | 100 | |
| | | Particulate Matter | Annual | 40 | |
| ! | 4. | (Size less than 2.5 µm) or PM 2.5 | 24 Hours | 60 | |

4.6 The applicant shall provide portholes, ladder, platform etc at chimney(s) for monitoring the air emissions and the same shall be open for inspection to/and for use of Board's staff. The chimney(s) vents attached to various sources of emission shall be designed by numbers such as S-1, S-2, etc. and these shall be painted/displayed to facilitate identification.

(Size less than 2.5 µm) or PM 2.5

4.7 The industry shall take adequate measures for control of noise levels from its own sources within the premises so as to maintain ambient air quality standards in respect of noise to less than 75dB(A) during day time and 70 dB (A) during night time. Daytime is reckoned in between 6a.m. and10 p.m. and nighttime is reckoned between 10 p.m. and 6 a.m.

4 8 D.G. Sets Conditions

The D.G. Set shall have acoustic enclosure and shall comply with the standards specified at Sr. no. 95 of Schedule-I of the rule-3 of E.P. Rules -1986 and Noise pollution level as per the Air Act-1981.

D.G. Sets standards:-

The flue gas emission through stack attached to D.G. Sets shall conform to the following standards.

- a) The minimum height of stack to be provided with each of the generator set shall be H=h + 0.2 (KVA) 1/2, where H= Total stack height in meter, h= height of the building in meters where or by the side of which the generator set is installed.
- b) Noise from DG set shall be controlled by providing an acoustic enclosure or by reating the room acoustically, at the users end.
- The acoustic enclosure or acoustic treatment of the room shall be designed for minimum 25 dB (A) insertion loss or for meeting the ambient noise standards, whichever is on the higher side (if the actual ambient noise is on the higher side, it may not be possible to check the performance of the acoustic enclosure/ acoustic treatment. Such circumstances the performance may be checked for noise reduction up to actual ambient noise level, preferably, in the night time). The measurement for insertion loss may be done at different points at 0.5 m from the acoustic enclosure/room, and the averaged.
- d) The D.G. Set shall be provided with proper exhaust muffler with insertion loss of minimum 25 dB (A).
- e) All efforts shall be made to bring down the noise level due to the D.G. Set, outside the premises, within the ambient noise requirements by proper siting and control measures. Installation of a D.G. Sets must be strictly in compliance with the recommendations of the D.G. Set manufacturer.

Page 3 of 7

Clean Gujarat Green Gujarat

Website: https://gpcb.gujarat.gov.in

f) A proper routine and preventive maintenance procedure for the D.G. Set should be set and followed in consultation with the DG Set manufacture which would help prevent noise lévels of the DG Set from deteriorating with use.

5. AUTHORIZATION as per HAZARDOUS AND OTHER WASTE (MANAGEMENT AND TRANSBOUNDARY) RULES, 2016 Form-2 [See rule 6 (2)]

Form for grant of authorization for occupier or operator handling Hazardous waste

- 5.1 Authorization order No:-AWH- 123831 date of Issue: 16/01/2023.
- 5.2 M/s. Kandla Port Trust, is hereby granted an authorization based on the enclosed signed inspection report for generation, collection, treatment, storage, transport of hazardous waste on the premises situated at Within existing Kandla Port Trust Limit at Kandla, Administrative Office Building, Post Box no.50, Tal: Gandhidham, Dist: Kutch.

| | Waste | Quantity per | Schedule/ | Facility |
|----|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| No | | Annum | Category | |
| 1 | Used Oil/ | 900 Lit | I-5.1 | Collection, Storage, and reuse |
| | Spent Oil | | | as lubricant in plant machineries. |

5.3 The authorization shall be valid upto 01/01/2028.

- 5.4 The authorization is subject to the conditions stated below and such other conditions as may be specified in the rules from time to time under the Environment (Protection) Act-1986.
- 5.5 The authorization is granted to operate a facility for collection, storage within factory premises transportation and ultimate disposal of Hazardous wastes as per condition no.5.2 to the industry having valid CCA of this Board.

5.6 TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF AUTHORISATION

- 1. The applicant shall comply with the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act-1986 and the rules made there under.
- 2. The authorization or its renewal shall be produced for inspection at the request of an officer authorized by the Gujarat Pollution Control Board.
- The persons authorized shall not rent, lend, sell, and transfer or otherwise transport the hazardous wastes without obtaining prior permission of the Gujarat Poliution Control Board.
- 4. Any unauthorized change in personnel, equipment or working conditions as mentioned in the authorization order by the persons authorized shall constitute a beach of this authorization.
- 5. The person authorized shall implement Emergency Response Procedure (ERP) for which this authorization is being granted considering all site specific possible scenarios such as spillages, leakages, fire etc. and their possible impacts and also carry out mock drill in this regard at regular interval of time;
- 6. The person authorized shall comply with the provisions outlined in the Central Pollution Control Board guidelines on "Implementing Liabilities for Environmental Damages due to Handling and Disposal of Hazardous Wastes and Penalty"



GUJARAT POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD



PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, SECTOR 10-A, GANDHINAGAR - 382010, (T) 079-23232152

- 7. It is the duty of the authorized person to take prior permission of the Gujarat Pollution Control Board to close down the facility.
- 8. An application for the renewal of an authorization shall be made as laid down in rules 6(2) under Hazardous and Other Waste Rules, 2016.
- The imported hazardous and other wastes shall be fully insured for transit as well as for any accidental occurrence and its clean-up operation.
- 10. The record of consumption and fate of the imported hazardous and other wastes shall be maintained.
- 11. The hazardous and other wastes which gets generated during recycling or reuse or recovery or pre-processing or utilization of imported hazardous or other wastes shall be treated and disposed of as per specific conditions of authorization.
- 12. The importer or exporter shall bear the cost of import or export and mitigation of damages if any.
- 13. Any other conditions for compliance as per the Guidelines issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change or Central Pollution Control Board from time to time.
- 14. The waste generator shall be totally responsible for (i.e. collection, storage, transportation and ultimate disposal) the wastes generated.
- 15. Records of waste generation, its management and annual return shall be submitted to Gujarat Pollution Control Board in Form-4 by 30th day of June of every year for the preceding period April to March.
- 16. In case of any accident, details of the same shall be submitted on Form-11 to Gujarat Pollution Control Board.
- 17. As per "Public Liability Insurance Act-91" company shall get Insurance Policy, if applicable.
- 18. Empty drums and containers of toxic and hazard material shall be treated as per guideline published for "Management & Handling of discarded containers". Records of the same shall be maintained and forwarded to Gujarat Pollution Control Board regularly.
- 19. In case of transport of hazardous wastes to a facility for (i.e. treatment, storage and disposal) existing in a State other than the State where hazardous wastes are generated, the occupier shall obtain 'No Objection Certificate' from the State Pollution Control Board or Committee of the concerned State of Union Territory Administration where the facility exists.
- 20. Unit shall take all concrete measures to show tangible results in waste generation, reduction, avoidance, reuse and recycle. Actions taken in this regard shall be submitted within three months and also along with Form-4.
- 21. Industry shall have to display the relevant information with regards to hazardous waste as indicated in the Hon. Supreme Court's Order in W.P. No.657 of 1995 dated 14th October 2003.
- 22. Industry shall have to display on-line data outside the main factory gate with regard to quantity and nature of hazardous chemicals being handled in the plant, including wastewater and air emissions and solid hazardous wastes generated within the factory premises.

0

Page **5** of **7**

Clean Gujarat Green Gujarat

Website: https://gpcb.gujarat.gov.in

6 SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:-

- 6.1 The authorized actual user of hazardous and other wastes shall maintain records of hazardous and other wastes purchased in a passbook issued by the State Pollution Control Board along with the authorization.
- 6.2 Handling over of the hazardous and other wastes to the authorized actual user shall be only after making the entry in the passbook of the actual user.
- 6.3 In case of renewal of authorization, a self-certified compliance report in respect of effluent, emission standards and the conditions specified in the authorization for hazardous and other wastes shall be submitted to SPCB.
- 6.4 The occupier of the facility shall comply Standard operating procedure/guidelines published by MOEF&CC or CPCB or GPCB from time to time.
- 6.5 Unit shall comply provisions of E-Waste Management Rules-2016.
- 6.5 The disposal of Hazardous Waste shall be carried out as per the waste Management hierarchy.
- 6.7 The occupiers of facilities shall not store the hazardous and other wastes for a period not exceeding **ninety days**. Prior permission of the Board shall be obtained for extension of the storage period.
- 6.8 The occupier shall maintain the records of generation, sale, storage transport, recycling, co processing and disposal of hazardous waste and make available during the inspection.
- 6.9 The transportation of the hazardous waste shall be carried out in GPS mounted dedicated vehicles.

7 GENERAL CONDITIONS: -

- 7.1 Any change in personnel, equipment or working conditions as mentioned in the consents form/order should immediately be intimated to this Board.
- 7.2 Applicant shall also comply with the general conditions given in annexure I.
- 7.3 Whenever due to accident or other unforeseen act or ever, such emissions occur or is apprehended to occur in excess of standards laid down such information shall be forthwith reported to Board, concerned Police Station. Office of Directorate of Health Service, Department of Explosives, Inspectorate of Factories and local body.
- 7.4 In case of failure of pollution control equipments, the production process connected to it shall be stopped. Remedial actions/measures shall be implemented immediately to bring entire situation normal.
- 7.5 The Environmental Management Unit/Cell shall be setup to ensure implementation on and monitoring of environmental safeguards and other conditions stipulated by statutory authorities. The Environmental Management Cell/Unit shall directly report to the Chief Executive of the organization and shall work as a focal point for internalizing environmental issues. These cells/units also coordinate the exercise of environmental audit and preparation of environmental statements.
- 7.6 The Environmental audit shall be carried out yearly and the environmental statements pertaining to the previous year shall be submitting to this State Board latest by 30th September every year.



GUJARAT POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD



PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, SECTOR 10-A, GANDHINAGAR - 382010, (T) 079-23232152

- 7.7 The Board reserves the right to review and/or revoke the consent and/or make variations in the conditions, which the Board deems, fit in accordance with Section 27 of the Act.
- 7.8 In case of change of ownership/management the name and address of the new owners/ partners/directors/proprietor should immediately be intimated to the Board.
- 7.9 Industry shall have to display the relevant information with regard to hazardous waste as indicated in the Hon. Supreme order in w.p. no. 657 of 1995 dated 14th October 2003.

For and on behalf of GUJARAT POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

Date:-

T.C. Patel Unit Head

NO: GPCB/CCA-Kutch-1319/ID-48573/

Issued to:

M/s. Kandla Port Trust (Developing Integrated Facilities-Stage Ii)

Within existing Kandla Port Trust Limit at Kandla,

Administrative Office Building,

Post Box no.50,

Tal: Gandhidham,

Dist: Kutch - 370 201

Page 7 of 7

Website: https://gpcb.gujarat.gov.in